## Upgrade of the gas-filled recoil separator TASCA and first search experiment for the new element 120 in the reaction ${}^{50}\text{Ti} + {}^{249}\text{Cf}$

Ch.E. Düllmann<sup>1,2,3</sup>, A. Yakushev<sup>2</sup>, J. Khuyagbaatar<sup>2,3</sup>, D. Rudolph<sup>4</sup>, H. Nitsche<sup>5</sup>, D. Ackermann<sup>2</sup>, L.-L. Andersson<sup>3,6</sup>, M. Block<sup>2</sup>, D.M. Cox<sup>6</sup>, J. Dvorak<sup>3</sup>, K. Eberhardt<sup>1</sup>, P.A. Ellison<sup>5</sup>, N.E. Esker<sup>5</sup>, J. Even<sup>1,3</sup>, C. Fahlander<sup>4</sup>, U. Forsberg<sup>4</sup>, J.M. Gates<sup>5</sup>, K.E. Gregorich<sup>5</sup>, P. Golubev<sup>4</sup>, O. Gothe<sup>5</sup>, J. Even<sup>1,\*</sup>, C. Fahlander<sup>\*</sup>, U. Forsberg<sup>\*</sup>, J.M. Gates<sup>\*</sup>, K.E. Gregorich<sup>\*</sup>, P. Golubev<sup>\*</sup>, O. Gothe<sup>\*</sup>, W. Hartmann<sup>2</sup>, R.-D. Herzberg<sup>6</sup>, F.P. Heβberger<sup>2,3</sup>, J. Hoffmann<sup>2</sup>, R. Hollinger<sup>2</sup>, A. Hübner<sup>2</sup>, E. Jäger<sup>2</sup>, J. Jeppsson<sup>4</sup>, B. Kindler<sup>2</sup>, S. Klein<sup>1</sup>, I. Kojouharov<sup>2</sup>, J.V. Kratz<sup>1</sup>, J. Krier<sup>2</sup>, N. Kurz<sup>2</sup>, S. Lahiri<sup>7</sup>, B. Lommel<sup>2</sup>, M. Maiti<sup>7</sup>, R.R. Mändl<sup>2,8</sup>, S. Minami<sup>2</sup>, A. Mistry<sup>6</sup>, C. Mokry<sup>1</sup>, J.P. Omtvedt<sup>9</sup>, G.K. Pang<sup>5</sup>, I. Pysmenetska<sup>2</sup>, D. Renisch<sup>1</sup>, J. Runke<sup>2</sup>, L.G. Sarmiento<sup>10</sup>, M. Schädel<sup>2,11</sup>, B. Schausten<sup>2</sup>, A. Semchenkov<sup>9</sup>, J. Steiner<sup>2</sup>, P. Thörle-Pospiech<sup>1</sup>, N. Trautmann<sup>1</sup>, A. Türler<sup>12</sup>, J. Uusitalo<sup>13</sup>, D. Ward<sup>4</sup>, N. Wiehl<sup>1</sup>, M. Wegrzecki<sup>14</sup>, V. Yakusheva<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>U. Mainz, Germany, <sup>2</sup>GSI, Darmstadt, Germany, <sup>3</sup>HIM, Mainz, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Lund U, Sweden, <sup>5</sup>LBNL+UC Berkeley, CA, USA, <sup>6</sup>U. Liverpool, UK, <sup>7</sup>SINP, Kolkata, India, <sup>8</sup>FH Frankfurt, <sup>9</sup>U. Oslo, Norway, <sup>10</sup>UNAL Bogotá, Colombia, <sup>11</sup>JAEA Tokai, Japan, <sup>12</sup>U. Bern+PSI Villigen, Switzerland <sup>13</sup>U. Jyväskylä, Finland, <sup>14</sup>ITE Warsaw, Poland

The heaviest elements were discovered in <sup>48</sup>Ca-induced fusion reactions with actinide targets [1]. The observation of the hitherto heaviest element 118 was claimed from irradiations of targets of <sup>249</sup>Cf, which is the highest-Z nucleus that is available in sufficient quantities. Hence, to search for elements beyond Z=118, reactions induced by projectiles with Z>20 are required. Previously, <sup>64</sup>Ni+<sup>238</sup>U [2],  ${}^{58}$ Fe+ ${}^{244}$ Pu [3], and recently  ${}^{54}$ Cr+ ${}^{248}$ Cm [4] were studied, but element 120 is yet to be discovered. Theoretical predictions [5-8] agree on the  ${}^{50}\text{Ti}+{}^{249}\text{Cf}$  reaction to have the highest cross section. Accordingly, the TASCA collaboration selected this reaction to search for element 120. Maximum predicted cross sections range from 0.04 pb [5] to 0.75 pb [6, 8]. For comparison, the  ${}^{48}Ca+{}^{249}Cf$  $\rightarrow$  Z=118 experimental cross section is 0.5<sup>+1.6</sup><sub>-0.3</sub> pb [9].

On the way to a first search experiment for element 120 at TASCA, upgrades of several key components were performed, compared to the setup as used for the <sup>244</sup>Pu(<sup>48</sup>Ca,3-4n)<sup>288,289</sup>114 reaction [10, 11]. These include the implementation of a larger-area target wheel with 100 mm diameter comprising four targets [12]. The heat of each 5-ms long UNILAC macropulse is now dissipated over a four times larger area ( $6 \text{ cm}^2$ ) than in the old system  $(1.4 \text{ cm}^2)$  used for element 114.

The separation from unwanted nuclear reaction products was increased by a factor of ~10 [13] by (i) implementing a carbon stripper foil in front of the target to increase the beam charge state, (ii) a fixed scraper mounted in the center of the first quadrupole magnet, and (iii) a second, moveable scraper mounted behind the second quadrupole. Both scraper positions were chosen based on ion-optical simulations, which predicted significant background suppression without loss in EVR efficiency due to the scrapers. Measurements, e.g., of the <sup>48</sup>Ca+<sup>208</sup>Pb reaction, confirmed the expectations (see also [14]). The efficiency of TASCA for element 120 produced in the reaction  ${}^{50}\text{Ti}+{}^{249}\text{Cf}$  was calculated to be  $(62\pm6)\%$ . Discrimination between various event types was enhanced by improving the multi-wire proportional counter veto detector efficiency compared to the element 114 experiment. Several predictions of decay properties of isotopes produced in the  ${}^{50}\text{Ti}+{}^{249}\text{Cf}$  reaction suggest their half-lives,  $T_{1/2}$ , to be on the order of µs. This is shorter than the dead-time of the data acquisition (DAQ) system used in 2009 [11]. Therefore, a fast digital sampling pulse processing system was built and integrated into the DAQ system [15]. This allowed registering events with  $T_{1/2}$  as short as 100 ns, as confirmed in a study of the reaction  ${}^{50}\text{Ti}{+}{}^{176}\text{Yb}$ , which yields decay chains with very short-lived members [16].

Old <sup>249</sup>Cf samples were chemically reprocessed and electrodeposited on ~2.2-µm thick Ti backings by molecular plating [17], yielding  $\sim 0.5$ -mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick targets.

In August-October 2011, a first experiment to search for element 120 was conducted. Intense beams (0.5-1.0  $\mu A_{\text{part}}$ ) were applied on the Cf targets during 39 days of beamtime. The data analysis is in progress.

Acknowledgments: We thank the ion source and accelerator staff for providing stable and intense <sup>50</sup>Ti beams, and the experimental electronics department for making the digital DAQ system available on a short time-scale.

## References

- [1] Y. Oganessian, Radiochim. Acta 99, 429 (2011).
- [2] S. Hofmann et al., GSI Sci. Rep. 2008 (2009) p. 131.
- [3] Yu.Ts. Oganessian et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 024603 (2009).
- [4] S. Hofmann et al., this Scientific Report (2012).
- V. Zagrebaev et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 034610 (2008). [5]
- [6] G. G. Adamian et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 41, 235 (2009).
- [7] A. K. Nasirov et al., Phys. Rev. C 84, 044612 (2011).
- [8] K. Siwek-Wilczynska et al., IJMPE 19, 500 (2010).
- [9] Yu.Ts. Oganessian et al., Phys. Rev. C 74, 044602 (2006).
- [10] Ch.E. Düllmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 252701 (2010).
- [11]J.M. Gates et al., Phys. Rev. C 83, 054618 (2011).
- [12]T. Torres et al., GSI Sci. Rep. 2010 (2011) p. 236.
- [13]J. M. Gates et al., this Scientific Report (2012).
- [14]U. Forsberg et al., this Scientific Report (2012).
- [15]N. Kurz et al., this Scientific Report (2012).
- [16]J. Khuyagbaatar et al., this Scientific Report (2012).
- [17]J. Runke et al., this Scientific Report (2012).