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## 0. Introduction

Let $A$ denote an abelian surface over the complex numbers and $L$ an ample line bundle on $A$. Suppose $A=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Lambda$ with a lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The first chern class $c_{1}(L)$ may be considered as an integer valued alternating form $E$ on $\Lambda$. According to a theorem of Kronecker there is a basis of $\Lambda$ with respect to which $E$ is given by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & D \\ -D & 0\end{array}\right)$ with $D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}d_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & d_{2}\end{array}\right)$ and positive integers $d_{i}$ with $d_{1} \mid d_{2}$. The pair $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ is called the type of $L$. According to Riemann $-\operatorname{Roch} h^{0}(L)=d_{1} d_{2}$ and $L$ induces a rational map $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{d_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}-1}$. We want to study this map in the special case $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=(1,4)$. Let us first recollect what is known in the other cases:

For $d_{1} \geqq 3 \varphi_{L}$ is an embedding by a classical theorem of Lefschetz (see [M]).
For $d_{1}=2 \varphi_{L}$ is an embedding if and only if $d_{2}>2$ and $(A, L)$ is not of the form ( $E_{1} \times E_{2}, p_{1}^{*} L_{1} \otimes p_{2}^{*} L_{2}$ ) with elliptic curves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ and line bundles $L_{i}$ on $E_{i}$. (see $[\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{N}]$ ).

Suppose now $d_{1}=1$. The complete linear system $|L|$ has base components if and only if $(A, L)=\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}, p_{1}^{*} L_{1} \otimes p_{2}^{*} L_{2}\right)$ as above. We assume that $(A, L)$ is not a product of elliptic curves, since it is easy to work out the map $\varphi_{L}$ in the exceptional case.

For $d_{2}=2|L|$ has exactly 4 base-points. Blowing up we get a morphism $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1}$ with general fibre a smooth curve of genus 3 (see [B]).

If $d_{2} \geqq 3,|L|$ is base point free and we get a morphism $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{d_{2}-1}$.
If $d_{2}=3, \varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{2}$ is a $6: 1$ covering ramified in a curve of degree 18 .
If $d_{2} \geqq 4$, there is a cyclic covering

$$
\pi: A \rightarrow B
$$

of degree $d_{2}$ and a line bundle $M$ on $B$ such that $\pi^{*} M=L$. Let $X$ denote the unique divisor in $|M|$ and put $Y=\pi^{-1}(X)$ (see Sect. 1).

If $d_{2} \geqq 5, \varphi_{L}$ is an embedding if and only if $X$ and $Y$ do not admit elliptic involutions, compatible with the action of the Galois group of $\pi$. In the exceptional case $\varphi_{L}$ is a double covering of an elliptic scroll (see [R; H-L]).

In this paper we study the remaining case $d_{2}=4$, and it turns out that something very similar to the case $d_{2} \geqq 5$ happens. In fact, our main result is:

Theorem 1. (i) $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is birational onto a singular octic $\bar{A}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ do not admit elliptic involutions compatible with the action of the Galois group of $\pi$.
(ii) In the exceptional case $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is a double covering of a singular quartic $\bar{A}$, which is birational to an elliptic scroll.

Apart from the $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$-covering

$$
\pi: A \rightarrow B
$$

it turns out that a certain $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-covering

$$
p: A \rightarrow C
$$

is of importance for the geometry of $\bar{A}$ (see Sect. 1).
In case (i) of the theorem, $C$ is a Jacobian, and in case (ii), $C$ is the product of two elliptic curves. In both cases one can find an equation $Q\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=0$ for $\bar{A} \subset \mathbb{P}_{3}$ from the equation $\widetilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=0$ for the image of the Kummer mapping $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{3}$ just by setting $z_{i}=y_{i}^{2}$.

In case (i) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right):= & \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(y_{0}^{4} y_{1}^{4}+y_{2}^{4} y_{3}^{4}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{2}\left(y_{1}^{4} y_{3}^{4}+y_{0}^{4} y_{2}^{4}\right)+\lambda_{3}^{2}\left(y_{0}^{4} y_{3}^{4}+y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{4}\right) \\
& +2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{3}^{2}-y_{0}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(y_{0}^{2} y_{3}^{2}-y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)  \tag{*}\\
& +2 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}+y_{0}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{3}^{2}+y_{0}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{0}^{2} y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{0}: \lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}-S$ with $S=\left\{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}=0\right\}$. $\bar{A}$ is smooth outside the 4 coordinate planes $H_{i}=\left\{y_{i}=0\right\}$. At the coordinate vertices $\bar{A}$ has 4 -fold points (tangent cone $\simeq 4$ planes), and in the coordinate planes $\bar{A}$ has a double curve with ordinary double points at the coordinate vertices.


On each of the four double curves of $\bar{A}$ there are 12 pinch points, indicated by a cross. (Their position can be computed explicitly in terms of the $\lambda_{i}$, see Sect. 2.) We remark, that our quartic equation $\bar{Q}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=0$ is essentially the same as the one in [H, p. 198].

Suppose now that we are in case (ii) of the theorem, that is there is a cartesian diagram

with elliptic curves $E$ and $F$. The involution $j_{Y}$ extends to an involution $j: A \rightarrow A$ and $\varphi_{L}$ factorizes as follows


Moreover, $A / j$ is a $\mathbb{P}_{1}$-bundle over the elliptic curve $F$ and $\psi$ is birational. The coordinates $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ can be chosen in such a way, that $\bar{A}$ is given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{3}^{2}-y_{0}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\left(\lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}-\{(1: 0),(0: 1),(1: i),(1:-i)\} . \bar{A}$ is singular exactly along the 2 coordinate lines $y_{0}=y_{3}=0$ and $y_{1}=y_{2}=0$. On each of these lines $\bar{A}$ has four pinch points, which determine the elliptic curve $E$.

Note, that the family of abelian surfaces of type (ii) is 2-dimensional, whereas the family of a quartics $\bar{A}$ is only one-dimensional. This means that over a fixed general quartic $\bar{A}$ there is a one-dimensional family of abelian surfaces, that is the ramification divisor of the map $\varphi_{L}$ varies.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we use some properties of the action of the extended Heisenberg group $H_{e}(L)$ on the map $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{3}$.

Furthermore, in the proof we have to distinguish between two cases for the map $\pi: A \rightarrow B$
I. $\boldsymbol{B}=\operatorname{Jac}(X)$ the Jacobian of a smooth curve $X$ of genus 2 .
II. $B=E_{1} \times E_{2}$ a product of elliptic curves.

In Sect. 6 we will see that in the octic case the point $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right) \in P_{3}$ determines the abelian surface via equation (*). To be more precise:

Theorem 2. $\mathbb{P}_{3}-S /\left\{\lambda_{0} \rightarrow \pm \lambda_{0}\right\}$ is the moduli space of abelian surfaces with (i) polarization of type $(1,4)$ inducing a birational map $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ and (ii) a decomposition of $K$ into a direct sum of cyclic subgroups.

Here $K$ denotes the kernel of the isogeny of $A$ onto the dual abelian surface $\hat{A}$ associated to the polarization. For the precise definition see Sect. 1. Note that this moduli space is a $24: 1$ covering of the usual moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces of type ( $1: 4$ ).

Finally, in Sect. 7 we compute the subspace of this moduli space corresponding to abelian surfaces of type II above. We will show that these abelian varieties are represented by the points $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}$, satisfying the cubic equation

$$
\left(4 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)-2 \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)=0 .
$$

We would like to thank W. Barth and D. Eisenbud for some valuable conversations as well as W. Ruppert for the computation in Remark 2.3.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $L$ denote an ample line bundle of type $(1,4)$ on an abelian surface $A=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Lambda$ over the field of complex numbers. We want to study the map $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{3}$ given by the complete linear system $|L|$. Since $\varphi$ does not depend on the group law of $A$, we may choose the origin of $A$ in such a way that $L$ is symmetric, that is $(-1)_{A}^{*} L \simeq L$. In other words, without loss of generality we may assume that $L$ is symmetric and will do this without further noticing.

Lemma 1.1. $|L|$ has a fixed component if and only if there are elliptic curves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ on $A$, such that $(A, L) \cong\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}, p_{1}^{*} L_{1} \otimes p_{2}^{*} L_{2}\right)$ with line bundles $L_{1}$ of degree 4 on $E_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of degree 1 on $E_{2}$.

Proof. Suppose $|L|$ has a fixed component $F$, that is $L \simeq N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A}(F)$, where $N$ is a line bundle on $A$ with $h^{0}(L)=h^{0}(N)$. Let $K_{0}(N)\left(\operatorname{resp} K_{0}(F)\right)$ denote the connected component containing 0 of the subgroup $\left\{x \in A \mid T_{x}^{*} N \simeq N\right\}\left(\operatorname{resp}\left\{x \in A \mid T_{x}^{*} F \sim F\right\}\right)$. An easy consequence of Riemann-Roch is, that $E_{1}:=A / K_{0}(N)$ and $E_{2}:=A / K_{0}(F)$ are elliptic curves. Moreover, there are line bundles $L_{1}$ of degree 4 on $E_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ of degree 1 on $E_{2}$, such that $N=p_{1}^{*} L_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A}(F)=p_{2}^{*} L_{2}$, where $p_{i}: A \rightarrow E_{i}$ denotes the natural projection. From [L-N, Corollary 2.3] we get that

$$
\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right): A \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2}
$$

is an isomorphism of abelian surfaces. This completes the proof of the lemma, the converse implication being obvious.

For the rest of the paper we assume that $(A, L)$ is not isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves as polarized abelian varieties. By Lemma 1.1 this means that $|L|$ has no base component.

Let $\hat{A}=\operatorname{Pic}^{\circ}(A)$ denote the dual abelian variety and $\phi_{L}: A \rightarrow \hat{A}, a \mapsto T_{a} L \otimes L^{-1}$ the canonical homomorphism associated to $L$. The $\operatorname{kernel} K(L)$ of $\phi_{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1.2. (a) The linear system $|L|$ is base point free.
(b) Every curve $C \in|L|$ is of arithmetical genus 5.
(c) A general member C of $|L|$ is smooth and irreducible.

Proof. (a) $K(L)$ acts on the base locus of $|L|$. Hence, if it would be nonempty, it would consist of at least $16=\# K(\dot{L})$ points. On the other hand, for the
self-intersection number of $L$ we have $\left(L^{2}\right)=8$ implying that there are at most 8 base points, a contradiction. (b) and (c) follow from the adjunction formula and Bertini's theorem.

Let $e^{L}: K(L) \times K(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1}^{*}$ denote the alternating form associated to $L$, that is $e^{L}(x, y)=\exp (-2 \pi i E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}))$, where $E=c_{1}(L)$ and $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ are elements projecting to $x, y$. Choose a decomposition $K(L)=K_{1} \oplus K_{2}$ with maximal isotropic subgroups $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with respect to the form $e^{L} . K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are cyclic groups of order 4 and $e^{L}$ induces a duality $K_{2} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{1}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ (see [M1]). Let

$$
\pi: A \rightarrow B:=A / K_{2}
$$

denote the natural projection. $\pi$ is a cyclic étale covering of degree 4. There is a line bundle $M$ on $B$ such that $L=\pi^{*} M$, since $K_{2}$ is isotropic with respect to $e^{L}$ (see [M, p. 231]). $L$ is symmetric, so we can choose $M$ also to be symmetric. By Riemann-Roch $h^{0}(M)=1$, and $M$ defines a principal polarization on $B$. Let $X$ be the unique divisor of $|M| . X$ is either smooth of genus 2 and $B$ is the Jacobian of $X$ or $X$ consists of 2 elliptic curves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ intersecting in 1 point and $B=E_{1} \times E_{2}$. For $Y$ defined by the cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y G A \\
\pi \downarrow \quad \downarrow \pi \\
X G B
\end{gathered}
$$

we have
Lemma 1.3. (a) If $X$ is smooth, $Y$ is a smooth curve of genus 5, double-elliptic in at least 3 ways. In particular, $Y$ is neither hyperelliptic nor trigonal.
(b) If $X=E_{1}+E_{2}$, then $Y=F_{1}+F_{2}$ is a union of 2 elliptic curves intersecting exactly in a cyclic subgroup of order 4 of $A$.

Proof. (a) Let $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1}$ be the hyperelliptic double covering. The composition $p^{\circ} \pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1}$ is a galois covering with the dihedral group $D_{8}$ as galois group. $D_{8}$ contains exactly 5 involutions and one can apply the formula of Chevalley-Weil (see [C-W]) to compute their genus. It turns out that 3 of them are elliptic involutions, one of genus 2 and one of genus 3. By a theorem of Castelnuovo (see [C]) such a curve cannot be hyperelliptic or trigonal. The smoothness of $Y$ and assertion (b) follows from the fact that $\pi$ is étale.

The translation $T_{a}$ of $A$ given by a point of $A$ is induced by an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{3}=\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(H^{0}(L)\right)$ if and only if $a \in K(L)$. This yields a projective representation $\tilde{\varrho}: K(L) \rightarrow P G L_{3}(\mathbb{C})$. Defining the group $H(L)$ to be the fibre product of $\tilde{\varrho}$ and the canonical map $G L_{4}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P G L} L_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$H(L)$ is the Heisenberg group of $L$ and $\varrho$ its Schrödinger representation (see [M1]). Since $L$ is symmetric, $(-1)_{A}$ also induces an automorphism, say $t$ of $P_{3}$. Defining $K_{e}(L) \cong K(L) \propto \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, the group generated by the translations $T_{a}, a \in K(L)$ and the automorphism $t$, we get similarly as above the extended Heisenberg group $H_{e}(L)$ over $K_{e}(L)$ and a representation $H_{e}(L) \rightarrow G L_{4}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\sigma$ and $\tau$ be elements of $H_{e}(L)$ such that $p(\sigma)$ and $p(\tau)$ (which by abuse of notation we also denote by $\sigma$ and $\tau$ ) are generators of $K(L)$. The coordinates $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ can be chosen in such a way that

$$
\sigma: x_{j} \mapsto x_{j-1}, \quad \tau: x_{j} \mapsto i^{-j} x_{j}, \quad l: x_{j} \mapsto x_{-j}
$$

where the indices of the coordinates are considered to be elements of $\mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ (see [M1]). It turns out to be convenient to change the coordinates. Define new coordinates by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y_{0}=x_{0}+x_{2} & y_{2}=x_{3}+x_{1} \\
y_{1}=x_{0}-x_{2} & y_{3}=x_{3}-x_{1}
\end{array}
$$

On these coordinates $\sigma, \tau$ and $t$ act as

$$
\sigma:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0} \mapsto y_{2} \\
y_{1} \mapsto y_{3} \\
y_{2} \mapsto y_{0} \\
y_{3} \mapsto-y_{1}
\end{array} \quad \tau:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0} \mapsto y_{1} \\
y_{1} \mapsto y_{0} \\
y_{2} \mapsto i y_{3} \\
y_{3} \mapsto i y_{2}
\end{array} \quad i:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{0} \mapsto y_{0} \\
y_{1} \mapsto y_{1} \\
y_{2} \mapsto y_{2} \\
y_{3} \mapsto-y_{3}
\end{array} .\right.\right.\right.
$$

We consider $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ as the space of hyperplanes in $H^{0}(L)$. Then the global sections of $L$ can be considered in a natural way as points of $P_{3}$. In particular, the coordinates $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}$ correspond to the points $P_{0}=(1: 0: 0: 0), \ldots, P_{3}=(0: 0: 0: 1)$. For $i=0, \ldots, 3$ let $H_{i}$ denote the coordinate plane $\left\{y_{i}=0\right\}$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $\bar{A}$ denote the image of the $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{3}$.
(a) $\bar{A}$ is a surface of degree 8,4 or 2 in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$.
(b) The coordinate points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}$ are of multiplicity 4 (resp. 2, resp.1) in $\bar{A}$ if $\operatorname{deg} A=8$ (resp. 4, resp. 2).

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that $\left(L^{2}\right)=8$. As for (b), the point $P_{3}$ (resp. the plane $H_{3}$ ) is the ( -1 )-eigenspace (resp. ( +1 )-eigenspace) of $t$ acting on $H^{0}(L)$. On the other hand, the set $A_{2}^{-}$(resp. $A_{2}^{+}$) of 2-division points $x$ of $A$, where $t$ acts on the fibre $L_{x}$ as multiplication by -1 (resp. +1 ), is of order 4 (resp. 12) (see [M1, p. 315]), and $\varphi_{L}$ is $H_{e}(L)$-equivariant. This implies that $\varphi_{L}$ maps the 4 points in $A_{2}^{-}$to $P_{3}$. But $\sigma\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{1}, \tau\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{2}$, and $\sigma \tau\left(P_{3}\right)=P_{0}$ and thus the preimage of any $P_{i}$ consists of at least 4 points of $A$. Now the assertion follows from (a) noting that any coordinate line contains exactly 2 of the points $P_{i}$.

We can use the action of $H_{e}(L)$ to determine an equation for $\bar{A}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$. Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right]$ denote a homogeneous polynomial with zero set $\bar{A}$. It is easy to see that the action of $H_{e}(L)$ induces a character $\chi$ of degree 1 of $K_{e}(L)$ such that for all $\alpha \in K_{e}(L)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{*} Q=\chi(\alpha) \cdot Q \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{e}(L)$ contains the 4 reflections

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\imath \tau \sigma^{2} \tau: y_{0} \mapsto-y_{0} & \tau \tau^{2}: y_{2} \mapsto-y_{2} \\
\imath \sigma^{2}: y_{1} \mapsto-y_{1} & \imath: y_{3} \mapsto-y_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The factor commutator group of $K_{e}(L)$ is $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{3}$ such that the characters of degree 1 of $K_{e}(L)$ take only values in $\{ \pm 1\}$. Hence $\chi\left(\tau \sigma^{2} \tau\right)=\chi\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=\chi\left(\tau^{2}\right)=1$, and for all 4 reflections the character $\chi$ takes the same value, namely $\chi(l)$.

If $\chi(l)=-1, Q$ is a sum of monomials of the form $a y_{0}^{j} y_{1}^{k} y_{2}^{l} y_{3}^{m}$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and odd numbers $j, k, l$ and $m$ such that $j+k+l+m=\operatorname{deg} A$. But this contradicts Lemma 1.4(b).

Hence $\chi(l)=+1$ and $Q$ is actually a polynomial in the squares $y_{0}^{2}, \ldots, y_{3}^{2}$, that is there is a polynomial $\widetilde{Q}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right)=\widetilde{Q}\left(y_{0}^{2}, \ldots, y_{3}^{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $\bar{C}$ the surface in $\mathbb{P}_{3}=\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)$ defined by $\widetilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)=0$, equation (2) means geometrically:

Lemma 1.5. The map $\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{3}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right), z_{i}=y_{i}^{2}$ induces a covering $\bar{p}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{C}, 8: 1$ outside the coordinate planes.

Corollary 1.6. $\bar{A}$ is of degree 8 or 4 and $\bar{C}$ of degree 4 or 2 in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$.
Proof. Suppose $\bar{A}$ is of degree 2 in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$. Then $\bar{C}$ is a plane in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$, which according to Lemma 1.4(b) contains all coordinate vertices $P_{i}$, a contradiction. (In order to keep notation as simple as possible, we do not distinguish between the coordinate vertices in $\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)$.)

Our next aim is to show that $\bar{p}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ is induced by an isogeny $p: A \rightarrow C$ of abelian surfaces.

Let $K(L)_{2}=\langle 2 \sigma, 2 \tau\rangle$, the subgroup of 2-torsion points of $K(L)$, and consider the isogeny $p: A \rightarrow C=A / K(L)_{2}$. Since $K(L)_{2}$ is isotropic with respect to $e^{L}$, there is a line bundle $N$ on $C$ with $L=p^{*} N$.

Proposition 1.7. The following diagram commutes

$N$ defines a principal polarization on $C$ and thus $\varphi_{N^{2}}$ is a Kummer-mapping.
Proof. The map $\varphi_{N^{2}}{ }^{\circ} p: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is given by the linear system of $\operatorname{Im}\left(p^{*}: H^{0}\left(N^{2}\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)$ ) which is the subspace $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)^{K(L)_{2}}$ of sections invariant under the action of $K(L)_{2}$. On the other hand, $y_{0}^{2}, \ldots, y_{3}^{2}$ can be considered as elements of $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)$ and the map $\bar{p} \circ \varphi_{L}$ is defined by these sections. It suffices to show that $y_{0}^{2}, \ldots, y_{3}^{2}$ are
invariant under the action of $K(L)_{2}$, since $H^{0}\left(L^{2}\right)^{K(L)}$ is of dimension 4. But this is clear from the action of $\sigma$ and $\tau$.

Corollary 1.8. $\bar{A}$ is smooth outside the coordinate planes.
For the proof note that $\bar{C}$ (as a Kummer surface resp. a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ ) is smooth outside the coordinate planes and the map $\bar{p}$ is étale here.

## 2. The octic

It follows from Proposition 1.7 that $\varphi_{L}$ is birational if and only if the Kummer map $\varphi_{N^{2}}$ is $2: 1$, that is if and only if $C$ is not a product of elliptic curves. We conclude that for a general abelian surface $A$ of type $(1,4)$ the map $\varphi_{L}$ is birational and $\bar{A}$ is an octic, since the space of étale 4 -fold coverings of products of 2 elliptic curves is two-dimensional. In Sect. 4 we will give another criterion for this (see Theorem 4.1). Here we assume that $\varphi_{L}$ is birational and derive an equation for the octic $\bar{A}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$.

Let the notations be as in Sect. 1. Since $l$ acts as identity on the curve $\bar{A} \cap H_{3}$, the map $\varphi_{L} \mid \varphi_{L}^{-1}\left(\bar{A} \cap H_{3}\right)$ goes $n: 1$ onto its image $\bar{A} \cap H_{3}$ for some $n \geqq 2$. But $n$ has to be 2 , since $\varphi_{N^{2}} \mid \varphi_{N^{2}}^{-1}\left(\bar{C} \cap H_{3}\right)$ is of degree 2. Applying the automorphisms $\sigma, \tau$ and $\sigma \tau$ we have proven

Proposition 2.1. The octic $\bar{A}$ has a double curve along the coordinate planes $H_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, 3$.

Recall that $\sigma$ and $\tau$ act on the coordinates $z_{i}=y_{i}^{2}$ as

$$
\sigma:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0} \mapsto z_{2} \\
z_{1} \mapsto z_{3} \\
z_{2} \mapsto z_{0} \\
z_{3} \mapsto z_{1}
\end{array} \quad \tau:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0} \mapsto z_{1} \\
z_{1} \mapsto z_{0} \\
z_{2} \mapsto-z_{3} \\
z_{3} \mapsto-z_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and according to Lemma 1.4(b), Lemma 1.5 and Proposition $2.1 \tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right]$ is a quartic with the properties
(a) For $i=0, \ldots, 3$ there is a quadric $F_{i}$ in 3 variables such that

$$
\tilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{i-1}, 0, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)=F_{i}^{2}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_{3}\right) .
$$

(b) $\bar{C}=(\tilde{Q}=0)$ is singular in the coordinate points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}$. Applying (1) of Sect. 1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}^{2}\left(z_{0}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\chi(\tau) F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{0},-z_{3},-z_{2}\right) \\
& F_{2}^{2}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{3}\right)=\chi(\sigma) F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{3}, z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \\
& F_{3}^{2}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\chi(\tau \sigma) F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{2},-z_{1},-z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now write $\tilde{Q}$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)= & F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+\chi(\tau) F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{0},-z_{3},-z_{2}\right)+\chi(\sigma) F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{3}, z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \\
& +\chi(\tau \sigma) F_{0}^{2}\left(z_{2},-z_{1},-z_{0}\right)+p\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with some $p \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right]$.

According to (b) there are constants $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
F_{0}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\lambda_{1} z_{2} z_{3}+\lambda_{2} z_{1} z_{3}+\lambda_{3} z_{1} z_{2} .
$$

Now a small computation shows that $\chi \equiv 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)= & \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(z_{0}^{2} z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2} z_{3}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{2}\left(z_{1}^{2} z_{3}^{2}+z_{0}^{2} z_{2}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{3}^{2}\left(z_{0}^{2} z_{3}^{2}+z_{1}^{2} z_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(z_{0} z_{1}+z_{2} z_{3}\right)\left(z_{1} z_{3}-z_{0} z_{2}\right) \\
& +2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(z_{0} z_{3}-z_{1} z_{2}\right)\left(z_{0} z_{1}-z_{2} z_{3}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& +2 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\left(z_{1} z_{2}+z_{0} z_{3}\right)\left(z_{1} z_{3}+z_{0} z_{2}\right) \\
& +\mu_{0} z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\mu_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. Choosing $\lambda_{0}$ to be a square root of $\mu_{0}$ and inserting $z_{i}=y_{i}^{2}$ we get equation (*) of the introduction. Note that $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \neq 0$ because $\bar{Q}=0$ is a Kummer surface.

The map $\bar{p}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ restricted to a coordinate plane, say $H_{3}$, looks as follows


According to the theory of Kummer surfaces (see [K-W] or [G-H]) the conic passes exactly through 6 of the 16 singular points, among them the coordinate points $P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{2}$. We denote the other 3 points by $Q_{0}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$. The preimages of the coordinate points are the coordinate points, whereas $\bar{p}$ is etale over $Q_{0}, Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Thus the corresponding preimages consist of 4 points for each $Q_{i}$. These 12 points are exactly the pinch points of the surface $\bar{A}$ in the plane $H_{3}$. We obtain

Proposition $2.2 \bar{A}$ has exactly 48 pinch points, 12 in each coordinate plane.
Remark 2.3. The pinch points can be determined explicitely in terms of the coefficients $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{3}$ of the equation (*):

It suffices to compute the points $Q_{0}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ of the Kummer quartic $\bar{C}$. In order to do this consider the linear projection $q: \mathbb{P}_{3}-P_{3} \rightarrow H_{3} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2}$ with center $P_{3}$. The ramification locus of the restriction $q \mid \bar{C}$ consists of the 6 lines $\overline{P_{0} P_{1}}, \overline{P_{1} P_{2}}, \overline{P_{2} P_{0}}$, $\overline{Q_{0} Q_{1}}, \overline{Q_{1} Q_{2}}, \overline{Q_{2} Q_{0}}$ (see [G-H]). It suffices to determine the last 3 lines. The points of intersection of $\bar{C}$ with the line passing through $P_{3}$ and a point $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ is given by the equation

$$
\tilde{Q}\left(t z_{0}, t z_{1}, t z_{2}, 1\right)=0
$$

Since $P_{3}$ is a double point of $\bar{C}$, we can divide this equation by $t^{2}$ to get a quadratic equation in $t$. Its discriminant is an equation for the ramification locus of $q \mid \bar{C}$.

Thus we can divide it by $z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}$ and get the following cubic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & 4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}^{2} z_{0}^{3}+4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{2} \lambda_{3} z_{1}^{3}-4 \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} z_{2}^{3} \\
& +z_{0}^{2} z_{1}\left(6 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{2} \lambda_{3}+2 \lambda_{1}^{3} \lambda_{3}+2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}^{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\right) \\
& +z_{0}^{2} z_{2}\left(-6 \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}-2 \lambda_{2}^{3} \lambda_{3}-2 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}^{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \\
& +z_{0} z_{1}^{2}\left(6 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}^{2}-2 \lambda_{1}^{3} \lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) \\
& +z_{0} z_{2}^{2}\left(6 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}^{2}+2 \lambda_{1}^{3} \lambda_{2}-2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{3}-\lambda_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right) \\
& +z_{1}^{2} z_{2}\left(6 \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}-2 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}^{3}-2 \lambda_{2}^{3} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \\
& +z_{1} z_{2}^{2}\left(-6 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{2} \lambda_{3}+2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}^{3}+2 \lambda_{1}^{3} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\right) \\
& +z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}\left(2 \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}-2 \lambda_{1}^{2} \lambda_{3}^{2}-2 \lambda_{2}^{2} \lambda_{3}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{0}^{4}-\lambda_{1}^{4}-\lambda_{2}^{4}-\lambda_{3}^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The zero set of $f$ is just the union of the 3 lines $\overline{Q_{0} Q_{1}}, \overline{Q_{1} Q_{2}}, \overline{Q_{2} Q_{0}}$. Thus it suffices to decompose $f$ into a product of linear forms. This has been done explicitly by W. Ruppert using a computer. Since the formulas are messy we do not repeat them here.

## 3. The quartic

In this section we will determine an equation for the surface $\bar{A}=\varphi_{L}(A)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ in the remaining case that is under the assumption that $\bar{A}$ is a quartic. Let the notations be as in Sect. 1. In particular, $\bar{A}$ is given by a quartic polynomial $Q\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right)=0$ and $K_{e}(L)$ acts via a character $\chi$. There is a quadratic polynomial $\widetilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)$ such that $Q\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right)=\widetilde{Q}\left(y_{0}^{2}, \ldots, y_{3}^{2}\right)$, since $\chi(l)=+1$.

Denoting $F_{0}:=\tilde{Q}\left(0, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right), \ldots, F_{3}:=\tilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, 0\right)$ there is a $p \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right)= & F_{0}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+F_{1}\left(z_{0}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)+F_{2}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{3}\right) \\
& +F_{3}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+p\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (1) of Sect. 1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{F}_{1}\left(z_{0}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\chi(\tau) F_{0}\left(z_{0},-z_{3},-z_{2}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{F}_{2}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{3}\right)=\chi(\sigma) F_{0}\left(z_{3}, z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{F}_{3}\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\chi(\tau \sigma) F_{0}\left(z_{2},-z_{1},-z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 1.4(b) $F_{0}$ is of the form

$$
F_{0}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\lambda_{1} z_{2} z_{3}+\lambda_{2} z_{1} z_{3}+\lambda_{3} z_{1} z_{2}
$$

for some $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$. Inserting this we obtain with a small computation the following possibilities depending on the character $\chi$ :

| $x(\sigma)$ | $x(\tau)$ | $\tilde{Q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +1 | +1 | $\lambda_{1}\left(z_{0} z_{1}+z_{2} z_{3}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(z_{1} z_{3}-z_{0} z_{2}\right)$ |
| -1 | +1 | $\lambda_{1}\left(z_{2} z_{3}-z_{0} z_{1}\right)+\lambda_{3}\left(z_{1} z_{2}-z_{0} z_{3}\right)$ |
| +1 | -1 | $\lambda_{2}\left(z_{1} z_{3}+z_{0} z_{2}\right)+\lambda_{3}\left(z_{1} z_{2}+z_{0} z_{3}\right)$ |
| -1 | -1 | not possible |

One immediately checks that the 3 families are projectively equivalent. Hence we can choose the coordinates $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ in such a way that the quartic $\bar{A}$ is given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{3}^{2}-y_{0}^{2} y_{2}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\left(\lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{1}-\{(1: 0),(0: 1),(1: i),(1:-i)\}$.
Note that $\left(\lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}\right) \neq(1: 0),(0: 1),(1: \pm i)$, since otherwise $\bar{A}$ would be reducible, contradicting the irreducibility of $A$.

From (4) one immediately sees that $\bar{A}$ is singular exactly along the coordinate lines $\left\{y_{0}=y_{3}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1}=y_{2}=0\right\}$.

Furthermore, one sees easily the pinch points at

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\left(0: y_{1}: y_{2}: 0\right) & \text { with } & \left(y_{1}^{2}: y_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(\lambda_{2}: \lambda_{1}\right) & \text { or } \\
\left(\lambda_{1}:-\lambda_{2}\right) \\
\left(y_{0}: 0: y_{3}\right) & \text { with } & \left(y_{0}^{2}: y_{3}^{2}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}\right) & \text { or }
\end{array}\left(\lambda_{2}:-\lambda_{1}\right)
$$

Remark 3.1. Squaring (4) gives (*) with $\lambda_{3}=0$ and $\lambda_{0}^{2}=2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right)$. Similarly, the two other characters correspond to $\lambda_{2}=0$ and $\lambda_{0}^{2}=-2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)$ respectively $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{0}^{2}=2\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)$. In this way one can consider the square of the quartic as a degeneration of the octic (*).

## 4. Birationality of $\varphi_{L}$

As introduced in Sect. 1 let $\pi: A \rightarrow B=A / K_{2}$ denote the natural projection. There is a line bundle $M$ on $B$ with $L=\pi^{*} M$. Let $X$ be the unique divisor of $|M|$ and $Y=\pi^{-1}(X)$. The aim of this section is to give a proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ do not admit elliptic involutions compatible with the action of $K_{2}$. Then $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is birational.

As a principally polarized abelian surface $(B, X)$ is of one of the following 2 types:
I. $X$ is smooth of genus 2 and $B=\operatorname{Jac}(X)$.
II. $X=E_{1}+E_{2}$ is the sum of 2 elliptic curves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ intersecting in one point and $B=E_{1} \times E_{2}$.

Case I. Assume that $X$ is smooth of genus 2.
Since $L$ and $M$ are ample we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{0}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \\
& \text { \| } \\
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}(L) \xrightarrow{r} H^{0}(L \mid Y) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \simeq \\
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{B}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}(M) \rightarrow H^{0}(M \mid X) \rightarrow \pi^{*}\left(H_{B}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\| \\
H^{0}\left(\omega_{x}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H$ denote the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ corresponding to $Y$. It suffices to show that
the restricted map $\varphi_{L} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y} \subseteq H$ is birational onto its image $\bar{Y}$, since then $\bar{Y}=\bar{A} \cap H$ and thus $\bar{A}$ is of degree 8 .

The map $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}} \mid Y$ is given by the linear system $|\operatorname{Im} r|$. The galois group $K_{2}$ of $\pi$ acts on $\operatorname{Im} r$ and the map $\varphi_{L} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is $K_{2}$-equivariant. Furthermore we deduce from the above diagram

$$
H^{0}(L \mid Y)=\operatorname{Im} r \oplus \pi^{*} H^{0}(M \mid X) .
$$

Comparing the dimensions we see that $\operatorname{Im}(r)$ is generated by the nontrivial representations of $K_{2}$. From the equation

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{L} \mid Y\right) \cdot \operatorname{deg} \bar{Y}=\operatorname{deg} \omega_{Y}=8
$$

we get that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{L}} \mid Y\right)=1,2$, or $4, \bar{Y}$ being non degenerate in $\mathbb{P}_{2}$.
Suppose first that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{L} \mid Y\right)=2$ that is $\operatorname{deg} \bar{Y}=4$. Then there is an involution $j_{X}: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi_{L}$ factorizes as $Y \xrightarrow{q_{Y}} Y^{\prime} \xrightarrow{*} \bar{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{2}$ where $q_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}=Y / j_{X}$ denotes the natural quotient. From the $K_{2}$-equivariance of $\varphi_{L}$ we get for every $y \in Y$ and $g \in K_{2}$

$$
\varphi_{L}\left(g j_{Y}(y)\right)=g \varphi_{L}\left(j_{Y}(y)\right)=g \varphi_{L}(y)=\varphi_{L}(g y) .
$$

This implies

$$
g j_{Y}(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
g y \\
\text { or } \\
j_{Y}(g y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the first case we would have $j_{Y}(y)=y$ for all $y \in Y$, a contradiction. Hence $j_{Y}$ commutes with the action of $K_{2}$ and there exists an involution $j_{X}$ on $X$ and a commutative diagram

where $X^{\prime}=X / j_{X}$. Denote $L^{\prime}:=\psi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\hat{Y}}(1)$. $K_{2}$ acts linearly on $L^{\prime}$ over the action of $K_{2}$ on $Y^{\prime}$. This follows from the fact that $K_{2}$ is an isotropic subspace of $K(L)$. Hence there is a line bundle $M^{\prime}$ of degree 1 on $X^{\prime}$ such that $\pi^{* *} M^{\prime}=L^{\prime}$. On the other hand, from the above statement on $\operatorname{Im} r$ we get that the map $\psi: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{2}$ is given by the linear system associated to a subspace of $H^{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ on which $K_{2}$ acts with no nonzero trivial subrepresentation. $h^{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \geqq 1$ implies that $H^{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ has a nonzero trivial subrepresentation. It follows that $g\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \leqq 1$, since otherwise $\psi$ would be given by a complete linear system according to Riemann-Roch. On the other hand, $g\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, since $Y$ is not hyperelliptic by Lemma 1.3(a). Hence we obtain $g\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=1$.

Arguing as above we get $g\left(X^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, since $h^{0}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=1$. Thus we are left with the possibility $g\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=g\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$ and this is just the case excluded in our assumption.

Finally suppose that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\varphi_{L} \mid Y\right)=4 . \bar{Y}$ is a smooth conic in this case. As a map of degree 4 of smooth curves $\varphi_{L}$ factorizes as $Y \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} Y^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \bar{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{2}$ with $K_{2}$-equivariant morphisms of degree 2 . As in the degree 2 case above we see successively that the involutions corresponding to $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ commute with the action of $K_{2}$. So we obtain in 2 steps the following diagram:

with $\operatorname{deg} \pi^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} \bar{\pi}=4$. Moreover, $K_{2}$ acts on $\bar{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{2}}(1) \mid \bar{Y}$ linearly over the action on $\bar{Y}$. It follows that there is a line bundle $\bar{M}$ on $\bar{X}$ with $\bar{L}=\bar{\pi}^{*} \bar{M}$, but this contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{deg} \bar{L}=2$ and $\operatorname{deg} \bar{\pi}=4$. This completes the proof of the theorem in Case I.

Case II. Assume now that $B=E_{1} \times E_{2}$ and $X=E_{1}+E_{2}$ with elliptic curves $E_{i}$ and $\left(E_{1} \cdot E_{2}\right)=1$. Let $F_{i}=\pi^{-1} E_{i}$, that is $Y=F_{1}+F_{2}$. The curves $F_{i}$ are elliptic with $\left(F_{1} \cdot F_{2}\right)=4$, since $\pi$ is étale. As in Case $I$ we only have to show that $\varphi_{L} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{2}$ is birational.

Let us first consider the restriction $L \mid F_{1}$. We have the following diagram with exact lines similarly as above:


The map $\varphi_{L} \mid F_{1}: F_{1} \rightarrow \bar{F}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{2}$ is given by the linear series $|\operatorname{Im} r|$. From the above diagram we deduce

$$
H^{0}\left(L \mid F_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Im} r \oplus \pi^{*} H^{0}\left(M \mid E_{1}\right) .
$$

Clearly $\pi^{*} H^{0}\left(M \mid E_{1}\right)=H^{0}\left(L \mid F_{1}\right)^{K_{2}}$ and the action of $K_{2}$ respects the decomposition. Thus $\operatorname{Im} r$ is the subvectorspace of $H^{0}\left(L \mid F_{1}\right)$ generated by the nontrivial representations of $K_{2}$.
$L \mid F_{1}=\mathcal{O}_{F_{1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i}\right)$, where $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right\}=F_{1} \cap F_{2}$, is very ample on $F_{1}$ and the complete linear system $|L| F_{1} \mid$ gives a $K_{2}$ equivariant embedding $F_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$. Denoting by $P$ the point in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ where $K_{2}$ and $i$ act trivially and by $H$ the $K_{2}$ - and $\imath$-invariant plane in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ not containing $P$ we have proved:
$\bar{F}_{1}$ is the linear projection of the embedding $F_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ given by $|L| F_{1} \mid$ into the plane $H$ with the point $P$ as a centre. Thus the birationality of $\varphi_{L} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. Let $m$ be a symmetric line bundle of degree 1 on $E_{1}, l=\pi^{*} m$ and $F_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ the embedding by the complete linear system $||\mid$. The linear projection
$p: \mathbb{P}_{3}-\{P\} \rightarrow H$ with centre the $K_{2^{-}}$and $\boldsymbol{l}$-invariant point $P$ onto the $K_{2^{-}}$and l-invariant plane $H$ induces a birational map $\widetilde{F}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{F}_{1} \subseteq H$.

Proof. For a suitable choice of coordinates $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$ of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ the quartic $\tilde{F}_{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ is the complete intersection of the 2 quadrics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-2 \lambda x_{0} x_{2} \\
& Q_{2}=x_{0}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-2 \lambda x_{1} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}-\{0, \pm 1, \pm i, \infty\}$ (see [M1, p. 351-353]). In these coordinates the group $K_{2}$ is generated by the automorphism $r: x_{j} \rightarrow i^{j} x_{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, 3$ (see [M1]). Since $l$ is symmetric the occurring maps are equivariant under the involution $(-1)_{F_{1}}$, which induces in the above coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ the involution

$$
i: \begin{cases}x_{0} \mapsto x_{0} & x_{1} \mapsto x_{3} \\ x_{2} \mapsto x_{2} & x_{3} \mapsto x_{1}\end{cases}
$$

(see [M1]). By assumption $P$ and $H$ are invariant under the action of $\iota$ and $\tau$ and $H$ does not contain $P$. There are 2 possibilities for such a pair $(P, H)$ namely

$$
\text { (i) }\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ P = ( 1 : 0 : 0 : 0 ) } \\
{ H = \{ x _ { 0 } = 0 \} }
\end{array} \text { (ii) } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
P=(0: 0: 1: 0) \\
H=\left\{x_{2}=0\right\} .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The projection $p: \tilde{F}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{F}_{1}$ is birational if each line through $P$ intersects $\tilde{F}_{1}$ in at most one point. This means in case (i) (the case (ii) is similar) that for any fixed ( $\left.0: x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right) \in H$ the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}\left(1, t x_{1}, t x_{2}, t x_{3}\right)=0 \\
Q_{2}\left(1, t x_{1}, t x_{2}, t x_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has at most one solution in $t$. But $P \notin \widetilde{F}_{1}$ implies that $t \neq 0$ for any solution and the equations are equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)=2 \lambda x_{2} \\
t^{2}\left(2 \lambda x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2}^{2}\right)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now the assertion is obvious.
We will continue with the proof of Theorem 4.1. We have seen that $\varphi_{L} \mid F_{1}: F_{1} \rightarrow \bar{F}_{1}$ is birational. Similarly this is true for $\varphi_{L} \mid F_{2}: F_{2} \rightarrow \bar{F}_{2}$ and we have to show that $\varphi_{L} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is birational. But $\bar{Y}=\bar{F}_{1} \cup \bar{F}_{2}$ and $\varphi_{L} \mid Y$ can only be of degree 1 or 2 . If it is of degree 2 , then $\bar{Y}=\bar{F}_{1}=\bar{F}_{2}$ and there is an isomorphism $F_{1} \underset{\rightarrow}{\boldsymbol{F}} F_{2}$ such that the diagram

commutes. $K_{2}$-equivariance of the map $\varphi_{L} \mid F_{1}$ implies that the isomorphism $F_{1} \Rightarrow F_{2}$ commutes with the action of $K_{2}$ and this is just the situation which we excluded by assumption. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5. The double covering $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{L}$

Let the notations be as above. In this section we want to study the situation excluded in Theorem 4.1. We will prove

Theorem 5.1. Assume that $X$ and $Y$ admit elliptic involutions compatible with the action of $K_{2}$. Then the map $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is of degree 2 onto its image.

The assumption means that there is a commutative diagram

with elliptic curves $E$ and $F$. For the proof we need
Lemma 5.2. The line bundle $L \mid Y$ descends to a line bundle $N_{F}$ on $F . K_{2}$ acts on $H^{0}\left(N_{F}\right)$. Let $W$ denote the subspace of $H^{0}\left(N_{F}\right)$ generated by the nontrivial representations of $K_{2}$ and $\varphi_{|W|}: F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{2}$ the associated map. Then the following diagram commutes


Proof. By the adjunction formula $L \mid Y=\omega_{Y}$, the canonical line bundle on $Y$. (Note that this makes sense also in the case $Y=F_{1}+F_{2}$, since $Y$ is a Gorenstein curve.) The involution $j_{Y}$ acts on $L \mid Y$. Hence there is a line bundle $N_{F}$ on $F$ such that $\omega_{Y}=p_{Y}^{*} N_{F} . h^{0}\left(N_{F}\right)=4$, since $\operatorname{deg} N_{F}=4$ and $F$ elliptic.

Similarly $M \mid X=\omega_{X}$ descends to a line bundle $N_{E}$ in $E$ with $h^{0}\left(N_{E}\right)=1$. It is now easy to see that $\tilde{\pi}^{*} N_{E}=N_{F}$ and that the following diagram commutes:


This implies the remaining assertions.
For the proof of Theorem 5.1 we will show that the involution $j_{\underline{Y}}$ extends to an involution $j_{A}$ on $A$ and that there is a birational map $\psi: A / j_{A} \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ such that the following diagram commutes


To prove this note that the involution $j_{X}: X \rightarrow X$ extends to an involution $j_{B}: B \rightarrow B$, since we are in the principally polarized case. Identifying $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(B)$, we can say that both étale coverings $Y \rightarrow X$ and $A \rightarrow B$ are given by a cyclic subgroup $\langle\alpha\rangle \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(B)$ of order 4. By assumption $j_{B}^{*}(\alpha)=j_{X}^{*}(\alpha) \in\langle\alpha\rangle$. This means that $j_{B}$ lifts to an involution $j_{A}: A \rightarrow A$ commuting with the action of $K_{2}$ on $A$ and restricting to the involution $j_{Y}$ on $Y$. In other words we have a commutative diagram


Thus $j_{A}$ is an extension of the involution $j_{Y}$ on $Y$ such that $j_{A}^{*} L=j_{A}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{A}(Y)=\mathcal{O}_{A}(Y)=L$ and $L$ descends to a line bundle $N=\mathcal{O}_{A / j_{A}}(F)$ on $A / j_{A}$. In particular the restriction $|N| \mid F$ is exactly the linear system $\left|N_{F}\right|$ implying that $h^{0}(N)=h^{0}\left(N_{F}\right)=h^{0}(L)=4$. Hence $\varphi_{L}$ factorizes via $A / j_{A}$. Finally if $\psi$ would not be birational, $\varphi_{L}$ would be of degree $>2$ contradicting Corollary 1.6.

The morphism $\psi: A / j_{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is not an embedding. In fact we know that $\bar{A}$ is singular along 2 lines in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$. On the other hand the following proposition shows that $A / j_{A}$ is a smooth surface.

Proposition 5.3. $A / j_{A}$ is $a \mathbb{P}_{1}$-bundle over the elliptic curve $F$.
Moreover one can determine explicitely a vector bundle $\mathscr{F}$ such that $A / j_{A}=P(\mathscr{F})$ (see [H-L, Sect. 5]. In fact, $\mathscr{F}$ is the direct sum of two line bundles in this case.)

Proof. In the case $B=E_{1} \times E_{2}$ the proof was given in [H-L, Sect. 5], so we will assume $X$ smooth and $B=\operatorname{Jac}(X)$. By the universal property of the Jacobian for a suitable embedding $X \rightarrow B$ there is a surjective homomorphism $B \rightarrow E=X / j_{X}$ such that the bottom triangle of the following diagram commutes


From the property that the right hand square of diagram (6) is cartesian we get a homomorphism $A \rightarrow F$ completing diagram (7). Denote by $P$ the Prym variety of the double covering $p_{x^{*}} P$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $B \rightarrow E$ (which is
connected since $p_{X}$ is ramified). Furthermore let $Q$ be the kernel of $A \rightarrow F$. By diagram (7) $Q$ is the preimage of $P$ under $\pi$.

We claim that $Q$ is isomorphic to $P$.
To see this, consider the following diagram

and apply the serpent lemma.
$j_{A}$ acts nontrivially on $Q$, since the upper sequence of (8) is exact as a sequence of groups. Moreover since $j_{A}$ acts trivially on $F$, the homomorphism $A \rightarrow F$ factorizes via $A / j_{A}$ and we obtain the diagram


This implies that $A / j_{A}$ is a fibre bundle over the elliptic curve $F$ with fibre $Q / j_{A} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{1}$.

## 6. Moduli

Given an ample line bundle $L$ on the abelian surface $A=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Lambda$, its first Chern class $c_{1}(L)$ may be considered as an alternating form $E_{A}$ on the lattice $\Lambda$. We call $E_{A}$ the polarization of $A$ determined by $L$. It depends on the class of $L$ modulo algebraic equivalence. Similarly the kernel $K=K(L)$ of the isogeny $\phi_{L}: A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ depends only on the polarization $E_{A}$. There are 24 possibilities to decompose $K$ into a direct sum of cyclic subgroups $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ maximal isotropic with respect to the alternating form $e^{L}$ ( $e^{L}$ also depends only on the polarization, See Sect. 1). Consequently the moduli space $\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}^{0}$ of triples $\left(A, E_{A}, K_{1} \oplus K_{2}\right)$ is a $24: 1$ covering of the moduli space $\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}$ of abelian surfaces with a polarization of type ( 1,4 ).

For an ample line bundle $L$ of type $(1,4)$ the fact, that the map $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is birational or not, only depends on $L$ modulo algebraic equivalence. So it makes sense to talk of a birational polarization. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1,4)}^{0}$ denote the subset of triples $\left(A, E_{A}, K_{1} \oplus K_{2}\right)$ of $\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}^{0}$ such that $E_{A}$ is birational. We have seen that $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1,4)}^{0}$ is open and dense in $\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}^{0}$. It is the aim of this section to give an explicite description of $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}^{0}}$.

Consider $\mathbb{P}_{3}=\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{3}\right)$ as the space of octic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}_{3}=\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{3}\right)$ with an equation (*) of the introduction. Equation (*) depends only on $\lambda_{0}^{2}$ and not on $\lambda_{0}$ itself. This defines an action of $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{3}$. If $S \subset \mathbb{P}_{3}\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{3}\right)$ denotes the set of $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right)$, such that (3) does not represent a Kummer surface, then we have

Theorem 6.1. There is a cononical bijection $\underset{\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}^{0}}{\sim} \underset{P_{3}}{ }-S /\left\{\lambda_{0} \mapsto \pm \lambda_{0}\right\}$. In particular, $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{(1,4)}^{0}}$ is a rational variety.

Proof. In Sect. 2 we associated to every triple $\left(A, E_{A}, K_{1} \oplus K_{2}\right) \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1,4)}^{0}$ an equation (*). Therefore it remains to show that a point $\left( \pm \lambda_{0}: \lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}-S$ determines ( $A, E_{A}, K_{1} \oplus K_{2}$ ) in a canonical way.

Suppose ( $\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}$ ) is a point in $\mathbb{P}_{3}-S$ and $\bar{A}$ is the octic in $\mathbb{P}_{3}\left(y_{0,}, \ldots, y_{3}\right)$ defined by $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right)$ and (*). According to Lemma 1.5 there is a morphism $\bar{P}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{C}$ of degree 8 with $\overline{\boldsymbol{C}}$ is the quartic given by (3) and the coefficients $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{3}$.

Step I. The abelian surface $A$.
A general surface with equation (3) has 16 singular points and this is the maximal number of singular points for an irreducible quartic in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$. Hence for any $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}-S$ the surface $\bar{C}$ is a Kummer surface. It determines a principally polarized abelian surface $C$ as follows: The coordinate plane $H_{0}$ intersects $\bar{C}$ in a double conic. On this conic there are 6 distinguished points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$, namely the nodes of $\bar{C}$ on $H_{0}$. Let $\varphi_{Z}: Z \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ denote the double cover ramified in $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$. It is well known that $C=\mathrm{Jac}(Z)$ is the principally polarized abelian surface defining the Kummer surface $\bar{C}$, that is if $N=\mathcal{O}_{c}(Z)$, then $\left|N^{2}\right|$ gives the Kummer mapping $C \rightarrow \bar{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ (see [G-H]).

The normalization $D^{\prime}$ of the quartic $\bar{D}=\bar{A} \cap H_{0}$ with double points in $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{1}$. If $D$ denotes the smooth curve associated to the composition of the function fields of $D^{\prime}$ and $Z$ over the function field of $\bar{Z}$, we have the following situation


One easily sees, that $\varphi_{D}$ is exactly ramified over the 12 pinch points $p^{\prime-1}\left(P_{4}\right)$, $p^{\prime-1}\left(P_{5}\right), p^{\prime-1}\left(P_{6}\right)$, hence $D$ is smooth of genus 5 and the map $p$ is unramified. Thus $p: D \rightarrow Z$ extends to an étale cover of abelian surfaces, also denoted by $p: A \rightarrow C$.

As a composition of galois covers $D \mid \bar{Z}$ is a galois covering. Hence $p: D \rightarrow Z$ and $p: A \rightarrow C$ are galois coverings with groups $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally note that the group structure in $A$, that is the choice of the point 0 in $A$, is determined by the group structure of the principally polarized abelian surface $C$ only upto translation by an element of $\operatorname{ker}(p)$.

Step II. The line bundle $L$.
Define $L=p^{*} N$ and let $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \overline{\bar{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ denote the map associated to $|L|$. We have to show that the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ can be chosen in such a way that $\overline{\bar{A}}=\bar{A}$.

For this we use the fact, that $\varphi_{L}$ restricts to the composition $D \xrightarrow{\Phi_{D}} D^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{D} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{2}$ and that we know this map. First of all $\overline{\bar{A}}$ cannot be a Kummer surface, since $D=\varphi_{L}^{-1}(\vec{D})$ is smooth of genus 5 . Hence $L$ is of type ( 1,4 ). It follows that $\overline{\bar{A}}$ is an octic, since otherwise (See Sect. 3) $\overline{\bar{A}}$ would not have a hyperplane section of type
$\bar{D}$. According to Sect. 2 we can choose the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ in such a way that $\overline{\bar{A}}$ is defined by a polynomial of type (*) for some $\left(\lambda_{0}^{\prime}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}$ and such that $\bar{D}$ is a hyperplane section.

But the hyperplane section determines the coefficients $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\lambda_{3}^{\prime}$ uniquely (see Sect. 2). This implies $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=\lambda_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$. Moreover, the pinch points in the hyperplane of $\bar{D}$ are uniquely determined by the situation. But they determine the discriminant $f$ of Remark 2.3. One immediately sees that the equation $f=0$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ determine the coefficient $\lambda_{0}$ uniquely upto a sign. This completes the proof of the assertion. Summarizing we have the following diagram


Step III. The decomposition $K(L)=K_{1} \oplus K_{2}$.
The group $K(L)$ is independent of the group structure chosen at the end of Step I, since $K(L)$ is invariant under translation by elements of $p^{-1}(0) \subseteq K(L)$. It follows from Step II that the map $\varphi_{L}: A \rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{3}$ is $K(L)$-equivariant, where $K(L)$ acts on $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ as above via the matrices for $\sigma$ and $\tau$ of Sect. 1 .
$\varphi_{L}$ maps the 4 points in $A_{2}^{-}$to the coordinate point $P_{3}$ (see proof of Lemma 1.4), whereas the remaining 122 -division points, namely the points in $A_{2}^{+}$, are mapped bijectively onto the set of pinch points in the coordinate plane $H_{3}$. Now the inclusion $\operatorname{ker}(p) \subseteq A_{2}^{+}$implies that for all $x \in \operatorname{ker}(p)$ and for all $\alpha \in\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$ the set $\varphi_{L}^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(\varphi_{L}(x)\right)\right)$ consists only of 1 point.

In particular the following definition makes sense: Let $x_{1}:=\varphi_{L}^{-1}\left(\sigma\left(\varphi_{L}(0)\right)\right)$ and $x_{2}:=\varphi_{L}^{-1}\left(\tau\left(\varphi_{L}(0)\right)\right) . K_{1}=\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ and $K_{2}=\left\langle x_{2}\right\rangle$ are isotropic subgroups of $K(L)$ cyclic of order 4 and we have $\operatorname{ker}(p)=\left\langle 0,2 x_{1}, 2 x_{2}, 2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}\right\rangle$. We have to show that $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are independent of the choice of 0 in $A$. But this follows from the fact that for every $x \subset \operatorname{ker}(p)$ we have

$$
\varphi_{L}^{-1}\left(\sigma\left(\varphi_{L}(x)\right)\right)-x=x_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{L}^{-1}\left(\tau\left(\varphi_{L}(x)\right)\right)-x=x_{2}
$$

which is easily checked. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.
Remark 6.2. In fact, the set $S \subset \mathbb{P}_{3}\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{3}\right)$ turns out to be simply the set $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}=0$. In the family of octics (*) the following degenerations occur:

1. One of the $\lambda_{i}=0(i=1,2,3)$, other general: The quartic $\tilde{Q}=0$ is an elliptic scroll with 2 singular lines. These can be interpreted as Kummer varieties of generalized Jacobians.
2. Two of the $\lambda_{i}=0(i=1,2,3)$, others general: $\tilde{Q}$ decomposes into two distinct quadrics.
3. The three conics $\left\{\lambda_{1}=0 ; \lambda_{0}^{2}=2\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)\right\},\left\{\lambda_{2}=0 ; \lambda_{0}^{2}=-2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right\}\right.$ and $\left\{\lambda_{3}=0 ; \lambda_{0}^{2}=2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}: \tilde{Q}$ becomes a perfect square (see Remark 3.1).
4. All $\lambda_{i}=0, i=1,2,3: \tilde{Q}$ decomposes into four planes.

## 7. Cyclic covers of products of elliptic curves

Let $\left(A, E_{A}, K_{1} \oplus K_{2}\right)$ denote a point of $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1,4)}^{0}$. In the proof of the main result we had to distinguish the 2 cases $A / K_{2} \cong \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ and $A / K_{2} \cong E_{1} \times E_{2}$. In this section we want to determine explicitely the set of $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}$ for which the latter case occurs. We will see that this is a certain cubic in $\mathbb{P}_{3}$ :

Theorem 7.1. Let $\left(A, E_{A}, K_{1} \oplus K_{2}\right) \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1,4)}^{0}$ corresponding to a point $\left(\lambda_{0} \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{3}$ under the isomorphism of Theorm 6.1. Then $A / K_{2} \cong E_{1} \times E_{2}$ if and only if $\left(\lambda_{0} \div \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(4 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)-2 \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. Step I. Let the notations be as in the proof of Theorem 6.2. First recall that the linear system $|L| \mid Y$ is the subspace of $H^{0}(L \mid Y)$ generated by the nontrivial representations of $K_{2}$ and $(-1)_{A}$ (note that $(-1)_{A}$ acts on $Y$ ), and that the induced $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{L} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y} \subseteq P_{3}$ is $K_{2}$ - and $(-1)_{A}$-equivariant. Hence $\bar{Y}$ lies in a hyperplane $H$ on which $L$ and $\pi$ act. If $Q$ denotes the polynomial (*) of the introduction associated to the point $\left(\lambda_{0}: \cdots: \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{P}_{3}$, then the restriction $Q \mid H$ is an equation for $\bar{Y}$ in $H$. Moreover $B=E_{1} \times E_{2}$ if and only if $Y=F_{1}+F_{2}$ with elliptic curves $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. The restrictions $\mid L \| F_{i}$ map $F_{i} K_{2}$ - and $(-1)_{A}$-equivariantly onto $\bar{F}_{i}$ in $H$ and $\bar{Y}=\bar{F}_{1} \cup \bar{F}_{2}$. Thus the plane octic $Q \mid H$ splits into 2 quartics both invariant under the action of $t$ and $\tau$.

The idea of the proof is to compute the equations of $\bar{F}_{1}$ and $\bar{F}_{2}$ and to compare its product with the equation for $\bar{Y}$.

Step II. The conditions $\tau H=H$ and $t H=H$ leave us with the following 2 possibilities for $H:\left\{y_{0}=y_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{0}=-y_{1}\right\}$. But the octic $Q$ is a polynomial in the squares $y_{0}^{2}, \ldots, y_{3}^{2}$ such that $Q\left|\left\{y_{0}=y_{1}\right\}=Q\right|\left\{y_{0}=-y_{1}\right\}$, and without loss of generality we may assume

$$
H=\left\{y_{0}=y_{1}\right\} .
$$

Identifying $H$ with $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ via the isomorphism $\left(y_{1}: y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right)$ we get as an equation for $\bar{Y}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{8} & +2 \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) y_{1}^{6}\left(y_{3}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}\left(y_{2}^{4}+y_{3}^{4}\right)+\left(4 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2}\right) y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\left(y_{3}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{4} y_{3}^{4}=0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Step II. In order to compute equations for $\bar{F}_{1}$ and $\bar{F}_{2}$ we will consider some special points on the $\bar{F}_{i}$ 's. On one hand, as a curve of geometric genus 1 the plane quartic $\bar{F}_{i}$ is singular in exactly 2 points. On the other hand, $\bar{F}_{1}$ and $\bar{F}_{2}$ intersect each other in 16 points. Thus $\bar{Y}$ is singular in exactly 20 points. Of course, everything has to be counted with multiplicities here.

Some of the singularities are the intersection of $\bar{Y}$ with the coordinate planes $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{3}$, since $\bar{A}$ is singular there. Explicitely we have:
(i) $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{\cap} \cap H_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}} \cap H_{1}=\left\{P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$ both with multiplicity 4 , since $Q\left(0,0, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=$ $\lambda_{0}^{2} y_{2}^{4} y_{3}^{4}$.
(ii) $\bar{Y} \cap H_{2}=\left\{P_{3},\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: \sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: 0: \pm i \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}\right)\right\}$ again with $P_{3}$ of multiplicity 4 and the other points with multiplicity 2 , since $Q\left(y_{1}, y_{1}, 0, y_{3}\right)=y_{1}^{4}\left(\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) y_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}$.
(iii) $\bar{Y} \cap H_{3}=\left\{P_{2},\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: \sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: \pm \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}: 0\right)\right\}$ with multiplicities as above, since $Q\left(y_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)=y_{1}^{4}\left(\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) y_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}$.

According to the multiplicities $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are the singular points of $\bar{F}_{1}$ and $\bar{F}_{2}$ and the other points $\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: \sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: \pm \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}: 0\right)$ and $\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: \sqrt{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}: 0: \pm i \sqrt{\lambda_{1}}\right)$ are points in $\bar{F}_{1} \cap \bar{F}_{2}$.

Step $I V$. Now we can compute equations for $\bar{F}_{1}$ and $\bar{F}_{2}$ : Let

$$
P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq \\ j+k+k, l \leqq 4}} a_{j k l} y_{1}^{i} y_{2}^{j} y_{3}^{k}
$$

be a polynomial defining $\bar{F}_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{2}$. There are complex numbers $\chi(\tau)$ and $\chi(\tau)$ such that the action of $\tau$ and $t$ gives as usual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{*} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=P\left(y_{1}, i y_{3}, i y_{2}\right)=\chi(\tau) P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \\
& \imath^{*} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=P\left(y_{1}, y_{2},-y_{3}\right)=\chi(\imath) P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing coefficients we get

$$
\chi(\tau) a_{j k l}=i^{l+k} a_{j l k} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi(l) a_{j k l}=(-1)^{l} a_{j k l}
$$

This implies

| $\chi(\tau)$ | $\chi(i)$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +1 | +1 | $a y_{1}^{4}+b\left(y_{2}^{4}+y_{3}^{4}\right)+c y_{1}^{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)+d y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}$ |
| -1 | +1 | $\left(a\left(y_{2}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)+b y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
| +1 | -1 | $y_{2} y_{3}\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
| -1 | -1 | $\left(a\left(y_{2}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)+b y_{1}^{2}\right) y_{2} y_{3}$ |
| $i$ | -1 | $y_{2} y_{3}\left(y_{2}^{2}+i y_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
| $-i$ | -1 | $y_{2} y_{3}\left(y_{2}^{2}-i y_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
| $i$ | +1 | $a\left(y_{2}^{4}+i y_{3}^{4}\right)+b y_{1}^{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}-i y_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
| $-i$ | +1 | $a\left(y_{2}^{4}-i y_{3}^{4}\right)+b y_{1}^{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}+i y_{3}^{2}\right)$ |

The condition $P_{2}=(0: 0: 1: 0) \in \bar{F}_{i}$ implies that $a$ is zero in the last 2 equations. Hence the last 7 equations are reducible and cannot occur as equations for the irreducible quartic $\bar{F}_{i}$.

We are left with the first case. Since $\bar{F}_{i}$ has to contain the special points of step III, we get $b=0,(\mathrm{a}: c)=\left(\lambda_{1}:-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\right)$ and there are complex number $\mu_{i}$ such that

$$
\bar{F}_{i}=\left\{\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{4}-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) y_{1}^{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)+\mu_{i} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}=0\right\}
$$

for $i=1$ and 2 .

Step $V$. In terms of equations the condition $\bar{Y}=\bar{F}_{1} \cup \bar{F}_{2}$ means:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(y_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)= & \prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{4}-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) y_{1}^{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)+\mu_{i} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\right) \\
= & \lambda_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{8}+2 \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) y_{1}^{6}\left(y_{3}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2} y_{1}^{4}\left(y_{2}^{4}+y_{3}^{4}\right) \\
& +\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)-2\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}\right) y_{1}^{4} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2} \\
& +\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}^{2}\left(y_{3}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu_{1} \mu_{2} y_{2}^{4} y_{3}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (9) above we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{0}^{2} & =\lambda_{1}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)-2\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2} \\
2 \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) & =\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right) \\
\lambda_{1}^{2} & =\mu_{1} \mu_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which immediately implies the assertion of Theorem 7.1.
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