Advanced Macroeconomics

Chapter 10: Asset pricing and macroeconomics

Günter W. Beck

University of Mainz

January 11, 2011

Overview

1 Expected utility and risk

2 Market efficiency

3 Asset pricing and contingent claims

4 General equilibrium asset pricing

- Assume an investor is offered a "lottery" with two possible pay-offs X_1 or X_2 which occur with probability π and $1-\pi$, respectively.
- If we denote the (random) outcome of the lottery by X and the expected outcome by $E\left(X\right)$ then we have:

$$\pi X_1 + (1 - \pi) X_2 = E(X).$$
 (1)

• The investor is said to be

risk-averse risk-averse risk-loving
$$\begin{cases} U[E(X)] > E[U(X)] \\ U[E(X)] = E[U(X)] \\ U[E(X)] < E[U(X)] \end{cases}$$
 (2)

with
$$U[E(X)] = U[\pi X_1 + (1 - \pi) X_2]$$
 and $E[U(X)] = \pi_1 U(X_1) + (1 - \pi) U(X_2)$.

⇒ Interpretation?

 Consider the expression for the expected utility from playing the lottery:

$$E\left[U\left(X\right)\right].\tag{3}$$

• Taking a second-order Taylor approximation of this expression around X = E(X) yields:

$$E[U(X)] \approx E[U(E(X))] + E\left\{\frac{\partial [U(E(X))]}{\partial X}(X - E(X))\right\} (4)$$

$$+ E\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} [U(E(X))]}{\partial X^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (X - E(X))^{2}\right\} =$$

$$= U(E(X)) + \frac{1}{2}E(X - E(X))^{2}U''(E(X)).$$

• The just derived equation shows that:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}
U\left[E\left(X\right)\right] > E\left[U\left(X\right)\right] \\
U\left[E\left(X\right)\right] = E\left[U\left(X\right)\right] \\
U\left[E\left(X\right)\right] < E\left[U\left(X\right)\right]
\end{array}\right\} \iff \left\{\begin{array}{l}
C''(.) = \\
C''(.$$

⇒ Interpretation?

- We now consider a case in which the investor can buy one of two assets. These two assets have the following characteristics:
 - **Asset 1**: The return of the asset (denoted by r^f) is certain.
 - ⇒ Risk-free asset
 - Asset 2: The return of the asset (denoted by r) is subject to
 uncertainty. The expected rate of return is denoted by E(r), the
 degree of uncertainty is captured by the variance of r, denoted by
 V(r).
 - ⇒ Risky asset

• Assuming that an investor has initial wealth X_0 , the expected return on the safe asset (denoted by $E(X^f)$) is given by:

$$E\left(X^{f}\right) = E\left[\left(1 + r^{f}\right)X_{0}\right] = \left(1 + r^{f}\right)X_{0} = X^{f}.$$
 (6)

• The expected return on the risky asset (denoted by $E(X^r)$) is given by:

$$E(X^r) = E[(1+r)X_0] = (1+E(r))X_0.$$
 (7)

 \implies Assuming $E(r) = r^f$, which asset should the investor buy?

 Answer: The investor should buy the asset which yields the higher expected utility.

• The expected utility from investing in the safe asset (denoted by $E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right]$) is given by:

$$E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right] = U\left(X^{f}\right) = U\left[E\left(X^{f}\right)\right]. \tag{8}$$

• To evaluate the expected utility from investing in the risky asset (denoted by $E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right]$) we compute a second-order Taylor approximation of this expression around $r=r^{f}$. This yields (Remember: $X^{f}=\left(1+r^{f}\right)X_{0}$):

$$\begin{split} E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right] &\approx E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right] + E\left\{\frac{\partial\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right]}{\partial X}\left(\frac{\partial X^{r}}{\partial r}\right)_{r=r^{f}}\left(r - r^{f}\right)\right\} + \\ &+ E\left\{\frac{\partial^{2}\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right]}{\partial X^{2}}\left(X_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(r - r^{f}\right)^{2}\right\}. \end{split}$$

• The latter expression can be simplified to:

$$E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right] \approx E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(X_{0}^{2}\right)U''\left(X^{f}\right)E\left\{\left(r - r^{f}\right)^{2}\right\}$$
$$= E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(X_{0}^{2}\right)U''\left(X^{f}\right)V\left(r\right).$$

• If the investor is risk-averse, we thus have:

$$E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right] < E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right]. \tag{9}$$

→ Interpretation?

The equation

$$E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right] < E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right]. \tag{10}$$

shows that a risk-averse investor will prefer to hold a risk-free asset relative to a risky asset given that the expected returns of the two assets are equal.

- We now want to examine how much compensation (in terms of additional return, ρ) the investor must be offered to be willing to hold the risky asset.
- In other words, we want to examine how large ρ in the following equation must be:

$$E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right] = E\left[U\left(\left(1 + r + \rho\right)X_{0}\right)\right] = E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right]. \tag{11}$$

(Please note that we now assume that the expected return of the risky asset is: $E\left(r\right)=r^{f}+\rho$.)

• A second-order Taylor approximation of the term $E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right]=E\left[U\left(\left(1+r\right)X_{0}\right)\right]$ around $r=r^{f}+\rho$ yields:

$$\begin{split} E\left[U\left(\left(1+r\right)X_{0}\right)\right] &\approx E\left[U\left(\left(1+r^{r}+\rho\right)X_{0}\right)\right] + \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(X_{0}^{2}\right)U''\left(X^{f}\right)E\left\{\left(r-r^{f}-\rho\right)^{2}\right\}. \end{split}$$

• A first-order Taylor approximation of $E\left[U\left(\left(1+r^f+\rho\right)X_0\right)\right]$ around $\rho=0$ yields:

$$E\left[U\left(\left(1+r^{r}+\rho\right)X_{0}\right)\right] \approx E\left[U\left(\left(1+r^{f}\right)X_{0}\right)\right] + \left(12\right)$$

$$+U'\left(\left(1+r^{f}\right)X_{0}\right)X_{0}\left(\rho-0\right).$$

• For $E[U(X^r)]$ we then obtain:

$$E\left[U\left(X^{r}\right)\right] \approx E\left[U\left(X^{f}\right)\right] + U'\left(X^{f}\right)X_{0}\rho + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(X_{0}^{2}\right)U''\left(X^{f}\right)E\left\{\left(r - r^{f} - \rho\right)^{2}\right\}.$$

• Then $E[U(X^r)] = E[U(X^f)]$ if:

$$\rho = -\frac{X_0 U''}{U'} \frac{V(r)}{2} \tag{13}$$

⇒ Interpretation?

Market efficiency

- Definition of market efficiency (Wickens, 2009):
 - A market is said to be **efficient** if there are no unexploited arbitrage opportunities.
- An arbitrage portfolio is a self-financing portfolio with a zero or negative cost that has a positive payoff.
 - ⇒ If unexploited arbitrage opportunities exist the investors *gets* something for nothing.
- Implication: For any risky asset i with return r_{i,t+1} the absence of arbitrage opportunities implies:

$$E_t r_{i,t+1} = r_t^f + \rho_{i,t}, (14)$$

where $\rho_{i,t}$ denotes the risk premium of asset i.

- Basic principle in asset pricing: The value of any investment is found by computing the value today (present value) of all cash flows the investment will generate over its lifetime.
- Problem: Future payoffs depend on unknown future economic conditions.
 - ⇒ There is a high degree of uncertainty about future payoffs.
 - ⇒ To price assets: Modeling of uncertainty necessary.
- Approach to model uncertainty:
 - We assume that in each period one out of s = 1, 2, ..., S possible states of nature can occur.
 - The probability that state s occurs is denoted by $\pi(s)$. Since exactly one state of nature s occurs in each period we have:

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) = 1. \tag{15}$$

Specification of the asset market:

- There are s = 1, 2, ..., S different types of assets.
- An asset of type s pays off one euro if state s occurs and zero otherwise.
 - \Longrightarrow State-contingent claim.
 - \implies If one state-contingent claim exists for each possible state of nature we have **complete markets**.
- The price of state-contingent claim s is denoted by q(s).
- The vector $q = [q(1) \ q(2) \ \dots \ q(S)]'$ is denoted as state-price vector.

Günter W. Beck ()

Pricing of non-state contingent assets:

- Assume we have an asset that pays off x(s) euros in state s (for $s=1,2,\ldots,S$).
- Note that a portfolio which contains x (s) units of the state-contingent claim s has the same pay-off as the asset that provides a pay-off x (s) in each state of nature.
 - \implies The prices of the asset and the portfolio must be equal.
- Assuming that the prices of the state-contingent claims are given we therefore have that the price of the asset, denoted by p, must satisfy:

$$p = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) x(s). \tag{16}$$

- Pricing of non-state contingent assets (continued):
 - The "pricing formula" of the last page can be reformulated as follows:

$$p = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) x(s) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) \frac{q(s)}{\pi(s)} x(s) =$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) m(s) x(s) = E(mx)$$
(17)

 $\implies m(s)$: Stochastic discount factor of 1 euro in state s.

⇒ Interpretation?

- Deriving an expression for the risk premium:
 - Dividing equation (16) (formula for the price of an asset) by p yields:

$$p = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) \times (s) \iff 1 = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) \frac{x(s)}{p} = \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) (1 + r(s))$$
$$= \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) \frac{q(s)}{\pi(s)} (1 + r(s)) =$$
$$= E[m(1+r)]$$
(18)

• For the risk-free asset (with a rate of return of r^f) we obtain:

$$1 = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) m(s) \left(1 + r^{f}\right) = E(m) \left(1 + r^{f}\right) \iff (19)$$

$$\iff 1 = \frac{x}{p^{f}} E(m) \iff p^{f} = x E(m).$$

- Deriving an expression for the risk premium (continued):
 - The expectational term E[m(1+r)] (equation (18) can be written as follows:

$$E[m(1+r)] = E(m)E(1+r) + Cov(m, 1+r).$$
(Remember: $Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$)

• Then equation (18) can be written as:

$$1 = E[m(1+r)] = E(m)E(1+r) + Cov(m, 1+r) \iff (21)$$

$$E(1+r) = \frac{1}{E(m)} - \frac{Cov(m, 1+r)}{E(m)}$$

• Using the expression for $E\left(m\right)$ derived for the risk-free asset $\left(E\left(m\right)=\frac{1}{\left(1+r^{f}\right)}\right)$ we can write:

$$E(1+r) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{(1+r^f)}} - \frac{\text{Cov}(m, 1+r)}{\frac{1}{(1+r^f)}}.$$
 (22)

- Deriving an expression for the risk premium (continued):
 - The just derived equation can be transformed rearranged as follows:

$$E(1+r) = (1+r^f) - (1+r^f) \operatorname{Cov}(m, 1+r) \iff (23)$$

$$\iff E(r) = r^f - (1+r^f) \operatorname{Cov}(m, 1+r).$$

⇒ Interpretation?

• From the equation

$$E_t r_{i,t+1} = r_t^f + \rho_{i,t}, (24)$$

we see that the risk premium for the risky asset is given by:

$$\rho = -\left(1 + r^f\right) \operatorname{Cov}\left(m, 1 + r\right). \tag{25}$$

- Basic idea: Prices of financial assets and real variables are determined jointly.
- Model setup:
 - Two-period model.
 - Economy is inhabited by one representative household.
 - Period t's income (denoted by y) is certain, period t + 1's income is uncertain.
 - Period t + 1's income depends on the state of nature in that period (denoted by s) and is given by: y(s).
 - Future income/consumption is discounted at rate q(s).
 - The household's lifetime utility function is given by:

$$V = U(c_t) + \beta E_t U(c_{t+1}). \tag{26}$$

- Optimization problem of the household:
 - The household maximizes expected lifetime utility, i.e., the objective function of the household is given by:

$$\max_{c,c(s)_{s=1}^{S}} V = U(c_{t}) + \beta E_{t} U(c_{t+1}) = U(c_{t}) + \beta \sum_{s=1}^{S} U(c(s))$$
 (27)

where S denotes the number of possible states of nature in period t+1, $\pi(s)$ denotes the probability that state s will occur and the period utility function U(.) is strictly concave in c.

• The household's intertemporal budget constraint is given by:

$$c + \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) c(s) = y + \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) y(s),$$
 (28)

where q(s) denotes the state price for contingent claims that are used to value future consumption and income in state s.

- Optimization problem of the household (continued):
 - The Lagrangian of the household is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = U(c_{t}) + \beta \sum_{s=1}^{S} E_{t}U(c(s)) +$$

$$+\lambda \left[y + \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s)y(s) - c - \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s)c(s) \right].$$
(29)

- Model solution:
 - The first-order conditions are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c} = U'(c) - \lambda \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \iff U'(c) = \lambda \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c\left(s\right)} = \beta \pi\left(s\right) U'\left(c\left(s\right)\right) - \lambda q\left(s\right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \iff \beta \frac{\pi\left(s\right)}{q\left(s\right)} U'\left(c\left(s\right)\right) = \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = y + \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) y(s) - c - \sum_{s=1}^{S} q(s) c(s) \stackrel{!}{=} 0.$$

- Model solution (continued):
 - Combining the first two first-order conditions yields:

$$U'(c) = \beta \frac{\pi(s)}{q(s)} U'(c(s)) \iff q(s) = \beta \pi(s) \frac{U'(c(s))}{U'(c)}$$
(31)

• Above, we defined the stochastic discount factor m(s) as:

$$m(s) = \frac{q(s)}{\pi(s)} \iff q(s) = m(s) \pi(s)$$
 (32)

 Using this expression and the result for the combined two first-order conditions we obtain:

$$q(s) = \beta \pi(s) \frac{U'(c(s))}{U'(c)} \iff m(s) = \beta \frac{U'(c(s))}{U'(c)}$$
(33)

⇒ Interpretation?

- Implications:
 - Above, we showed that the price of an asset which has the payoff x(s) in state s (with $s=1,2,\ldots,S$) is given by:

$$p = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) m(s) x(s).$$
 (34)

• The price of tomorrow's output y(s) is thus given by (using the result for the stochastic discount factor derived above):

$$p = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) m(s) y(s) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) \frac{\beta U'(c(s))}{U'(c)} y(s).$$
 (35)

• Dividing by p (and remembering that $\frac{y(s)}{p} = 1 + r(s)$ we obtain:

$$1 = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \pi(s) \frac{\beta U'(c(s))}{U'(c)} [1 + r(s)] \iff$$

$$1 = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \left[1 + r_{t+1}\right] \right\} \Longleftrightarrow U'\left(c\right) = \beta E_t \left\{ U'\left(c_{t+1}\right) \left[1 + r_{t+1}\right] \right\}.$$

- Implications (continued):
 - Since

$$\begin{split} E_{t}\left\{\left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)}\right]\left[1+r_{t+1}\right]\right\} &= E_{t}\left\{\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)}\right\}E_{t}\left\{\left[1+r_{t+1}\right]\right\} + \\ &+ Cov\left\{\left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)}\right],\left[1+r_{t+1}\right]\right\}. \end{split}$$

we can write

$$1 = E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \left[1 + r_{t+1}\right] \right\} = E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right\} E_{t} \left\{ \left[1 + r_{t+1}\right] \right\} + Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)}\right], \left[1 + r_{t+1}\right] \right\}$$

- Implications (continued):
 - If future return was certain $(r_{t+1} = r_{t+1}^f)$ we would have that

$$Cov\left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right] \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] \right\} = 0 \tag{37}$$

and therefore

$$1 = E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\beta U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_{t})} \right\} E_{t} \left\{ \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] \right\}$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{\left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right]} = E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\beta U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_{t})} \right\}$$

$$(38)$$

- Implications (continued):
 - Combining the expression for the risk-free asset and the risky asset we obtain:

$$\begin{split} 1 &= E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right\} E_{t} \left\{ [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} + Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} \\ &= E_{t} \left\{ \frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right\} E_{t} \left\{ [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} = 1 - Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right]} E_{t} \left\{ [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} = 1 - Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} \\ E_{t} \left\{ [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} = \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] - \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'\left(c_{t+1}\right)}{U'\left(c_{t}\right)} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} \\ \Longrightarrow \text{Consumption-based capital asset-pricing model (C-CAPM)} \end{split}$$

- Implications (continued):
 - Taking a Taylor approximation of the marginal utility in period t+1 around c_t we obtain:

$$U'\left(c_{t+1}\right) \approx U'\left(c_{t}\right) + U''\left(c_{t}\right)\left(c_{t+1} - c_{t}\right) = U'\left(c_{t}\right) + U''\left(c_{t}\right)\Delta c_{t+1}.$$

• For the term $\frac{\beta U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_t)}$ we then obtain:

$$\frac{\beta U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_{t})} = \beta \frac{U'(c_{t}) + U''(c_{t}) \Delta c_{t+1}}{U'(c_{t})} = \beta \left[1 + \frac{U''(c_{t}) \Delta c_{t+1}}{U'(c_{t})} \right] = \beta \left[1 + \frac{c_{t} U''(c_{t})}{U'(c_{t})} \frac{\Delta c_{t+1}}{c_{t}} \right] = \beta \left[1 - \sigma_{t} \frac{\Delta c_{t+1}}{c_{t}} \right]$$

 \Longrightarrow Interpretation of σ ?

- Implications (continued):
 - Then the expression for the expected rate of return from the previous slide can be written as:

$$E_{t} \left\{ [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\} = \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] - \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\beta U'(c_{t+1})}{U'(c_{t})} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\}$$

$$E_{t} \left[r_{t+1} \right] = r_{t+1}^{f} - \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] Cov \left\{ \beta \left[1 - \sigma_{t} \frac{\Delta c_{t+1}}{c_{t}} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\}$$

$$= r_{t+1}^{f} + \left[1 + r_{t+1}^{f} \right] \beta \sigma_{t} Cov \left\{ \left[\frac{\Delta c_{t+1}}{c_{t}} \right], [1 + r_{t+1}] \right\}$$

\implies Interpretation?

(Note: If a is a scalar and X and Y are random variables we have

$$Cov(a + X, Y) = E((a + X) Y) - E(a + X) E(Y) = (41)$$

$$= E(aY) + E(XY) - (E(a) E(Y) + E(X) E(Y)) = Cov(X, Y).)$$