

# Advanced Macroeconomics

## Chapter 5: Government: Expenditures and public finances

Günter W. Beck

University of Mainz

December 14, 2010

# Overview

- ① The government budget constraint
  - The nominal government budget constraint
  - The real government budget constraint
- ② Financing government expenditures: Tax finance
- ③ The sustainability of the fiscal stance
- ④ The stability and growth pact

# The nominal government budget constraint

- The nominal government budget constraint is given by:

$$P_t g_t + P_t h_t + (1 + R_t) B_t = B_{t+1} + \Delta M_{t+1} + P_t T_t. \quad (1)$$

- Notation:
  - $P_t$ : Price level.
  - $g_t$ : Real government expenditure.
  - $h_t$ : Real transfers to households.
  - $B_t$ : Government “revenue” from issuing bonds in period  $t - 1$ .
  - $R_t$ : Interest rate on government bonds issued in period  $t - 1$ .
  - $M_t^B$ : Monetary base supplied at the start of period  $t$   
( $\Delta M_{t+1} = M_{t+1} - M_t$ ).
  - $T_t^B$ : Total real taxes.

# The real government budget constraint

- Dividing the nominal government budget constraint by the price level  $P_t$  yields:

$$g_t + h_t + \frac{(1 + R_t) B_t}{P_t} = \frac{B_{t+1}}{P_t} + \frac{\Delta M_{t+1}}{P_t} + T_t \iff \quad (2)$$

$$g_t + h_t + (1 + R_t) b_t = \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \frac{B_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}} + \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \frac{M_{t+1}}{P_{t+1}} - \frac{M_t}{P_t} + T_t \iff$$

$$g_t + h_t + (1 + R_t) b_t = \frac{(1 + \pi_{t+1}) P_t}{P_t} (b_{t+1} + m_{t+1}) - m_t + T_t \iff$$

$$g_t + h_t + (1 + R_t) b_t = (1 + \pi_{t+1}) (b_{t+1} + m_{t+1}) - m_t + T_t.$$

# Financing government expenditures: Tax finance

- Assumption: Consider a **permanent** increase in government spending in period  $t$  by  $\Delta g_t$  which is financed by an increase in lump-sum taxes,  $T_t$ .
- Since the increase is permanent we have:

$$\Delta g_{t+s} = \Delta g_t = \Delta g, \quad \forall s \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

- Moreover, we have:

$$\Delta T_{t+s} = \Delta T_t = \Delta T = \Delta g, \quad \forall s \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

- Since the additional government expenditures are financed by tax increases no additional deficit is generated, i.e.  $\Delta b_{t+s} = 0, \forall s \geq 0$ .

# Financing government expenditures: Tax finance

- The permanent tax increase will reduce the households' available income,  $x - T$ , from period  $t$  on.
- The households' permanent income without the tax increase (denoted by  $W_t^o$ ) is given by:

$$W_t^o = (1 + R) a_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + R} \right)^s [x_{t+s} - T_{t+s}]. \quad (5)$$

where we assumed that  $\pi = 0$  and therefore  $r = R$ .

- The households' permanent income with the tax increase (denoted by  $W_t^n$ ) is given by:

$$W_t^n = (1 + R) a_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + R} \right)^s [x_{t+s} - T_{t+s} - \Delta T] \quad (6)$$

# Financing government expenditures: Tax finance

- The households' permanent income with the tax increase can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_t^n &= (1+R) a_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+R} \right)^s [x_{t+s} - T_{t+s} - \Delta T] = & (7) \\
 &= (1+R) a_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+R} \right)^s [x_{t+s} - T_{t+s}] - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+R} \right)^s \Delta T = \\
 &= (1+R) a_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+R} \right)^s [x_{t+s} - T_{t+s}] - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1+R}} \Delta T = \\
 &= (1+R) a_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+R} \right)^s [x_{t+s} - T_{t+s}] - \frac{1+R}{R} \Delta T.
 \end{aligned}$$

- The change in households' permanent income,  $\Delta W_t = W_t^o - W_t^n$ , is therefore given by:

$$\Delta W_t = W_t^n - W_t^o = -\frac{1+R}{R} \Delta T. \quad (8)$$

# Financing government expenditures: Tax finance

- Given the reduction in households' permanent income their consumption will also fall.
- Denoting by  $c_t^o$  the households' consumption without tax increases, by  $c_t^n$  the households' consumption with tax increases and by  $\Delta c_t$  the change in consumption then we have (given the assumptions of chapter 4.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta c_t &= c_t^n - c_t^o = \left( \frac{R}{1+R} \right) W_t^n - \left( \frac{R}{1+R} \right) W_t^o = \\
 &= \left( \frac{R}{1+R} \right) (W_t^n - W_t^o) = \left( \frac{R}{1+R} \right) \left( - \left( \frac{1+R}{R} \right) \Delta T \right) \\
 &= -\Delta T.
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$\implies$  The tax-financed increase in permanent government spending leads to an equally-sized decrease in private consumption.



# Financing government expenditures: Tax finance

- The overall effect of the permanent increase in government spending on the economy (denoted by  $\Delta y_t$ ) is given by:

$$\Delta y_t = \Delta c_t + \Delta g_t = -\Delta T + \Delta T = 0. \quad (10)$$

$\implies$  Permanent increase in government spending has no effect on the economy.

# The sustainability of the fiscal stance

- Starting point: Nominal government budget constraint

$$P_t g_t + P_t h_t + (1 + R_t) B_t = B_{t+1} + \Delta M_{t+1} + P_t T_t. \quad (11)$$

- Dividing both sides of this equation by nominal GDP,  $P_t y_t$ , yields:

$$\frac{P_t g_t}{P_t y_t} + \frac{P_t h_t}{P_t y_t} + \frac{(1 + R_t) B_t}{P_t y_t} = \frac{B_{t+1}}{P_t y_t} + \frac{M_{t+1}}{P_t y_t} - \frac{M_t}{P_t y_t} + \frac{P_t T_t}{P_t y_t}. \quad (12)$$

- Rearranging and simplifying yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_t}{y_t} + \frac{h_t}{y_t} + (1 + R_t) \frac{b_t}{y_t} &= \frac{T_t}{y_t} + \frac{B_{t+1}}{\frac{1}{(1+\pi_{t+1})} P_{t+1} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma_{t+1})} y_{t+1}} + \\ &+ \frac{M_{t+1}}{\frac{1}{(1+\pi_{t+1})} P_{t+1} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma_{t+1})} y_{t+1}} - \frac{m_t}{y_t} \end{aligned}$$

# The sustainability of the fiscal stance

- The just derived equation can be simplified as follows:

$$\frac{g_t}{y_t} + \frac{h_t}{y_t} + (1 + R_t) \frac{b_t}{y_t} = \frac{T_t}{y_t} + (1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + \gamma_{t+1}) \left( \frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} + \frac{m_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} \right) - \frac{m_t}{y_t}$$

where  $\gamma_{t+1}$  denotes the growth rate of real GDP between periods  $t$  and  $t + 1$ .

- The question we want to analyze in this section is whether a given government deficit,  $P_t D_t$ , is sustainable or not.
- The government deficit in a given period  $t$  is given by:

$$P_t D_t = P_t g_t + P_t h_t + R_t B_t - P_t T_t - (M_{t+1} - M_t). \quad (13)$$

# The sustainability of the fiscal stance

- Dividing the just derived equation by nominal GDP,  $P_t y_t$ , yields:

$$\frac{D_t}{y_t} = \frac{g_t}{y_t} + \frac{h_t}{y_t} + R_t \frac{b_t}{y_t} - \frac{T_t}{y_t} - (1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + \gamma_{t+1}) \frac{m_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} - \frac{m_t}{y_t}. \quad (14)$$

- From the budget constraint we see that the right-hand side of this equation is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_t}{y_t} + \frac{h_t}{y_t} + R_t \frac{b_t}{y_t} - \frac{T_t}{y_t} - (1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + \gamma_{t+1}) \frac{m_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} - \frac{m_t}{y_t} &= \quad (15) \\ &= (1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + \gamma_{t+1}) \frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} - \frac{b_t}{y_t}. \end{aligned}$$

- That is, we have:

$$\frac{D_t}{y_t} = (1 + \pi_{t+1}) (1 + \gamma_{t+1}) \frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} - \frac{b_t}{y_t}. \quad (16)$$

# The sustainability of the fiscal stance

- Note: The difference between the actual deficit,  $D_t$  and the interest payments on accumulated debt,  $R_t B_t$ , is called (nominal) primary deficit,  $P_t d_t$ , and is given by:

$$P_t d_t = P_t D_t - R_t B_t. \quad (17)$$

- Assuming that inflation,  $\pi$  and output growth,  $\gamma$  are constant, the expression for  $\frac{D_t}{y_t}$  becomes:

$$\frac{D_t}{y_t} = (1 + \pi) (1 + \gamma) \frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} - \frac{b_t}{y_t} \iff \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} = \frac{1}{(1 + \pi) (1 + \gamma)} \frac{D_t}{y_t} + \frac{1}{(1 + \pi) (1 + \gamma)} \frac{b_t}{y_t} \quad (19)$$

$\implies$  Interpretation?

# The stability and growth pact

- The stability and growth pact requires that

$$\frac{D_t}{y_t} \leq 0.03 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\frac{b_t}{y_t} \leq 0.60 \quad (21)$$

hold.

- Assuming that the deficit-GDP ratio remains constant over time the equation for the dynamics of the debt-GDP ratio (equation (19)) represents a stationary difference equation.
- Thus, the debt-GDP ratio will converge to a (constant) steady-state level and we will have in equilibrium:

$$\frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} = \frac{b_t}{y_t} = \frac{b_t}{y_t} \quad (22)$$

# The stability and growth pact

- Using the equation for the dynamics of the debt-GDP ratio we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{b_{t+1}}{y_{t+1}} &= \frac{1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)} \frac{D_t}{y_t} + \frac{1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)} \frac{b_t}{y_t} \\ \frac{b}{y} &= \frac{1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)} \frac{D}{y} + \frac{1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)} \frac{b}{y} \\ \frac{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)-1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)} \frac{b}{y} &= \frac{1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)} \frac{D}{y} \\ \frac{b}{y} &= \frac{1}{(1+\pi)(1+\gamma)-1} \frac{D}{y}.\end{aligned}$$

- Since  $(1+\pi)(1+\gamma) \approx 1+\pi+\gamma$  we obtain:

$$\frac{b}{y} = \frac{1}{\pi+\gamma} \frac{D}{y}. \quad (23)$$

# The stability and growth pact

- Implications of the analysis: Assume that the current debt-GDP ratio is given by  $\frac{\bar{b}}{\bar{y}}$ .
- Then:
  - If the debt-output ratio is kept constant over time and we have:

$$\frac{1}{\pi + \gamma} \frac{D}{y} < \frac{\bar{b}}{\bar{y}} \quad (24)$$

then the debt-GDP ratio will fall.

- If the debt-output ratio is kept constant over time and we have:

$$\frac{1}{\pi + \gamma} \frac{D}{y} = \frac{\bar{b}}{\bar{y}} \quad (25)$$

then the debt-GDP ratio will remain constant.

- If the debt-output ratio is kept constant over time and we have:

$$\frac{1}{\pi + \gamma} \frac{D}{y} > \frac{\bar{b}}{\bar{y}} \quad (26)$$

then the debt-GDP ratio will increase.