# Frustrated light: Working around Berry phases

**Martina Hentschel** 

## Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany







SPICE Workshop Topology Matters

# Outline

1. Motivation – optical microcavities or Honey, we shrunk the laser



20





3. Fermi-edge singularities and sample geometry

# Outline

1. Motivation – optical microcavities or Honey, we shrunk the laser





20

2. Polarization evolution in 3d microcavities



3. Fermi-edge singularities and sample geometry

## **Laser concepts**



# **Quest for directional emission from microdisks**

• have to destroy rotational symmetry  $\rightarrow$  "deformed microdisk lasers"



### • Limaçon shape $r(\phi) = R (1 + \epsilon \cos \phi)$

## with directional emission:

- theoretical prediction
  - J. Wiersig and M. Hentschel, PRL 100, 2008
- experimental confirmation

Q. Song et al. (Cao group, Yale), PRA **80**, 2009 S. Shinohara et al. (Harayama group, Kyoto), PRA **80**, 2009 Ch. Yan et al. (Capasso group, Harvard), APL **94**, 2009 C.-H. Yi et al. (Chil-Min Kim group, Seoul), APL **95**, 2009



Harayama Lab

# **Directional emission from Limaçon cavities**

## • Far-field of Limaçon cavity





Harayama Lab (Kyoto)

J. Wiersig and M.H., PRL **100**, 2008 in cooperation with Capasso group (Harvard)

## • Origin of directionality ?









# **Directionality from chaotic dynamics**



 Universal, resonance-independent and robust far-field properties of high-Q modes

# Flash: Chirality in spiral optical cavities

#### e.g. Jan Wiersig, Sang-Wook Kim, M.H., PRA 2008



FIG. 5. (Color online) Calculated intensity  $|\psi|^2$  of the nearly degenerate WG-like modes 1 (a) and 2 (b).



FIG. 9. (Color online) Magnification of the intensity pattern  $|\psi|^2$  near the notch. (a) Quasiscar mode 2, as in the right panel of Fig. 2. (b) WG-like mode 2, cf. the right panel of Fig. 5.

- pairs of non-orthogonal modes
- ccw propagation dominates



FIG. 6. (Color online) Angular momentum distributions  $\alpha_m^{(1)}$  (solid line) and  $\alpha_m^{(2)}$  (dashed) of WG-like modes (see Fig. 5) normalized to 1 at maximum: (a) absolute value squared, (b) real, and (c) imaginary part. (d) Superpositions  $\alpha_m^+ = (\alpha_m^{(1)} + \alpha_m^{(2)})/2$  (solid) and  $\alpha_m^- = (\alpha_m^{(1)} - \alpha_m^{(2)})/2$  (dashed).

# **Cavity height as 3rd dimension**

- higher Q factors
- two types of modes in the 3d Limaçon
- Sensor application



higher Q, 2d-like

lower Q, 3d with novel properties

J. Kreismann, S. Sinzinger, and M.H., PRA (2017)

# Outline

1. Motivation – optical microcavities or Honey, we shrunk the laser





- voiting 15
- 3. Fermi-edge singularities and sample geometry



# **Polarization evolution in Möbius strips**

## The dielectric Möbius-strip:



Jakob Kreismann and M.H., in preparation

N=19 intensity maxima  $\rightarrow$  m=9.5 ?

Möbius-strip in physics: [1] Ballon and Voss, PRL 101, 247701 (2008) in electrical circuits [2] Yin et al., arXiv:1611.07217 in plasmonics



w=0.4μm, λ=3375nm, N=19

# **Polarization evolution at varying thickness**



thicker, but still Möbius strip:

- N even
- E-field ignores topology of Möbius strip?!

# **Polarization manipulation in Möbius strips**



• Change geometry to induce ellipt. polarization  $\rightarrow$  arbitrary geometric phase

inhomogenius Möbius-strip



Jakob Kreismann and M.H., in preparation

# Manipulate sample geometry: How much `Möbius' needs a Möbius strip?



 $\Delta \phi_M = 360^{\circ}$ 

 $\Delta \phi_M = 50^{\circ}$ 

## Can we `switch on' topology?

$$\Delta \phi_M = 86^{\circ}$$



$$\Delta \phi_M = 50^{\circ}$$







# How much topology needs a Möbius strip?

• Smooth change in geometry induces smooth change in geometric phase



• Intensity at ring center: Merging of two maxima (smooth transition N=19  $\rightarrow$  18 max.)







wave number kR = f(geometric phase),

transition from adiabatic (Berry) to non-adiabatic (Aharonov-Anandan) phase



# Analogy: Berry phases in magnetotransport



M.H./Diego Frustaglia, Klaus Richter, PRL 2001, PRB 2003, PRB 2004



# Light propagation in anisotropic media

## Birefringance: NaNO<sub>3</sub> crystal

(from Lipson, 2011)



• intrinsic anisotropy of crystal



- → Berry phases
- $\rightarrow$  spin-orbit coupling of light

L. Ma,..., M.H. et al., Nat. Comm.(2016)

... and in microtube resonators (O. Schmidt's group, IFW Dresden)

V.B.-Quinones, PhD thesis (2015) L. Ma, M.H. et al., Nat. Comm. (2016)

 anisotropy induced through composite material and rolled-up geometry

 $n^{eff}_{x} < n^{eff}_{7}$ 

→ Manipulation of polarization

(MEEP simulations: Jakob Kreismann)



# Outline

1. Motivation – optical microcavities or Honey, we shrunk the laser







3. Fermi-edge singularities and sample geometry

# Anderson orthogonality catastrophe: Non-equilibrium meets the nano-world

• Fermi sea of electrons: apply sudden and localized perturbation

- ightarrow many-body ground state  $|\Psi
  angle$  changed
- look at the Anderson overlap  $|\Delta|^2 = |\langle \Psi_{pert} | \Psi_{unpert} \rangle|^2$





 $\delta \ldots$  induced phase shift at  $\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{F}$ 

# Anderson orthogonality catastrophe: non-equilibrium meets the nano-world

• Fermi sea of electrons: apply sudden and localized perturbation  $\rightarrow$  many-body ground state  $|\Psi\rangle$  changed

• look at the Anderson overlap  $|\Delta|^2 = |\langle \Psi_{pert} | \Psi_{unpert} \rangle|^2$ 

#### chaotic disk rectangular { half-disk $p(|\Delta|^2)$ $p(|\Delta|^2)$ • reduced AOC, broad distribution level g-fold degenerate $\rightarrow$ g peaks M.H. et al., PRL 93, 2004 M.H. et al., PRB 72, 2005 Georg Röder and M.H., PRB 82, 2010 S. Bandopadhyay and M.H., PRB 83, 2011 M. H. and F. Guinea, PRB 76, 2007

#### Mesoscopic systems (v/d= -0.3)

# Fermi-edge singularities in photoabsorption: The X-ray edge problem

Metallic case



Reviews: Y. Tanabe and O. Othaka, RMP 1990 P.H.Citrin et al., PRB 1979

## Mesoscopic case

- change in density of states (quantum dots  $\rightarrow$  const., graphene  $\rightarrow$  Dirac point)
- discrete energy levels (mean spacing d)
- geometry-dependent wave functions, avaraging

$$A(\omega) = 2\pi \sum_{F} \left| \left\langle \Psi_{F} \left| \hat{D} \right| \Phi_{c} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \times \delta(E_{F} - E_{i}) \text{ with } \hat{D} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{cj} \left[ c_{j}^{+} c_{c} + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

# **Boundary signatures in the photoabsorption**



M. H. and G. Röder, EPJB 2014

→ boundary contribution dominates absorption cross section in mesoscopic systems

#### Reason:

relation between  $\psi$  ( $\rightarrow$ 0) and  $\psi$ ' ( $\rightarrow$  `big') near boundary, enters via dipole matrix element



M. H. et al., PRL 2004 M. H. et al. PRB 2006

# **Graphene Photoabsorption**







M. H. and F. Guinea, PRB 76, 2007; G. Röder, G. Tkachov, and M.H., EPL 94, 2011

# Conclusion

## **Mesoscopic physics with Electrons and Photons**

- mesoscopic signatures of many-body effects deviate from the metallic case (X-ray edge, Kondo)
   depend on local DOS and system boundary
- microlaser with robust, directional far-field properties (Limaçon, also spiral, coupled cavities)
- semiclassical corrections to ray-wave correspondence (defocusing of light beams)
- Möbius strip and topology in optical transport, polarisation dynamics







- Interference effects dominate physics
- Manipulation of interference system geometry boundaries anisotropy/inhomogeneity: Analogies to condensed matter (TIs etc.)?

# **Thanks to:**

Group at TU Ilmenau (since 2012):

Jakob Kreismann (PhD student) Pia Stockschläder (PhD student) Georg Röder (PhD 2012) Guido Natura (Master student) Philipp Müller (Master 2014) Felix Fechner (Master 2014) Christoph Kissling (Bachelor 2016) Dr. Kazuhiro Kubo (now at Meiji Univ.) Ze-Yang Li (guest student, Peking Univ., Y.-F. Xiao group)

TU Ilmenau: IFW Dresden: Uni Magdeburg: S. Sinzinger, J. Kröger O. Schmidt and group J. Wiersig

Duke University: Harvard Univ.: Waseda Univ.:

H. Baranger F. Capasso T. Harayama



