

# Spontaneously broken time-reversal symmetry in d-wave superconductors

Patric Holmvall, Mikael Håkansson, Tomas Löfwander, and Mikael Fogelström Department of Microtechnology and Nanoscience - MC2 Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden





M. Håkansson et al, Nature Physics 11 755 (2015) P. Holmvall et al submitted (arXiv:1706:06165) and in manuscript











## Mesoscopic unconventional superconductivity ?







# <u>Mesoscopic unconventional superconductivity ?</u>

- <u>mesoscopic</u>  $\Im$  system size comparable to typical length scales,  $\lambda_F$ ,  $\xi$ • <u>unconventional superconductivity</u> is fragile to:
- \* impurities and disorder \* surface scattering
- $\mathbf{\nabla}$  system size comparable to  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  may suppress the dominant ordered state
- the other competing states/orders may be made visible....







# <u>Mesoscopic unconventional superconductivity ?</u>

- <u>mesoscopic</u>  $\Im$  system size comparable to typical length scales,  $\lambda_F$ ,  $\xi$ • <u>unconventional superconductivity</u> is fragile to:
- \* impurities and disorder \* surface scattering

the other competing states/orders may be made visible....

typical length scales e.g. YBCO:  $L \gtrsim \xi \gtrsim 1/k_F$  $1/k_{\rm F} = 0.3 \, \rm nm$  $\xi = 2 \text{ nm}$ L = 100 nm

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$  system size comparable to  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  may suppress the dominant ordered state







study current (I<sub>sd</sub>) vs. source-drain voltage (V<sub>sd</sub>) as a function of gate charge ( $n_g = V_g C_g$ ) -> charging effects, single-electron-ics at low T (≤20 mK) varying an applied magnetic field









study current ( $I_{sd}$ ) vs. source-drain voltage ( $V_{sd}$ ) as a function of gate charge ( $n_g = V_g C_g$ ) -> charging effects, single-electron-ics at low T (≤20 mK) varying an applied magnetic field

 $I_{sd}(V_{sd})$  depends on the gate charge  $n_{G:}$ an "odd/even in e" parity effect is seen this parity effect increases with applied B.









study current ( $I_{sd}$ ) vs. source-drain voltage ( $V_{sd}$ ) as a function of gate charge ( $n_g = V_g C_g$ ) -> charging effects, single-electron-ics at low T (≤20 mK) varying an applied magnetic field

 $I_{sd}(V_{sd})$  depends on the gate charge  $n_{G:}$ an "odd/even in e" parity effect is seen this parity effect increases with applied B.



B (T)	T <sub>eff</sub> (mK)	d (µeV)
0	73	0.5
1	105	0.3
2	120	0.3
3	140	0.9

Stiftels







study current ( $I_{sd}$ ) vs. source-drain voltage ( $V_{sd}$ ) as a function of gate charge ( $n_g = V_g C_g$ ) -> charging effects, single-electron-ics at low T (≤20 mK) varying an applied magnetic field

 $I_{sd}(V_{sd})$  depends on the gate charge  $n_{G:}$ an "odd/even in e" parity effect is seen this parity effect increases with applied B.

nature nanotechnology

PUBLISHED ONLINE: 9 DECEMBER 2012 | DOI: 10.1038/NNANO.2012.2

### Fully gapped superconductivity in a nanometresize $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ island enhanced by a magnetic field

D. Gustafsson<sup>1</sup>, D. Golubev<sup>2</sup>, M. Fogelström<sup>1</sup>, T. Claeson<sup>1</sup>, S. Kubatkin<sup>1</sup>, T. Bauch<sup>1</sup> and F. Lombardi<sup>1</sup>\*

D. Gustafsson PhD-work in F. Lombardis group at Chalmers





LETTERS

## A d-wave superconductor $\Delta(p_F) \approx \Delta_0 \cos(2\varphi_P)$



φp









## A d-wave superconductor $\Delta(p_F) \approx \Delta_0 \cos(2\varphi_P)$



φp









## A d-wave superconductor $\Delta(p_F) \approx \Delta_0 \cos(2\varphi_P)$



φp

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory











Vetenskapsrådet

## A d-wave superconductor $\Delta(p_F) \approx \Delta_0 \cos(2\varphi_P)$





 $D^R =$ 

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory



$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial R} - \frac{|\Delta(\mathbf{p}_f)|^2}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^R D^R} e^{-2D^R x/|\mathbf{v}_f \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}|}$$

$$= \sqrt{|\Delta(\mathbf{p}_f)|^2} - \tilde{\varepsilon}^R(\mathbf{p}_f, \epsilon)^2$$



Vetenskapsrådet

### Possible low-T transition to a fully gaped superconducting state



# <u>Superconducting instabilities at at [110]-surfaces in d-wave SC:s</u>

Fractional Vortices as Evidence of Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in High-Temperature Superconductors, M Sigrist, D. B. Bailey, and R. B. Laughlin, PRL 74, (1995) Coexistence of Different Symmetry Order Parameters near a Surface in d-Wave Superconductors, M Matsumoto and H. Shiba, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. (1995) Thermodynamics of ad-wave superconductor near a surface, L. J. Buchholtz, M. Palumbo, D. Rainer, and J. A. Sauls, J. Low Temp Phys. 101 (1995) Tunneling into Current-Carrying Surface States of High-T<sub>c</sub> Superconductors, M Fogelström, D. Rainer, and J. A. Sauls, PRL 79, (1997) Magnetic Induction of  $d_{x^2-y^2}+id_{xy}$  Order in High-Tc Superconductors, R B Laughlin, PRL 80, (1998) Spontaneous time reversal and parity breaking in a  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave superconductor with magnetic impurities, A. V. Balatsky, PRL 80, (1998) (and many more)

### . . . . . . . . . . . .

<u>Magnetic or spin-density wave instabilities at [110]-surfaces</u> Instabilities at [110] surfaces of  $d_x^2 - y^2$  superconductors, C. Honerkamp, K. Wakabayashi and M. Sigrist, Europhys. Lett., 50 (2000)

### Other types of instabilities at [110]-surfaces ??

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

### J. Phys. Chem Solids Vol 59, No. 10–12, pp. 2040–2044, 1998

### ANDREEV BOUND STATES, SURFACES AND SUBDOMINANT PAIRING IN HIGH $T_c$ SUPERCONDUCTORS

D. RAINER<sup>a</sup>,\*, H. BURKHARDT<sup>a</sup>, M. FOGELSTRÖM<sup>b</sup> and J. A. SAULS<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

Edge Ferromagnetism from Majorana Flat Bands: Application to Split Tunneling-Conductance Peaks in High-Tc Cuprate Superconductors, A.C. Potter & P.A. Lee, PRL 112 (2014)







# d-wave SC $\Delta(p) = \Delta \cos(2\varphi_p)$ $D \gtrsim \xi_0$ b $L \gtrsim \xi_0$

nature nanotechnology

LETTERS PUBLISHED ONLINE: 9 DECEMBER 2012 | DOI: 10.1038/NNANO.2012.214

### Fully gapped superconductivity in a nanometresize $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ island enhanced by a magnetic field

D. Gustafsson<sup>1</sup>, D. Golubev<sup>2</sup>, M. Fogelström<sup>1</sup>, T. Claeson<sup>1</sup>, S. Kubatkin<sup>1</sup>, T. Bauch<sup>1</sup> and F. Lombardi<sup>1</sup>\*





Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

## A $d_{x^2-y^2+is}$ state in a nanoscaled YBCO grain



## d-wave SC $\Delta(p) = \Delta \cos(2\phi_p)$ $D \gtrsim \xi_0$ b $L \gtrsim \xi_0$ nature LETTERS nanotechnology PUBLISHED ONLINE: 9 DECEMBER 2012 | DOI: 10.1038/NNANO.2012.214

### Fully gapped superconductivity in a nanometresize $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ island enhanced by a magnetic field

D. Gustafsson<sup>1</sup>, D. Golubev<sup>2</sup>, M. Fogelström<sup>1</sup>, T. Claeson<sup>1</sup>, S. Kubatkin<sup>1</sup>, T. Bauch<sup>1</sup> and F. Lombardi<sup>1</sup>\*

PRL 110, 197001 (2013)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 10 MAY 2013

Model Evidence of a Superconducting State with a Full Energy Gap in Small Cuprate Islands

Annica M. Black-Schaffer,<sup>1</sup> Dmitri S. Golubev,<sup>2</sup> Thilo Bauch,<sup>3</sup> Floriana Lombardi,<sup>3</sup> and Mikael Fogelström<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, S-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden <sup>2</sup>Institute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Herman-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany <sup>3</sup>Department of Microtechnology and Nanoscience, Chalmers University of Technology, S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden (Received 22 December 2012; published 7 May 2013)





Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

## A $d_{x^2-v^2+is}$ state in a nanoscaled YBCO grain



### Existing experimental evidence of $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetry breaking state CHALMERS

14 July 1997

T>Ts



### **Observation of Surface-Induced Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry** in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> Tunnel Junctions

M. Covington,\* M. Aprili, E. Paraoanu, and L. H. Greene Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801

F. Xu, J. Zhu, and C. A. Mirkin Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208 (Received 6 March 1997)



Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory



### Doping and Magnetic Field Dependence of In-Plane Tunneling into YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-x</sub>: **Possible Evidence for the Existence of a Quantum Critical Point**

Y. Dagan and G. Deutscher

School of Physics and Astronomy, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel (Received 2 August 2000; published 8 October 2001)



 $T < T_S$ 

 $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}+i} s$ 





## severe restrictions on a $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetry breaking state



### Experimental Test for Subdominant Superconducting Phases with Complex Order Parameters in Cuprate Grain Boundary Junctions

W.K. Neils and D.J. Van Harlingen Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 W. Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801 (Received 20 May 2001; published 9 January 2002)



Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory



spontaneous field due to a  $\Delta_{sub}$ less than 0.2G

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 83, 054504 (2011)

### Search for broken time-reversal symmetry near the surface of superconducting YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-8</sub> films using $\beta$ -detected nuclear magnetic resonance

H. Saadaoui,<sup>1,\*</sup> G. D. Morris,<sup>2</sup> Z. Salman,<sup>3,\*</sup> Q. Song,<sup>1</sup> K. H. Chow,<sup>4</sup> M. D. Hossain,<sup>1</sup> C. D. P. Levy,<sup>2</sup> T. J. Parolin,<sup>5</sup> M. R. Pearson,<sup>2</sup> M. Smadella,<sup>1</sup> D. Wang,<sup>1</sup> L. H. Greene,<sup>6</sup> P. J. Hentges,<sup>6</sup> R. F. Kiefl,<sup>1,2,7</sup> and W. A. MacFarlane<sup>5</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1 <sup>2</sup>TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 2A3 <sup>3</sup>Clarendon Laboratory, Department of Physics, Oxford University, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G7. <sup>5</sup>Chemistry Department, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1 <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA <sup>7</sup>Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1Z8 (Received 23 December 2010; published 9 February 2011)

 $T < T_S$ 











# Spontaneously broken time-reversal symmetry in d-wave superconductors

Patric Holmvall, Mikael Håkansson, Tomas Löfwander, and Mikael Fogelström Department of Microtechnology and Nanoscience - MC2 Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden





A theoretical excursion.....









## Theoretical study of a d-wave SC mesoscopic grain

 $|\Delta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|$ 









### Theoretical study of a d-wave SC mesoscopic grain CHALMERS



<u>Quasiclassical study</u>

Order parameter field  $\Delta(x,y)$ -pure d-wave SC ~  $\Delta \cos(2\phi)$ 

Total density of states:

with

 $N(\varepsilon) = \int dR \langle N(R,p;\varepsilon) \rangle$  $N(R,p;\epsilon)=-Im[g^{R}(R,p;\epsilon)]$ 





### Theoretical study of a d-wave SC mesoscopic grain CHALMERS



Order parameter field  $\Delta(x,y)$ -pure d-wave SC ~  $\Delta \cos(2\phi)$ 

Total density of states:

with

 $N(\varepsilon) = \int dR \langle N(R,p;\varepsilon) \rangle$  $N(R,p;\varepsilon) = -Im[g^{R}(R,p;\varepsilon)]$ 

Introduce pair-breaking surfaces

<u>Quasiclassical study</u>







# CHALMERS Theoretical study of a d-wave SC mesoscopic grain



Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

## <u>Quasiclassical study</u> $|\Delta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|$ 10 8 Order parameter field $\Delta(x,y)$ pure d-wave SC ~ $\Delta \cos(2\phi)$ $y/\xi_0$ 0 8 $x/\xi_0$ DOS 2.5 Total density of states: 2.0 1.5 $N(\varepsilon) = \int dR \langle N(R,p;\varepsilon) \rangle$ 1.0

0.5

0.0

0

 $\varepsilon/k_B T_c$ 

Knut och Ali Wallenberg

Hiftels

Vetenskapsrådet

- with  $N(R,p;\epsilon)=-Im[g^{R}(R,p;\epsilon)]$
- Introduce pair-breaking surfaces







### **CHALMERS** The d-wave SC grain undergoes a change of state at $T=T^*$ $|\Delta(x,y)|e^{i\phi(x,y)}, T < T^*$ $|\Delta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|, T > T^*$ 10 10 1.4 8 8 1.2 1.0 6 6 $y/\xi_0$ $y/\xi_0$ 0.8 4 4 0.6 0.4 2 2 0.2 00 0.0 0 10 10 8 2 6 8 2 6 4 $x/\xi_0$ $x/\xi_0$ ( $\xi_0 = \hbar v_F/T_c$ )











![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

local phase gradients in the OP gives a finite superfluid momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{R})$$

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

Hiftels

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

local phase gradients in the OP gives a finite superfluid momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{R})$$

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

Hiftels

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

local phase gradients in the OP gives a finite superfluid momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{R})$$

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

Hiftels

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

local phase gradients in the OP gives a finite superfluid momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla \phi($$

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

local phase gradients in the OP gives a finite superfluid momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{R})$$

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

local phase gradients in the OP gives a finite superfluid momentum

Vetenskapsrådet

$$\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \nabla \phi($$

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Broken Translational and Time-Reversal Symmetry in Unconventional Superconducting Films

A.B. Vorontsov\*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 70803, USA (Received 28 April 2008; published 27 April 2009)

![](_page_29_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **Broken Translational and Time-Reversal Symmetry in Unconventional Superconducting Films**

(Received 28 April 2008; published 27 April 2009)

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $T > T^*$ 

 $T < T^*$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

## T-breaking is driven by a rearrangement of the qp-spectrum

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $T > T^*$ 

 $T < T^*$ 

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

## T-breaking is driven by a rearrangement of the qp-spectrum A(x=S,y)

![](_page_32_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

 $0 + 0.5 k_B T_c$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

Vetenskapsrådet

-0.5k<sub>B</sub>T<sub>c</sub>

## Rearrangement of the qp-spectrum give local currents

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Rearrangement of the qp-spectrum give local currents

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Rearrangement of the qp-spectrum give local currents current node

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Rearrangement of the *qp-spectrum* give local currents current node 0.16 0.14 $\varepsilon/k_{\rm B}T_{\rm c}$ 0.02 current maxima 0.00 $x/\xi_0$ point d

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

b

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

Mil

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Surface roughness

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Adding an eternal magnetic field ~H<sub>c,g</sub>

 $T > T^*$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $j/j_d$ 

 $\frac{7}{B_{\rm ind}/(\Phi_0/\xi_0^2)} \frac{0}{(\times 10^{-6})}$ -7

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Adding an eternal magnetic field ~H<sub>c,g</sub>

 $T > T^*$ 

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $j/j_d$ 

 $\frac{7}{B_{\rm ind}/(\Phi_0/\xi_0^2)} \frac{0}{(\times 10^{-6})}$ -7

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Adding an eternal magnetic field ~H<sub>c,g</sub>

 $T > T^*$ 

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $j/j_d$ 

 $T < T^*$  $T < T^*$ 

 $\frac{7}{B_{\rm ind}/(\Phi_0/\xi_0^2)} \frac{0}{(\times 10^{-6})}$ -7

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thermodynamics in an external field $(\lambda \gg \xi)$

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thermodynamics in an external field (λ»ξ) energy Heat capacity

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

y and Nanoscience

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thermodynamics in an external field $(\lambda \gg \xi)$ Heat capacity 0.050.04 $\Delta C / \Delta C_d$ 0.03 $-T^* \approx 0.18T_c$ 0.02 0.01

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

0.18

 $T/T_c$ 

0.21

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thermodynamics in an external field $(\lambda \gg \xi)$ Heat capacity 0.050.04 0.03 $-T^* \approx 0.18T_c$

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

![](_page_48_Figure_5.jpeg)

0.21

![](_page_48_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Adding a sub-dominant s-wave pairing channel

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\alpha$  - plain d-wave SC  $\gamma$  - T-broken SC d+is

# phase-diagram with s-wave pairing channel

- $\beta$  T-broken SC with fractional vortices

![](_page_49_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Adding a sub-dominant s-wave pairing channel

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\alpha$  - plain d-wave SC  $\gamma$  - T-broken SC d+is

# phase-diagram with s-wave pairing channel

- $\beta$  T-broken SC with fractional vortices

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Adding a sub-dominant s-wave pairing channel

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\alpha$  - plain d-wave SC  $\gamma$  - T-broken SC d+is

![](_page_51_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

T-broken d-wave weak B-field

weak d+is wave weak B-field

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Quantum Physics Laboratory

# phase-diagram with s-wave pairing channel $\beta$ - T-broken SC with fractional vortices

![](_page_51_Figure_11.jpeg)

# strong d+is wave large B-field

![](_page_51_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_14.jpeg)

Hiftels

Vetenskapsråde

![](_page_51_Picture_16.jpeg)

### magnetic field (YBCO: 9 mT) $B = \frac{1.7\mu\Phi_0}{1.7\mu\Phi_0}$ $\frac{0}{1} = \frac{35 \text{ mT}}{(\xi_0/\text{nm})^2}$ |1.7|60 $B_{ m ind}/(\Phi_0/\xi_0^2)$ 40 $y/\xi_0$ Α $(\times 10^{-5})$ 20 ()-1.7 $20 x/\xi_0 40$ 60 ()

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

Mikael Fogelström, Applied Q

## **Direct observation: Nano-SQUIDS**

 typical area

  $A = (5\xi_0)^2$  

 (YBCO: 10x10 nm)

## **Temperatures:**

 $0.15 - 0.21T_c$  (YBCO: 12-17 K)

![](_page_52_Figure_8.jpeg)

## Indirect observation

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Conclusions

The experimental consequences of our findings are as follows:

- A. Finding evidence of a T-symmetry breaking state does not necessarily imply a multicomponent superconducting order-parameter
- NIS-tunnelling experiments
- C. The magnetic trace of an inhomogeneous, T-symmetry broken state, can only be fields

M. Håkansson et al, Nature Physics 11 755 (2015) P. Holmvall et al submitted (arXiv:1706:06165) and in manuscript

B. A hallmark of a d-wave superconductor is a conductance peak at zero bias and this peak should narrow as temperature is decreased. This is not seen experimentally, instead the conductance peak width saturates at  $\sim 10-20\%$  of the full gap scale, a width consistent with the modifications of the local spectrum of quasiparticles caused by the T-breaking state we find. It might well be that the phase we describe has been repeatedly seen in

detected if the experimental probe can resolve a magnetic flux variation on length scales ~10 nm (for YBCO). State-of-the-art nano-SQUIDS may do that. Also, measurement of the total magnetisation should show a non-monotonous temperature dependence at small

![](_page_53_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_13.jpeg)