

Yonglong Xie, Kevin Nuckolls, Myungchul Oh, Xiaobo Lu, Will Burg, Ipsita Das, Zhijun Wang

Also thanks to T Neupert, S Huber, V Peri, Yuanfeng Xu, Hongming Weng, XI Dai, B Wieder, M Verngiory, L. Elcoro

Main refs: TBG I – VI (arXiv, Sep. 2020)

B. Andrei Bernevig

Symmetries, Insulator States and Excitations of Twisted Bilayer Graphene with Coulomb Interaction

Quantum Condensed Matter

Correlations



Topology



Mott Insulators Spin liquids High-Tc Superconductivity

. . . .

Quantum Hall Fractional Quantum Hall (also correlated)

Topological Insulators, semimetals, and superconductors

A New Kind of Magnetism

Spin Ferromagnetism Overwhelmingly Common Case



- <u>Spontaneous alignment of spins</u> that break time-reversal symmetry, while preserving the translational symmetries of the system
- Small magnetization contribution from orbital currents <u>only</u> in the presence of SOC

Orbital Ferromagnetism Exceedingly Rare Case

Orbital ferromagnet

- <u>Spontaneous persistent current loops</u> that break time-reversal symmetry, while preserving the translational symmetries of the system
- At the boundary, the persistent current loops sum to form robust chiral edge states

A. MacDonald Physics 12, 12 (2019).

Topology and New Excitations









Engineering Correlations



band-structure engineering

Cold atoms & Optical lattices ~ 1 micron





P. Jarillo-Herrero Efetov, Young, Yazdani, Andrei, Tutuc, Goldhaber Gordon, Dean, groups

Magic Angle: Twisting to Flatness





Flat Bands in Magic Angle Graphene Bilayers

k-space



Theory: Flat bands at magic ~1° Including relaxation: Koshino et al. (2018) The two flat bands around charge neutrality are 4 fold degenerate: 2 spin and 2 valley

Electron filling of the flat bands v=+/- 4 electrons per moiré site relative to neutrality



Density of States for the Flat Bands moiré superlattice (from Cao et al. 2018)

Flat Bands inherent the Dirac points from graphene; From valley K in graphene, we have two Moire KM and K'M with same chirality



Discovery of Correlated Insulators & Superconductivity



P. Jarillo-Herrero Group MIT

Cao et al, Nature **556**, 43 (2018) Cao et al, Nature **556**, 80 (2018) Yankowitz et al, Science **363**, 1059 (2019) Liu et al, Nature 574, 653 (2019)

Insulator was conjectured to be a Mott insulator, occur at 1/2 filling of the flat bands, sensitivity to field and resemblance to cuprates.



v=-2



Efetov group 2019



Increasing Number of Moiré Materials



Many-Body Correlated Chern Insulator States



Serlin, et al. Science 367 (2020)

K. P. Nuckolls*, M. Oh*, D. Wong*, Lian, BAB, Yazdani. arXiv:2007.03810 to appear in Nature (2020).

Transport experiments also see Chern insulators

Andrei Group (2020)

Rutgers University

Efetov Group (2020)

ICFO

Transport can not rule out single particle gapped states but spectroscopy does



S. Wu et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.03735 (2020).

Y. Saito et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.06115 (2020).

Das et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.13390 (2020). Choi et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.11746 (2020). M. Park et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.12296 (2020)





New Platform for Correlations & Topology

Correlations are strong when interactions > kinetic energy (U>t)

Flat Landau level at partial filling





Correlated Materials



Landau Levels $\psi_n = z^n e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4}}$ Moire flat bands have elements of both systems and are correlated & topological

Hubbard model (Tight binding models t Wannier functions U) TBGI: Origin of Flat Bands and Single Particle Perturbation Theory BAB, Song, Regnault Lian, *TBG I* (2020)



Topology In TBG Is Stable, Not Fragile

Zhida Song et al, 2018; Song et al TBGII, 2020

Unitary Particle Hole, Squares to -1 $H(\mathbf{k}) = -D^{\dagger}(P) H(-\mathbf{k}) D(P)$ $D_{\mathbf{Q}',\mathbf{Q}}(P) = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}',-\mathbf{Q}} \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}$ $\{P, \overline{C}_{2x}\} = 0$

PH is very good at low energies

Comes from PH of Dirac in Graphene at low E

valley K K_{+} K_{+} K_{-} Graphene BZ K_{+} K_{+} $K_{$

PH used in 2018 to show state must be topological (thought to be fragile)

PH used in 2019, 2020 to obtain enlarged interacting U(4), U(4)XU(4) symmetries

2020: PH provides stable topology, TBG one valley is topological anomalous for any number of bands

Using Particle-Hole for Model Independent Theorems in TBG:

Zhida Song et al, 2018:

1. Representations of active bands fixed by particle-hole; topological at *all* angles

2. Topology thought to be "fragile": adding atomic bands would trivialize the active bands



Topology from Other Types of Pictures: H. C. Po, L. Zou, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil Phys. Rev. X 8, 031089 (2018), Kang, Vafek 2018, L. Zou, H. C. Po, A.. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil 2018; Ahn, S. Park, and B.-J. Yang 2018, Xi Dai et al



One Valley TBG: Inconsistent With Any Lattice Model, Anomalous, Stable Song, Lian, Regnault, BAB *TBG II* (2020)

PH: extremely good symmetry of the wavefunctions, from the chiral limit to the isotropic limit (unlike chiral symmetry)

Kang and Vafek: good symmetry even under RG



Our PH protects Kramers-like degeneracies in the Wannier spectrum Always spectral flow if Diracs of same helicity at zero energy



Theorem: One Valley TBG is Anomalous For Any Number of Bands Song, Lian, Regnault, BAB *TBG II* (2020)

Any single valley model respecting $C_{2z}T$ and PH not compatible with a lattice So what?

- 1. Important for lower "magic" angles where more bands connected
- 2. In TBGIII, U(4) and U(4)xU(4) interacting symmetries valid for *any* number of bands, incompatible with any lattice
- 3. All lattice models (10 band model of Po et al, 2018), break a symmetry (usually particle-hole, see Pixley, 2020)
- 4. Important theorem for superconductivity:

S-wave induced superconductivity in TBG is topological

When an anomalous metal is gapped by SC, topological SC appears (remember Fu-Kane) Stevan Nadj-Perge , (2020)

We can prove: Any weak pairing term preserving spin-SU(2), valley-U(1), time-reversal, C2zT, and PH must drive the system into a higher order topological superconducting phase. C2zT-protected Majorana corner states are bound to C2x-invariant corners of the sample

A. Chew, Y. Wang, BAB, Z. Song, to appear



Theorem: Weak Pairing Superconductivity in TBG is topological

A. Chew, Y. Wang, BAB, Z. Song, to appear

-TBG-TSC captures corner modes at domain walls

—Single valley four Majorana zero modes are bound to C_{2x} invariant points

-Breaking U(1) valley: Majorana modes hybridize

-Breaking particle-hole P: zero modes to move along edge





-Left panel: Wilson loop spectrum in a single valley. Jumps occur at Dirac points and are smoothed out by pairing, resulting in nontrivial Dirac flow.

-Right panel: If different valleys are allowed to hybridize then spectrum can be made trivial.

Interaction Hamiltonian

Kang, Vafek (2018,2019), Bultinck et al. (2020) BAB, Song, Regnault, Lian, *TBG III* (2020), Lian, Song, Regnault, Efetov, Yazdani, BAB, TBG IV (2020)

 $\delta \rho_q$:electron density. **G**: moiré k vectors

$$\hat{H}_I = rac{1}{2\Omega_{
m tot}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}\in\mathcal{Q}_0} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in
m MBZ} V(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\delta
ho_{-\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{G}}\delta
ho_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}} \;,$$

Flat-band projection to lowest $8n_{\text{max}}$ bands:

$$H_I = rac{1}{2\Omega_{ ext{tot}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\in ext{MBZ}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}\in \mathcal{Q}_0} O_{-\mathbf{q},-\mathbf{G}} O_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{G}} \;,$$

$$O_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{G}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\eta s} \sum_{|m|,|n| \le n_{\max}} \sqrt{V(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})} M_{m,n}^{(\eta)} \left(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}\right) \left(\rho_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},m,n,s}^{\eta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{0}}\delta_{m,n}\right)$$
form factor

 $\eta = \pm$: valley K, K' $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$: spin $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ band

 $H_I \ge 0$ positive semi-definite Hamiltonian (**PSDH**).

Kang, Vafek (2019), Huber, 2017, TBGIII (2020)

Projected Interaction Symmetries In Limits

BAB, Song, Regnault, Lian, TBG III (2020), Lian et al, TBG IV (2020), Kang, Vafek (2018,2019), Bultinck et al. (2020), Hejazi, Chen, Balents (2020)

PH symmetry *P* combined with C_{2z} H_0 = Bistritzer Macdonald Hamiltonian Extra Chiral symmetries in some limits

$$\{C_{2z}P, H_0\} = 0$$
, $[C_{2z}P, H_I] = 0$



Strategy: Start In Exact Limits, Find Perturbations

Lian, Song, Regnault, Efetov, Yazdani, BAB, TBG IV (2020), Vafek Kang (2019), Bultinck et al. (2020

 N_M : # of moire cells A_G : constants

• $|\Psi\rangle$ will be an **eigenstate** of H_I if (for some A_G):

 $(O_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{G}} - A_{\mathbf{G}}N_M\delta_{\mathbf{q},0})|\Psi\rangle = 0$

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2\Omega_{\text{tot}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \text{MBZ}} \sum_{\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{Q}_0} O_{-\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{G}} O_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{G}} ,$$

• Flat (first) chiral limit $w_0 = 0 < w_1$, under the **Chern basis**:

$$O_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{G}} = O_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{G}}^0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s} \sqrt{V(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})} M_{e_Y}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}) \left(d_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},e_Y,\eta,s}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k},e_Y,\eta,s} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{0}} \right) \ .$$

Eigenstates Ground state with Flat Metric Condition:

$$|\Psi_{\nu}^{\nu_{+},\nu_{-}}\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \prod_{j_{1}=1}^{\nu_{+}} d_{\mathbf{k},+1,\eta_{j_{1}},s_{j_{1}}}^{\dagger} \prod_{j_{2}=1}^{\nu_{-}} d_{\mathbf{k},-1,\eta_{j_{2}},s_{j_{2}}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$$

- Filling $v = v_+ + v_- 4$,
- Chern number $C = v_+ v_- = 4 |v|, 2 |v|, \dots, |v| 4$ (all degenerate in the chiral limit).

Chern number e_Y band basis valley K, s=↑∳ valley K', s=↑∳



Also, Ming and MacDonald, 2019,2020

Flat Metric Condition (FMC) and Ground-States

Lian, Song, Regnault, Efetov, Yazdani, BAB, TBG IV (2020), Vafek Kang (2018, 2019)

$$M_{m,n}^{(\eta)}\left(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}\right) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}} u_{\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{G},\alpha;m\eta}^{*}\left(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\right) u_{\mathbf{Q},\alpha;n\eta}\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$$

MC:
$$M_{m,n}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}) = \xi(\mathbf{G})\delta_{m,n}$$



• If form factors satisfy **FMC**, $|\Psi\rangle$ is a ground state in the flat-band limit ($H_0 = 0$).

 $u_{\mathbf{Q},\alpha;n\eta}\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$ decays exponentially from center, for large plane waves

See TBGI for proof $u_Q \cong Q u_{Q+1}$

FMC satisfied, for all G with exception of |G|=1



Remarkably, we found that Kang and Vafek Wannier basis Ham satisfies exactly the FMC (see TBG II)

TBGIV: NonChiral-NonFlat Ground-States

Lian, Song, Regnault, Efetov, Yazdani, BAB, TBG IV (2020)

- 0 < |C| < 4 |v|: partially intervalley coherent.
- |C| = 4 |v|: valley polarized
- |C|=0; inter-valley coherent



For even fillings, see also Bultnick et al, 2019, 2020 and Kang-Vafek, 2019; Also, Ming and MacDonald, 2019,2020

Lowest C are ground-states

v = 0, Exact GS, no FMC needed |v| = 2, Exact State, GS only with FMC |v| = 2, Perturbative GS



TBGIV: Agreement and Predictions

Lian, Song, Regnault, Efetov, Yazdani, BAB, TBG IV (2020) Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020)

• GS at Filling +/-2 exhibits C=0 in B=0 and |C|=2 in B>0.5-1T

(explains Yazdani, Andrei, Young, Efetov, Nadj-Perge experiments, 2020)

- GS at Filling +/-1 exhibits |C|=1 at B=0 and |C|=3 in B>1T (explains B>1T Yazdani, Andrei, Young, Efetov, Nadj-Perge experiments, 2020) (Prediction: |C|=1 GS at B=0)
- GS at Filling +/-3 is predicted by perturbation theory |C|=1 but numerics and analytics show excitation gap closing, and nematic/CDW order developing

valley polarization Overlap with $C = \pm 1$ states N_v/N $\lambda = 1$ Overlap $\lambda = 1$ N_v/N $\lambda = 0$ Overlap $\lambda = 0$ $\nu = -3$ Valley polarized 1.0^{-1} 1.01.0 Chern number $C = \pm 1$ m^{0/n_1} $\frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}$ $w_0 < 0.9 w_1 / 0.4 w_1$ w/wo FMC 0.0 0.0 Large w_0/w_1 : gap closing transition. 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 0.5 0.51.0 0.5 1.0 1.0 Ground state at Γ_M (nematic) or without FMC without FMC with FMC with FMC K_M, M_M (CDW),

(see also recent DMRG, Kang, Vafek (2020), Zaletel (2020)

TBGV+ VI: Exact Wavefunctions and Energies of Charge +/-1 Excitations

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020). Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020) Vafek and Kang, 2020, same week on arxiv

Chiral-flat / nonchiral-flat limits, exact charge ± 1 excitations form a 2×2 Hamiltonian:

$$\left[H_I - \mu N, c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},n,\eta,s}\right] |\Psi
angle = rac{1}{2\Omega_{\mathrm{tot}}} \sum_m R^{\eta}_{mn}(\mathbf{k}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},m,\eta,s} |\Psi
angle \; ,$$

 $R_{mn}^{\eta}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Gq}m'} V(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{q}) M_{m'm}^{(\eta)*}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G}) M_{m'n}^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G})$ Remember The Form Factors?

Finite q Generalization of the Fubini Study Metric

Dispersion and eigenstates of the exact excitations related to the FS metric

(for more on the FB metric see Paivi et al, 2017, Huber et al, 2017, Rossi et al, 2019, Fang et al, 2019)

At charge neutrality, where the FMC not needed, or at any filling, with FMC, gapped, positive semidefinite excitations

Without FMC, slightly more complicated exact expression obtainable

TBGV+ VI: Exact Wavefunctions and Energies of Charge +/-1 Excitations

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020). Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020) Vafek and Kang, 2020, same week on arxiv



 $N_M = N_1 \times N_2$

TBGV: Exact Neutral and Goldstone Excitations Expressions

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020). Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020) Vafek and Kang, 2020, same week on arxiv

Exact charge neutral excitations (including Goldstones) obtained exactly, solving a 1-body problem

$$\left[H_{I}-\mu N,c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p},m_{2},\eta_{2},s_{2}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k},m_{1},\eta_{1},s_{1}}\right]|\Psi_{\nu}\rangle = \frac{1}{2\Omega_{\text{tot}}}\sum_{m,m'}\sum_{\mathbf{q}}S_{m,m';m_{2},m_{1}}^{(\eta_{2},\eta_{1})}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k};\mathbf{p})c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q},m,\eta_{2},s_{2}}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},m',\eta_{1},s_{1}}|\Psi_{\nu}\rangle$$

Exact zero modes can be proved analytically

U(4) and U(4)xU(4) Goldstone counting comes out naturally from the wavefunctions

Goldstone stiffness can be obtained $E_{\text{Goldstone}}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2}m_{ij}p_ip_j$

Chiral limit:

Little group	Number of GMs	Ground states
$U(4) \times U(4)$	0	$ \Psi_{0}^{4,0} angle$
$U(1) \times U(3) \times U(4)$	3	$ \Psi_{-3}^{1,0} angle, \Psi_{-1}^{3,0} angle$
$U(2) \times U(2) \times U(4)$	4	$ \Psi^{2,0}_{-2} angle$
$U(1) \times U(3) \times U(1) \times U(3)$	6	$ \Psi_{-2}^{1,1}\rangle, \Psi_{0}^{3,1}\rangle$
$U(2) \times U(2) \times U(1) \times U(3)$	7	$ \Psi_{-1}^{2,1} angle$
$U(2) \times U(2) \times U(2) \times U(2)$	8	$ \Psi_{0}^{2,2} angle$

Non-Chiral limit:

Little group	Number of GMs	Ground states
$U(1) \times U(3)$	3	$ \Psi_{-2}\rangle, \Psi_{2}\rangle$
$U(2) \times U(2)$	4	$ \Psi_0 angle$

See also further work onGoldstone modes from Hartree Fock:

Kumar, Ajesh; Xie, Ming; MacDonald, A. H. 2020 Khalaf, et al, 2020

TBGV: Exact Neutral and Goldstone Excitations Dispersion and ED

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020). Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020) Vafek and Kang, 2020, same week on arxiv



Softening branch of excitation modes, as higher symmetry chiral limit (left) is approached ED Goldstone Branch, matches exact eigenstates



TBGV: Exact Charge +/- 2 Excitations Expressions

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020). Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020)

Exact charge +/- excitations can be obtained exactly, solving a 1-body problem

$$\left[H_{I}-\mu N, c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p},m_{2},\eta_{2},s_{2}}^{\dagger}c_{-\mathbf{k},m_{1},\eta_{1},s_{1}}^{\dagger}\right]|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2\Omega_{\text{tot}}}\sum_{m,m',\mathbf{q}}T_{m,m';m_{2},m_{1}}^{(\eta_{2},\eta_{1})}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k};\mathbf{p})c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{p},m,\eta_{2},s_{2}}^{\dagger}c_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},m',\eta_{1},s_{1}}^{\dagger}|\Psi\rangle$$

Scattering matrix T has the identical terms of the Neutral modes, with one crucial sign difference

For the Goldstone mode, this is a – (minus) sign, everything else is identical

$$T_{e_{Y_2};e_{Y_1}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}) = \delta_{\mathbf{q},0}(R_0(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}) + R_0(-\mathbf{k})) + 2\sum_{\mathbf{G}} V(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{q})M_{e_{Y_2}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{G})M_{e_{Y_1}}(-\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{G})$$

Charge +1 energy at momentum k+p

Charge +1 energy at momentum -k

Interaction term between the two charge +1 excitations.

Depends on the form factors

Sum of two non-interacting charge +1 excitations total momentum **p**

TBGV: Exact Charge +/- 2 Excitations Plots

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020). Xie, Cowsik, Song, Lian, BAB, Regnault, TBG VI (2020)



Gapped charge ± 2 excitations without FMC ($\nu = 0$ equivalent to with FMC), 1.05°, screening $\xi = 20$ nm

Gapped excitations, with no bound states visible below the continuum!

Bound states above the continuum, like an "inverse" Goldstone spectrum; this is due to the sign difference in the scattering matrix.

TBGV: No (Luttinger-Kohn) Superconductivity Theorem For Flat Bands

BAB, Lian, Cowsik, Xie, Regnault, Song, TBG V (2020).

Richardson criterion: Richardson (1963, 1964): Absence of SC if

 $\Delta(N+2) = E(N+2) + E(N) - 2E(N+1) \ge 0$

Lowest charge 2 excitation Lowest charge 1 excitation

Cooper pairing energy in TBG flat-band limit at integer ν :

 $T_{k+q,k;p} = \delta_{q,0} (R_{-k} + R_{k+p}) + T_{k+q,k;p}''$ T'': interaction between pair of electrons T: charge +2 Hamiltonian, R: charge +1 Hamiltonian

In TBGV we have showed that $T'' \ge 0$ analytically for these types of FB Coulomb projected H

Weyl's inequality: $\min(T) \ge 2\min(R) + \min(T'')$ \rightarrow pairing energy $\Delta(N+2) = \min(T) - 2\min(R) \ge \min(T'') \ge 0$.

No Cooper pairing from Coulomb interaction in flat bands at integer fillings ν !

Berry phases cannot save you if you only have Coulomb.

TBGV: Implications for Superconductivity

If our exact charge 2 are the lowest energy excitations, no pair binding w coulomb

Superconductivity: requires kinetic energy - nonflat bands, or other pairing glue (e.g., phonon) Wu, Macdonald, Martin (2018), Lian, Wang, BAB (2018), Xie, Song, Lian, BAB, (2018), Peri, Song, BAB, Huber (2020)

Since insulating states well-described by Coulomb, this suggests competition

Experiments proving correlations rather than causation; we know SC "seems" related to the insulating state, because you have to kill the insulating state to go to SC

But the question is does it compete with or is it helped by Coulomb interactions.

"At face value, it appears that the superconductivity can be decoupled from the correlated insulator... On the face of it these results points to superconductivity that is more robust than the correlated insulator and complicates attempts to interpret the superconductor as the result of doping a correlated insulator. "T. Senthil

Stepanov, Das, Lu, Fahimniya, Watanabe, Taniguchi, Koppens, Lischner, Levitov, Efetov, arXiv:1911.09198 Saito, Ge, Watanabe, Taniguchi, and Young, arXiv:1911.13302 Liu, Wang, Watanabe, Taniguchi, Vafek

and Li, arXiv:2003.11072

Arora, Polski, Zhang, Thomson, Choi, Kim, Lin, Wilson, Xu, Chu, Watanabe, Taniguchi, Alicea, Nadj-Perge, arXiv:2002.03003



Screening effect

Conclusions

- Perturbation theory on the TBG model
- The TBG flat bands are proved to be stably topological.
- In combinations of chiral & flat limits, the Coulomb interacting Hamiltonian exhibit enhanced U(4) or U(4)×U(4) symmetries.
- Exact/perturbative (Chern) insulators are derived at integer fillings ν and confirmed in ED. Chern number transitions are predicted in magnetic field.
- Charge $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ excitations can be exactly calculated.
- Outlook: superconductivity not from Coulomb? Phonons?
- Experimental consequences of Valley polarized/Coherent states?