Theoretical description of magnetic precessions during ultrafast laser excitation



Daria Gorelova

Center for Free Electron Laser Science I. Institute for Theoretical Physics Universität Hamburg



Ultrafast inverse Faraday effect

Ultrafast light-induced precessions via inverse Faraday effect

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{H} = 0) = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} = \frac{i\epsilon_{03}}{16\pi}\mathbf{E}_0^* \times \mathbf{E}_0$$

How can magnetization be nonzero, after the action of light, when $E_0(t) = 0$?





Ultrafast inverse Faraday effect

Ultrafast light-induced precessions via inverse Faraday effect

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{H} = 0) = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} = \frac{i\epsilon_{03}}{16\pi}\mathbf{E}_0^* \times \mathbf{E}_0$$

How can magnetization be nonzero, after the action of light, when $E_0(t) = 0$?

Stimulated Raman scattering process

Gaussian-shaped pump pulse



Ultrafast inverse Faraday effect

Ultrafast light-induced precessions via inverse Faraday effect

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{H} = 0) = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \underbrace{i\epsilon_{03}}_{16\pi} \mathbf{E}_0^* \times \mathbf{E}_0$$

How can magnetization be nonzero, after the action of light, when $E_0(t) = 0$?

Stimulated Raman scattering process





Single spin in an external magnetic field



Hamiltonian: spin-orbit coupling + Zeeman interaction $-\hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t)$



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Single spin in an external magnetic field



Pump pulse duration T_{dr} = 117 fs

 $T_{\rm L}$ – period of Larmor precession



SCIENCE Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Oscillations during the action of the pump pulse



Pump pulse duration T_{dr} = 117 fs

 T_{L} – period of Larmor precession

Spin starts to oscillate during the action of a pulse with a duration **40** times shorter



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Oscillations during the action of the pump pulse



Pump pulse duration T_{dr} = 117 fs

 $T_{\rm L}$ – period of Larmor precession

Spin starts to oscillate during the action of a pulse with a duration **40** times shorter



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Time evolution of an observable

Heisenberg picture $\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{O} \rangle = i \left[\hat{H}, \hat{O} \right]$

We are interested in the dynamics of a magnetic moment component

 $J_{\alpha}(t)=\langle \widehat{O}\rangle$

Substitute \hat{H} for an effective magnetic Hamiltonian \hat{H}_m

 \hat{H}_{m} couples only to magnetic moments



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Time evolution of an observable

Heisenberg picture

$$\frac{dJ_{\alpha}(t)}{dt} = i \left[\hat{H}_{m}, \hat{J}_{\alpha} \right]$$

Advantages:

Equation of motion for magnetic vectors

Application of effective magnetic Hamiltonians

Taking into account dissipation

Problem:

Time-dependent electric field of light couples to electrons $-\hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t)$

Idea:

Derive a time-dependent effective magnetic Hamiltonian that describes the action of light on a magnetic system



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Time evolution of an observable

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{O} | \psi(t) \rangle$$

Schrödinger picture

 $\frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = -i\hat{H}\psi(t)$



Heisenberg picture

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{O} \rangle = i \left[\hat{H}, \hat{O} \right]$$



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Derivation of an effective magnetic Hamiltonian



Obtain the time evolution of electronic wave functions

Time evolution of magnetic vectors as expectation values

Derive a time-dependent effective magnetic Hamiltonian such that the solution of a Heisenberg picture coincides with the time-dependent Schrödinger equation





Spin matrices

$$S_{x} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{array}{l} & \operatorname{Sz} = +3/2 \\ \operatorname{Sz} = +1/2 \\ \operatorname{Sz} = -1/2 \\ \operatorname{Sz} = -3/2 \\ \end{array}$$

$$S_{y} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ i\sqrt{3} & 0 & -2i & 0 \\ 0 & 2i & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & i\sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_{z} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix},$$





Effective magnetic Hamiltonian

General solution represented in the Hilbert space of spin/momentum projections

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_J &= -\sum_a^n \gamma_a \hat{N}_a \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b}^n (\nu_a - \nu_b) (\langle \hat{N}_{ab-} \rangle \hat{N}_{ab+} - \langle \hat{N}_{ab+} \rangle \hat{N}_{ab-}) \end{aligned}$$

For total spin 3/2

$$\hat{S}_x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{N}_{12+} + \hat{N}_{23+} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{N}_{34+}$$

$$\hat{S}_y = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{N}_{12-} + \hat{N}_{23-} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{N}_{34-}$$

$$\hat{S}_{z} = \frac{3}{2}\hat{N}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{N}_{2} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{N}_{3} - \frac{3}{2}\hat{N}_{4}$$

D. Popova-Gorelova, A. Bringer, S. Blügel, Phys Rev B 104, 224418 (2021) Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022

(1)

Effective magnetic Hamiltonian

General solution represented in the Hilbert space of spin/momentum projection

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_J &= -\sum_a^n \gamma_a \hat{N}_a \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b}^n (\nu_a - \nu_b) (\langle \hat{N}_{ab-} \rangle \hat{N}_{ab+} - \langle \hat{N}_{ab+} \rangle \hat{N}_{ab-}) \end{aligned}$$

Solution in the case of a single spin

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_J = f(t)(S_y\hat{S}_x - S_x\hat{S}_y) + g(t)\hat{S}_z + h(t)\hat{S}^2$$

D. Popova-Gorelova, A. Bringer, S. Blügel, Phys Rev B 104, 224418 (2021)



Effective magnetic Hamiltonian

 $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_J = f(t)(S_y\hat{S}_x - S_x\hat{S}_y) + g(t)\hat{S}_z + h(t)\hat{S}^2$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J_{\alpha}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = i\left[\hat{H}_{\mathrm{m}}, \hat{J}_{\alpha}\right]$$

Magnetic Hamiltonian represented via spin/angular momentum operators





Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022

Two-sublattice antiferromagnet



Due to the symmetry of the effective operator, magnetic vectors deviate such that

 $M_{1x} = -M_{2x}$ $M_{1y} = -M_{2y}$ $M_{1z} = M_{2z}$



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Precession modes antiferromagnet

Exchange interaction (mean field approach)



Crystal field $\Delta(3\hat{J}_x^2 - \hat{J}^2)$ (easy-axis antiferromagnet)





Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Precession of the antiferromagnet



Ultrafast Antiferromagnetic Writing 2022



Conclusions + outlook

• Even if the pump-pulse duration is 40 times shorter than the period of induced precessions,

light-induced precessions start during the action of light and affect the position of magnetic vectors after the action of the pump pulse.

- The character of induced magnetic precessions depends on the ratio of the pump-pulse duration to the period of magnetic-oscillation modes
- Explore opportunities to emphasize precessions by adjusting pulse duration or chirping
- Study magnetization dynamics during the action of the pump pulse



Conclusions + outlook

• Study magnetization dynamics during the action of the pump pulse

Few-femtosecond x-ray probe pulse to measure dynamic **during** the driving pulse

Advantage of x rays – atomic selectivity and/or atomic resolution

X-ray scattering provides laser-dressed electron density

Daria Popova-Gorelova, David A. Reis, Robin Santra, Phys Rev B 98, 224302 (2018)

Daria Popova-Gorelova, Robin Santra, arXiv:2012.10334

Daria Popova-Gorelova, V. Guskov, Robin Santra, arXiv:2009.07527



Resonant x-ray probe pulse+ driving pulse – theory under construction