# Unraveling Proximity and Topology at Interfaces with Next Generation Neutron Reflectometry

Alexander Grutter





### Topology, Magnetism, and Transport

Disclaimer: I am a neutron scatterer, not an expert on topology or electronic structure

Nontrivial topology in real space – **Skyrmions** 



P. K. Sivakumar et al., ACS Nano 14, 13463 (2020)

Winding affords some degree of protection against skyrmion annihilation

Magnetic field-induced topology in electronic states - **Quantum Hall Effect** 



Y. Wu et al., Nat. Electronics 3, 604 (2020)

Coherent conduction along edge channel

- Why does anyone care about magnetic proximity effects (MPEs) in topological insulators?
- Are there MPEs in topological insulators?
- How do we *currently* characterize and understand MPEs (with examples)?
- How *should* we characterize MPEs in topologically nontrivial systems?

# Topological Insulators (Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>)

Conductive



- Conductive surface state extends 3 nm from surface
- If top and bottom touch, hybridization...
- Dirac cone = massless Dirac fermions
- Strong spin-orbit interactions yield spin-momentum locking *i.e.* Spin-dependent conduction channels



Chen, B., Fei, F., Zhang, D. *et al.* Intrinsic magnetic topological insulator phases in the Sb doped MnBi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> bulks and thin flakes. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4469 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12485-y

#### **Topological Spintronics and Novel Quantum States**

H<sub>c1</sub>

 $V_g = V_g^0$ 

T=30mK

0.75

1.50



P. Li et al., Sci. Advances 5, eaaw3415 (2019) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aaw3415

## Magnetic TIs & Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect



Adding magnetic impurities into the system opens a gap in the surface state

•

٠

#### **Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect**



L. Pan et al., Science Advances 6, eaaz3595 (2020) C. Z. Chang et al., Science 340, 167 (2013)

### Homogeneity and Temperature Limitations

Doped (Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> structures

- has been limited to  $\leq 2 \text{ K}$
- Possible explanations include
  - Dopant inhomogeneity (Cr, V, etc.) E. O. Lachman et al., Science Advances 1, e1500740 (2015)
  - TI crystal inhomogeneity (Bi, Sb) S. Qi et al., Phys. Rev. B 101, 241407(R) (2020)
  - Topologically trivial conduction pathways (defects)









M. Mogi et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 107, 182401 (2015)

### Intrinsically Magnetic TIs

MnBi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>: An antiferromagnetic TI

- Zero-field QAH effect at 1.4 K
- In high magnetic field, 6.5 K
- Why is the temperature still low?
  - Exchange gap expected to be large
  - Magnetic inhomogeneity/defects?
  - Trivial conduction pathways?



0

### **Progress and Temperature Limitations**



## Magnetic Proximity Effects



- CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2-x</sub>Cr<sub>x</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>
- Q. L. He et al., Nat. Mat. 16, 94 (2017)

R. Watanabe et al., Applied Phys. Lett. 115, 102403 (2019)

## Magnetic Proximity Effects



C. Tang et al., Science Advances 3, e1700307 (2017)

- $Y_3Fe_5O_{12}/Bi_2Se_3$
- EuS/Sb<sub>2-x</sub>V<sub>x</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>
- EuS/Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>
- CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2-x</sub>Cr<sub>x</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>
- M. Lang et al., Nano Lett. 14, 3459 (2014)
- M. Li et al., PRL 115, 087201 (2015)
- F. Katmis et al., Nature 533, 513 (2016)
  - Te<sub>3</sub> Q. L. He et al., Nat. Mat. 16, 94 (2017)



Still have dopant-related inhomogeneity?

R. Watanabe et al., Applied Phys. Lett. 115, 102403 (2019)

InP

5 nm

## **Uniform Proximity Reservoirs and Transport Data**

#### **One Conductive Layer: Transport**



- Uniform magnet/TI heterointerfaces have led to the highest-temperature proximity effects reported
- BUT have yet to realize the
- Cr<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> might be **COSE** (*M. Mogi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett* 123, 016804)

ity

More direct probes?

X-ray spectroscopy or neutron reflectometry

#### X-ray Spectroscopy: Element-Specific Information



#### X-ray Spectroscopy: Element-Specific Information



XMCD: No proximity effect in EuS/Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> (Previously reported at room temperature)



A. I. Figueroa et al., PRL 125, 226801 (2020)

### **Polarized Neutron Reflectometry**



### **Polarized Neutron Reflectometry**



# Example: MgAl<sub>0.5</sub>Fe<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> Bilayer

<u>Stanford University</u> Lauren Riddiford, Yuri Suzuki

<u>Auburn University</u> Peng Li <u>Pennsylvania State University</u> Timothy Pillsbury, Max Stanley, Danielle Reifsnyder Hickey, Nasim Alem Nitin Samarth

<u>NIST</u> Alexander Grutter

# **Proximity-Induced Interfacial Magnetization**

 $MgAl_{0.5}Fe_{1.5}O_4$  is a new and promising magnetic insulator with ultralow damping and little to no magnetic dead layer at the surface

Ideal candidate for introducing a MPE in adjacent TI, and for integration into low-power spintronics

First look at this PNR suggests there is a strong MPE





L. Riddiford et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (In Press.)

# **Proximity-Induced Interfacial Magnetization**

 $MgAl_{0.5}Fe_{1.5}O_4$  is a new and promising magnetic insulator with ultralow damping and little to no magnetic dead layer at the surface

Ideal candidate for introducing a MPE in adjacent TI, and for integration into low-power spintronics

First look at this PNR suggests there is a strong MPE







# How Much Does the Proximity Effect Matter?

- Compare simulated curves from two different models
- Identical except that one (top) allows MPE in Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> while the other (bottom) does not
- Model without a MPE misses in several key places

#### So what do we learn here?

- Expected MPE effects are **SUBTLE**
- Fits dominated by the ferrimagnetic layer
- Seems, to indicate a magnetic proximity effect



L. Riddiford et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (In Press.)

# **Alternative Models**





So what do we do about this?

We need to bring in other techniques that can separate the two competing models



L. Riddiford et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (In Press.)

# **Alternative Models**



#### What do we do about this?

- (A) Complementary measurements allowing model selection
- (B) Find systems with high sensitivity to the magnetic interface
- (C) Take higher-precision PNR measurements over a wider Q-range

# How General is This?

 $(Bi,Sb)_2Te_3$  on  $Y_3Fe_5O_{12}$ 

Yang Lv,<sup>1</sup> 🝺 James Kally,<sup>2</sup> Tao Liu,<sup>3</sup> Patrick Quarterman,<sup>4</sup> 🛅 Timothy Pillsbury,<sup>2</sup> Brian J. Kirby,<sup>4</sup>



This interface reconstruction appears to pop up in many different systems.

# Pt on Dy<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> with and without vacuum break between layers

Jackson J. Bauer<sup>1</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Patrick Quarterman<sup>2</sup>, <sup>2</sup> Alexander J. Grutter<sup>2</sup>, <sup>2</sup> Bharat Khuran<sup>2</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Subhajit Kundu<sup>3</sup>, <sup>3</sup> K. Andre Mkhoyan<sup>3</sup>, <sup>3</sup> Julie A. Borchers<sup>2</sup>, <sup>2</sup> and Caroline A. Ross<sup>1,\*</sup>



# Sputtered Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>/Permalloy Interfaces

- Even *in-situ* sputtered heterostructures of TI/Metal systems can be complicated
- Intermixing can lead to new phases, blamed in some EuS systems
- Emergent antiferromagnetic order and exchange bias
- Topological Antiferromagnet NiBi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>?

Nirjhar Bhattacharjee<sup>1</sup>, Prishnamurthy Mahalingam<sup>3</sup>, Adrian Fedorko<sup>2</sup>, Valeria Lauter<sup>4</sup>, Matthew Matzelle<sup>2</sup>,

Bahadur Singh<sup>6</sup>, Alexander Grutter<sup>5</sup>, Alexandria Will-Cole<sup>1</sup>, Michael Page<sup>3</sup>, Michael McConney<sup>3</sup>, Robert

Markiewicz<sup>2</sup>, Arun Bansil<sup>2</sup>, Donald Heiman<sup>2</sup>, Nian Xiang Sun<sup>1\*</sup>

Advanced Materials, Just Accepted: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202108790





# Clean Oxide/TI Interfaces are Possible



# Cr-(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>/SnTe: Topological Insulator and Topological Crystalline Insulator

A simple case of "No detectable MPE"

UCLANISTPeng Deng, Peng Zhang, Shuaihang Pan,Megan Holtz, Patrick QuartermanKang K. WangKang K. Wang

### Topological Insulator/Topological Crystalline Insulator Interface

SnTe and Cr-doped (Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>

- Epitaxial
- Excellent Crystallinity
- Extremely Sharp Interfaces
- 2 nm Cr:BST / SnTe yields an anomalous Hall effect
- 2 nm Cr:BST should be insulating
- Cr:BST induces proximity magnetism in SnTe?



P. Deng et al., Under Review (2021)

# Modeling *without* a Proximity Effect



- Combining STEM imagine with PNR allows us to compare the structural thickness with the magnetic thickness, overcoming the weak nuclear contrast between the CBST and SnTe layers
- Magnetic thickness < CBST Thickness</li>

# Modeling with a Proximity Effect



Forcing a magnetic proximity effect in the SnTe clearly fails to fit the data. No proximity effect (that we can detect)

# CrSe/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>: A Proximity Effect Dependent on Interface Symmetry

The clearest MPE I've personally seen

<u>UCLA</u>

#### <u>NIST</u>

**Chao-Yao Yang**, Lei Pan, Xiaoyu Che, Qing Lin Dustin Gilbert (*Now at UT Knoxville*), Julie He, Yingying Wu, Hao Wu, Gen Yin, Peng Borchers, William Ratcliff II Deng, Kang K. Wang

<u>Henan Normal University</u> Haiyang Wang <u>Advanced Light Source</u> Padraic Shafer, Elke Arenholz

# PNR: CrSe and TI



#### Transport: CrSe/BST vs. BST/CrSe



C.-Y. Yang et al., Science Advances 6, eaaz8463 (2020)

#### **XMCD: Element Specific Magnetism**



C.-Y. Yang et al., Science Advances 6, eaaz8463 (2020)

# CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>

Qing Lin He,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Gen Yin,<sup>1</sup> Luyan Yu,<sup>1</sup> Alexander J. Grutter,<sup>3</sup> Lei Pan,<sup>1</sup> Chui-Zhen Chen,<sup>4</sup> Xiaoyu Che,<sup>1</sup> Guoqiang Yu,<sup>1</sup> Bin Zhang,<sup>5</sup> Qiming Shao,<sup>1</sup> Alexander L. Stern,<sup>6</sup> Brian Casas,<sup>6</sup> Jing Xia,<sup>6</sup> Xiaodong Han,<sup>5</sup> Brian J. Kirby,<sup>3</sup> Roger K. Lake,<sup>7</sup> K. T. Law,<sup>4</sup> and Kang L. Wang<sup>1,†</sup>

Reduce the confounding effects of the magnetization from the magnetically ordered reservoir layer

### Pushing the limits of what we can detect



# CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>: Electrical Transport

- Bilayers and trilayers show indications of switchable magnetization
- Unusual emergent lineshapes in the magnetoresistance of trilayers
- Consistent with theory showing a topological phase transition induced by magnetic proximity





Physical Review Letters 121, 096802 (2018)

# CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>: Neutron Reflectometry

- PNR from a CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> superlattice shows extremely weak magnetism
- Most consistent with interface magnetism
  - Proximity?
  - Intermixing?





# CrSb/(Bi,Sb)<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>: Neutron Reflectometry



### **CANDOR: Using More Neutrons**

- We already have a ton of unused neutrons!
- Traditional continuous beam (reactor) reflectometers have a monochromator to select a single wavelength
- What if we instead took all the wavelengths and sorted them out on the other side?







### Polychromatic Detector Array

- Each detector array consists of 54 graphite crystals directing neutrons into detectors
- Arranged in two banks of 54 detectors each (eventually more than 20 banks)
- Cryocooled to reduce thermal diffuse scattering and improve background





N. Maliszewskyj et al. 2018, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. A, 907, 90

### First Measurements: Beam Intensity



### First Measurements: Magnetic Materials

- Beam polarization essentially perfect, with *uncorrected* CANDOR data matching corrected PBR data
- Fitting data gives the same answer
- Much wider useful Q-range



#### Quantitative comparison of the magnetic proximity effect in Pt detected by XRMR and XMCD

Dominik Graulich,<sup>1,a)</sup> D Jan Krieft,<sup>1</sup> Anastasiia Moskaltsova,<sup>1</sup> Johannes Demir,<sup>1</sup> Tobias Peters,<sup>1</sup> Tobias Pohlmann,<sup>2,3</sup> Florian Bertram,<sup>3</sup> D Joachim Wollschläger,<sup>2</sup> Jose R. L. Mardegan,<sup>3</sup> Sonia Francoual,<sup>3</sup> and Timo Kuschel<sup>1</sup>

Appl. Phys. Lett. 118, 012407 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0032584

- XRMR has, in principle, everything one needs to characterize magnetic proximity effects and interface coupling with phenomenal precision
- Huge strides in analysis recently
- Relatively underutilized technique in topologically nontrivial matter



### Conclusions

- Depth and element resolved probes are critical components for probing magnetic proximity effects
- Extreme care must be taken about interpretation, in particular when confounding structural effects may be present
- Combine as many independent techniques as possible
- Looking forward to new instrumentation and techniques like multiplexing neutron reflectometers and the application of X-ray resonant magnetic reflectivity

**Further Reading:** 

- S. Bhattacharyya et al., Adv. Mater. 33, 2007795 (2021)
- J. Liu and T. Hesjedal, Adv. Mater. 2102427 (2021)
- A. J. Grutter and Q. L. He, Phys. Rev. Mater. 5, 090301 (2021)

### Acknowledgements

#### Wang Group, UCLA

- Qing Lin He
- Lei Pan
- Chao-Yao Yang
- Peng Deng
- Qiming Shao
- Yingying Wu
- Peng Zhang
- Gen Yin
- Hao Wu
- Xufeng Kou
- Kang L. Wang

#### Suzuki Group, Stanford

- Lauren Riddiford
- Peng Li
- Yuri Suzuki

#### Samarth Group, Penn State

- Timothy Pillsbury
- Wilson Yanez
- Arpita Mitra
- Max Stanley
- Anthony Richardella
- Nitin Samarth

#### Samarth Group, Penn State

- Timothy Pillsbury
- Wilson Yanez
- Arpita Mitra
- Max Stanley
- Anthony Richardella
- Nitin Samarth

#### **Spallation Neutron Source**

- Mike Fitzsimmons
- Tim Charlton
- Valeria Lauter

#### **Advanced Light Source**

- Padraic Shafer
- Elke Arenholz

#### Ross Group, MIT

- Jackson Bauer
- Caroline Ross

#### Wang Group, U. of Minnesota

- Yang Lv
- Jian-Ping Wang

#### Sun Group, Northeastern

- Nirjhar Bhattacharjee
- Nian X. Sun

#### NCNR, NIST

- Dustin Gilbert
- Patrick Quarterman
- Purnima Balakrishnan
- William Ratcliff II
- Steve Disseler
- Megan Holtz
- Brian Maranville
- Brian Kirby
- Julie Borchers

alexander.grutter@nist.gov ncnr.nist.gov