Planar Hall Torque



C. Safranski, E. Montoya, IK, Nature Nanotechnology 14, 27 (2019)

Spin torques



Spin Hall torque

FM J_s NM J_c soc

Planar Hall torque



Katine et al., PRL **84**, 3149 (2000) Grollier et al., APL **78**, 3663 (2001)

Spin current origin: spin filtering

Ando et al., PRL **101**, 036601 (2008) Liu et al., PRL **109**, 096602 (2012)

Spin current origin: spin-orbit coupling in non-magnetic metal Safranski et al., Nature Nanotech. **14**, 27 (2019)

Spin current origin: spin-orbit coupling in ferromagnetic metal

Corresponding transport effect: giant magnetoresistance

Baibich et al., PRL **61**, 2472 (1988) Binasch et al., PRB **39**, 4828 (1989) Corresponding transport effect: spin Hall magneto-resistance

Weiler et al., PRL **108,** 106602 (2012) Huang et al. PRL **109**, 107204 (2012)

Corresponding transport effect: anisotropic magneto-resistance

> W. Thomson, Proc. Royal Soc. **8**, 546 (1857)

Spin Hall torque



Spin Hall torque is damping-like

- Depends on the direction of magnetization
- Modifies effective damping of the ferromagnet



Angular dependence of currentinduced damping: $\sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi)$



Spin Hall oscillators



Demidov et al. Nat. Mater. (2012)

Liu et al. PRL (2012)

Duan et al. Nat. Commun. (2014)

- Several types of spin Hall oscillators were demonstrated
- Can be phase locked [Awad et al. Nat. Phys. 13, 292 (2017)]
- Auto-oscillations stop upon reversal of magnetization

Nanowires for studies of angular dependence of SOT



- [Co/Ni] multilayer ferromagnet (FM) chosen for perpendicular anisotropy
- The FM can be easily saturated along any direction

Spin wave resonance spectroscopy

Electrical detection of spin wave resonances in nanowire

- linewidth (damping) is linear in direct current I_{dc}
- maximum variation of damping with I_{dc} is in the xz plane at $\theta = 45^{\circ}$
- small variation of damping with I_{dc} in the xy plane



spin wave resonances

Technique: Goncalves, IK et al. APL 103, 172406 (2013)

Angular dependence of damping-like torque

- Slope of the linewidth ΔH vs I_{dc} is proportional to the damping-like torque efficiency
- Slope ~ $sin(\theta)cos(\theta)$ in the *xz* plane
- Slope is small and $\sim \sin(\varphi)$ in the *xy* plane



Biaxial antidamping torque

Detailed measurements reveal the antidamping torque is biaxial in the xz plane:

- current-induced damping ~ $I_{dc} \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \cos(\phi)$
- maximized for magnetization near 45° to the normal



Microwave emission driven by biaxial torque

- Spontaneous currentdriven microwave emission is observed when magnetization is in the xz plane
 - Lowest critical current for magnetization at 45° to sample normal
- Emission is unaltered upon reversal of magnetization



Consistent with antidamping $\sim I_{dc} \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \cos(\phi)$

Enhanced microwave power

- Higher microwave power than spin Hall oscillators
 - At 45°, conversion of magnetic oscillations into resistance oscillations by AMR is maximum
 - At 45°, both torque efficiency and AMR oscillations are maximized



Enhanced microwave power: mechanism

 Magnetic oscillations converted to resistance oscillations

• AMR
$$\sim \left(\vec{m} \cdot \vec{E} \right)^2 \sim (\vec{m} \cdot \vec{x})^2$$





Spin Hall torque efficiency is maximum when AMR oscillations are minimized

Biaxial antidamping torque efficiency and AMR oscillations are maximized at 45°

Origin of torque: NM material dependence ?

Three samples with identical resistances of Au, Pd and Pt layers









Origin of torque: FM/NM interface dependence ?

Measured biaxial antidamping torque is not purely interfacial



Large biaxial antidamping

No biaxial antidamping

Large biaxial antidamping

Samples [2] and [3] have the same Au/FM/Au interfaces but radically different biaxial antidamping torques

Relation to anisotropic magneto-resistance?

Both AMR and antidamping torque increase with decreasing temperature

$$\frac{AMR_{77\ K}}{AMR_{295\ K}} = 1.8$$

= 1.8

 $\frac{J_{c,295K}}{J_{c,77\ K}}$



Antidamping torque magnitude is proportional to AMR

Planar Hall current

Spin-orbit interaction gives rise to magnetization-dependent spin-polarized electrical current in a ferromagnetic conductor:

- flows in the direction of magnetization
- polarized in the direction of magnetization
- magnitude depends on the direction of magnetization
- gives rise to anisotropic magneto-resistance (AMR) and planar Hall effect

$$\vec{J}_{PH} \sim (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{m}) \vec{m}$$

$$\vec{p} \sim \vec{m}$$

$$\overrightarrow{\mathsf{FM}} \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{N}} \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{I}_{PH}} \overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{E}}$$

Taniguchi et al. Phys. Rev. Appl. **3**, 044001 (2015) Kokado et al. J. Phys. Soc. Japan **81**, 024705 (2012)

Spin transfer by planar Hall current



$(\vec{J}_{PH}\cdot\hat{z})\sim E\sin(\theta)\cos(\theta)\cos(\varphi)$

Angular dependence of the component of J_{PH} flowing across the FM/NM interface is identical to that of the measured biaxial antidumping torque

Planar Hall torque



- In steady state, no net charge current flow across the FM/NM
- A backflow charge current J_{bf} must flow from NM to FM
- To maximize net spin transfer across the NM/FM interface, J_{bf} must be unpolarized (NM must be a good spin sink)

Dependence of planar Hall torque on NM layers

- To maximize planar Hall torque in NM₁/FM/NM₂, one NM layer must be a good spin sink (GSS), while the other a poor spin sink (PSS)
- Otherwise net spin transfer to FM is zero

NM ₂	PSS	NM ₂	GSS	NM ₂	PSS
FM		FM		FM	
NM ₁	GSS	NM ₁	GSS	NM ₁	PSS

Large PHT Negligible PHT I

Negligible PHT

Dependence of PHT on NM spin scattering

Magnitude of the biaxial antidamping torque in samples with different capping layers are explained by the NM layer spin sinking



Large biaxial antidamping No biaxial antidamping Large biaxial antidamping

- Spin pumping measurements are used find is NM is a GSS or PSS
- Spin flipping in NM can happen anywhere in the layer, so the effect is not purely interfacial, explains nonlocality

Spin pumping measurements

- Broadband FMR measurements on films
- Determined damping enhancement by spin pumping to determine which NM layers are good spin sinks



Sample	$4\pi M_{\rm eff}$ (Oe)	g	$\alpha \ (10^{-3})$	$\Delta H(0)$ (Oe)
Ta(3.0)/FM/Ta(4.0)	7160	2.17	17.2	4
${ m Ta}(3.0)/{ m Au}(3.9)/{ m FM}/{ m Ta}(4.0)$	3010	2.17	20.9	7
${ m Ta}(3.0)/{ m Au}(2.5)/{ m FM}/{ m Au}(1.5)/{ m Ta}(4.0)$	3220	2.14	21.7	4
Ta(3.0)/Pt(7.0)/FM/Ta(4.0)	1480	2.17	25.3	33
Ta(3.0)/Pd(8.0)/FM/Ta(4.0)	4060	2.18	22.2	8
$Ta(3.0)/Au(3.9)/FM/Au(1.0)/AlO_x(2.0)$	2760	2.14	20.6	20

Good spin sinks (GSS): Au/Ta, Pd/Ta, Pt/Ta

Poor spin sinks (PSS): Ta, AIO_x, Au/AIO_x

Recent quantitative theory of PHT

 Recently a quantitative theory of PHT has been developed

H. Ochoa, R. Zarzuela, Y. Tserkovnyak, JMMM **538**, 168262 (2021)

 It predicts an additional term in the angular dependence of PHT current-induced damping:

 $\sim \sigma_{AMR} \left[\sin(2\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \sin(4\theta) \right] \cos(\varphi)$

- Our data are better fit with this $sin(4\theta)cos(\phi)$ term
 - without additional fitting parameters



Summary

- Strong antidamping torque with unusual biaxial symmetry is found in NM/FM bilayers
- The torque is strong enough to enable operation of spin torque oscillators with better efficiency than in SHOs
- The origin of the new torque is spin-polarized planar Hall current in the ferromagnetic layer. Thus the torque has the same origin as anisotropic magneto-resistance.
 - C. Safranski, E. Montoya, IK, Nature Nanotechnology 14, 27 (2019)

