# Optical investigation of strong electronic correlations: magnetism in semiconductor moire materials

Atac Imamoglu

ETH Zurich

<u>Co-workers</u>:

<u>Livio Ciorciaro</u>, Natasha Kiper, Sarah Hiestand

Tomasz Smolenski, Martin Kroner

Ivan Morera, Eugene Demler

Yang Zhang (DFT calculations)

Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi (NIMS)



# **Emerging platform for studying strong correlations:** van der Waals heterostructures

Atomically-thin materials with different electronic, optical and magnetic properties can be combined to create a van der Waals heterostructure with novel hybrid functionality





Magnet, Superconductor, TI, ...

Van der Waals heterostructure



A. K. Geim et al., Nature 499, 419 (2013)

# Moire fatbands in (twisted) semiconductor bilayers

#### Twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD)



F. Wu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 026402 (2018)

Heavy effective mass (m\*~0.7m<sub>e</sub>)

 $\rightarrow$  Flat bands in wide range of angles

→ Electric field tunable moire potential

Difficulties

Electrical contact

Inhomogeneity due to strain

Local probe by optical spectroscopy

Mott-Wigner states & quantum anomalous Hall effect (pioneering work: Wang and Mak-Shan groups)



# Moire fatbands in (twisted) semiconductor bilayers

#### Twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD)



F. Wu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 026402 (2018)

- Heavy effective mass (m\*~0.7m<sub>e</sub>)
- $\rightarrow$  Flat bands in wide range of angles
- → Electric field tunable moire potential

Difficulties

Electrical contact

Inhomogeneity due to strain

Local probe by optical spectroscopy

Mott-Wigner states & quantum anomalous Hall effect (pioneering work: Wang and Mak-Shan groups) Quantum magnetism of correlated electrons: 120 Neel order or spin liquid or ...?

# Outline

 Optics/excitons as a spectroscopic tool for investigating ground-state electronic properties

 Kinetic magnetism in semiconductor moire materials: magnetism that stems from kinetic energy minimization, instead of interactions

# <u>Materials</u>: Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMD) –layered 2D valley semiconductors



Strong exciton resonance below the band-gap dominates the absorption/ reflection & emission spectrum

Table 1 Fundamental	optoelectronic material	parameters of monolaye	er TMD semiconductors
---------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Valley and spin are locked: low energy K valley states have spin-up

Material	m <sub>r</sub> (m <sub>0</sub> )	<b>E</b> <sub>b</sub> (meV)	E <sub>gap</sub> (eV)	κ	r <sub>o</sub> (nm)	r <sub>1s</sub> (nm)
hBN   MoS <sub>2</sub>   hBN	0.275 ± 0.015	221	2.160	4.45	3.4	1.2
hBN   MoSe <sub>2</sub>   hBN	$0.350 \pm 0.015$	231	1.874	4.4	3.9	1.1
hBN   MoTe <sub>2</sub>   hBN	$0.360 \pm 0.040$	177	1.352	4.4 <sup>a</sup>	6.4	1.3
hBN   WS <sub>2</sub>   hBN	$0.175 \pm 0.007$	180	2.238	4.35	3.4	1.8
hBN   WSe <sub>2</sub>   hBN <sup>b</sup>	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	167	1.890	4.5	4.5	1.7

Experimentally determined values of the exciton reduced mass  $m_r$ , the 1s exciton binding energy  $E_b$ , the free-particle bandgap  $E_{gap}$ , the dielectric screening parameters  $r_0$  and  $\kappa$ , and the root-mean-square radius of the 1s exciton  $r_{1s}$ . Typical error bars on experimental values of  $E_b$  and  $E_{gap}$  are  $\pm 3$  meV. Typical error bars on values of  $r_0$  and  $r_{1s}$  are  $\pm 0.1$  nm, except for MoTe<sub>2</sub>, where they are  $\pm 0.3$  nm <sup>a</sup>The value of  $\kappa$  for MoTe<sub>2</sub> is assumed to be 4.4 and is not a fitting parameter (see text for details) <sup>b</sup>Values for hBN-encapsulated WSe<sub>2</sub> are taken from ref. <sup>27</sup>



#### **Monolayer MoSe<sub>2</sub> device with tunable charge density**



hBN (30-35nm)



# **Elementary optical excitations in monolayer MoSe<sub>2</sub>**



# **Elementary optical excitations in monolayer MoSe<sub>2</sub>**

<u>Charge neutrality</u>: tightly bound 1s exciton dominates <u>Finite electron or hole density</u>: spectrum is drastically modified



#### How to understand the modified spectrum: Exciton-electron scattering in a monolayer TMD

 Excitons interact with itinerant electrons or holes from the <u>opposite valley</u> and form a bound molecular state termed "<u>trion</u>"

V(r) <u>Blue</u>: Interaction potential for an exciton and an electron in opposite valleys For small r, the exciton-electron pair hybridizes with the trion, leading to - blue shift of exciton-electron energy - finite "exciton" weight to trion C 9<sup>-</sup>K - E7

exciton (+) electron (2) r = separation of an exciton & electon

<u>A free electron-exciton pair</u>: blue-shift - effective repulsive interaction <u>Excitons injected below the trion resonance</u>: red-shift - effective attractive interaction

# **Elementary optical excitations in monolayer MoSe<sub>2</sub>**



#### Attractive polaron as an electronic spin sensor in MoSe<sub>2</sub> monolayer



#### Attractive polaron as an electronic spin sensor in MoSe<sub>2</sub> monolayer



# A semiconducting moire system: MoSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>



- Moire potential due to lattice mismatch of  $\sim 4\%$
- Type I band alignment both CB minimum and VB maximum are in MoSe2
- Electronic bands are trivial but E-field tuning may yield Chern bands

# A semiconducting moire system: MoSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>





• Potential landscape for electrons and excitons are generically different.

#### **Semiconductor moire system:** MoSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>



### **Semiconductor moire system: MoSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>**



### Semiconductor moire system: MoSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> : Second device

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cusps in reflection for v = 2 and v = 3 signaling incompressible electronic states even at high doping

## Magnetic field response at T=4 K

To explore magnetic properties, we determine magnetization as a function of temperature and magnetic field

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Evidence for the (extended) single-band Hubbard model**

• For B=4T, electrons are spin-valley polarized in K'-valley; the strength of K-valley AP increases linearly till v=1 and then decreases linearly; for v=2, all electronic states in the lowest moire flat band are filled and they cannot contribute to AP/trion formation.

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Direct measurement of magnetization (p<sub>AP</sub>) using attractive polarons**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Spin susceptibility: 
$$\chi \propto \lim_{B \to 0} \nu \frac{p_{AP}}{B}$$

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Electron moire magnetism for v ~ 1: determined by interactions or kinetic energy?

• For v=1,  $\theta_{CW} \sim J = t^2/U \sim 0$ , since U= 50 meV and t=1 meV

# Electron moire magnetism for v ~ 1: determined by interactions or kinetic energy?

- For v=1,  $\theta_{CW} \sim J = t^2/U \sim 0$ , since U= 50 meV and t=1 meV
- For v>1,  $\theta_{CW} > 0$ : Nagaoka ferromagnetism (FM) with  $\theta_{CW} \sim t$

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Electron moire magnetism for v ~ 1: determined by interactions or kinetic energy?

- For v=1,  $\theta_{CW} \sim J = t^2/U \sim 0$ , since U= 50 meV and t=1 meV
- For v>1,  $\theta_{CW}$  > 0: Nagaoka ferromagnetism (FM) with  $\theta_{CW} \sim t$
- For v<1,  $\theta_{CW}$  < 0: kinetic Antiferromagnetism (AF) with  $\theta_{CW}$  ~ t, due to kinetic frustration in a triangular lattice

# Antiferromagnetic correlations due to kinetic frustration

Fermi-Hubbard model for v<1

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  Indistinguishable paths interfere destructively due to negative hopping t

→ Spin-polaron formation (hole bound to a spin-flip) allows for KE gain (Haerter&Shastry,Fu,Demler)

## **DMRG results (Morera & Demler)**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

When Coulomb is replaced by infinite strength on-site repulsion (contact interaction), DMRG predicts a switch from AF to F limits

#### Paramagnetic response for $v \le 1$

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\sim 1$  photon every 100 ns

 $\rightarrow$  Degree of polarization (DOP) as a function of B identical for v = 0.8 and v = 1

#### **Enhanced susceptibility for v > 1**

 $\sim$  1 photon every 100 ns

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  Degree of polarization (DOP) as a function of B identical for v = 0.8 and v = 1 <u>but not</u> for v = 1.2

#### **Enhanced susceptibility for v > 1 – Nagaoka mechanism**

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Sharp increase in spin susceptibility  $\chi$  for v>1

Paramagnetic response for  $v \le 1$  –Are long-range interactions the culprit?

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

DMRG calculation of magnetization with NN interactions (V) and disorder ( $\Delta$ )

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Quantum simulators of the Fermi-Hubbard model

0.16 K

Kinetic magnetism in a triangular&square optical lattice of ultracold fermions (Greiner group)

MoSe2/WS2 moire heterostructure

→ 0.49 K

---- 0.70 K

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Kinetic magnetism in triangular

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

0.27 K

- Strong AF correlations at v=1
- FM (AF) correlations for v > 1 (v < 1)
- Fully consistent with theoretical predictions
- Paramagnetic spin susceptibility for  $v \le 1$
- Nagaoka FM only for v > 1
- <u>Long range Coulomb</u> + disorder suppresses AF for v < 1•

# **Summary and outlook**

 Evidence for magnetism that originates from itinerant electrons minimizing kinetic energy – in stark contrast to usual magnetism which stem from electron-electron interactions.

- Future directions:
  - Chiral spin liquids using layer pseudo-spin
  - Kondo lattice