Terahertz and Raman Spectroscopies of Quantum Magnets.

Rolando Valdés Aguilar Guest Researcher, NIST Gaithersburg







Evan Jasper



Chase Lyon

OSU PHYSICS



Daniel Heligman



Alex Giovannone



Yuan-Ming Lu





Yufei Li



Angela Hight Walker

NIST GAITHERSBURG

Jeff R. Simpson Kevin F. Garrity Maria Muñoz **Tehseen Adel**



Nandini Trivedi



Thuc Mai



Magnons

Spin waves (aka magnons) are elementary excitations in a magnetic system with long-range magnetic order.

E.g. spins precess around the direction of ordered moment (usually parallel to the external field)



Spin waves can be probed by neutrons and light scattering excitations, and thermal/spin transport

Magnons



Topological Magnons



Like in topological insulators, surface/edge states are protected from backscattering. Longer coherence lengths.

Can information be shared?

Terahertz Spectroscopy

Terahertz Spectrum



 $1 \text{ THz} \sim 1 \text{ ps} \sim 300 \mu \text{m} \sim 33 \text{ cm}^{-1} \sim 4.1 \text{ meV} \sim 47.6^{\circ} \text{K}$

Natural Energy/Frequency/Time Scales in Materials

- + 1 THz = 4.1 meV = 1 ps = 48 K = 33 cm⁻¹
- + Momentum relaxation time in metals is ~ 100's fs to 10's ps
- + Energy gaps in superconductors ~ few meV
- + (Anti)-Ferromagnetic Resonances ~ few to 100's GHz
- + Spin-orbit energy in transition metal oxides ~ few meV to 100's meV



Electromagnetic Waves



Electric field can accelerate charges, excite polarization waves. Magnetic field can excite magnetization waves.

Note that $p = h/\lambda$ is the momentum of the wave. Long wavelength means small momentum.

Lattice Vibration (phonon)



Spin Wave (magnon)



THz Laboratory





Time-domain terahertz spectroscopy



Magnon Polaritons



Two sublattices AFM + Mean field



1 THz ~ 4 meV ~ 44 K ~ 33 cm⁻¹



T.T. Mai, PhD Thesis (2019).



Raman Spectroscopy

Raman Spectroscopy



Ground State





The symmetry of the scattering mode determines the shape of the polar plots. E.g.

$$A_{g} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & d \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ d & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \qquad B_{g} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f & 0 \\ f & 0 & e \\ 0 & e & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$I_{R} \propto |\varepsilon_{s} \cdot R \cdot \varepsilon_{i}|^{2}$$
$$\varepsilon_{i} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \varepsilon_{s} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta + \varphi) \\ \sin(\theta + \varphi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By controlling polarization of incoming and scattered photons we can figure out (in principle) the matrix representation of the Raman tensor.



Chai	racte	r T	able	e of	the	gro	oup	D _{2h} (mmi	m)*
D _{2h} (mmm)	#	1	2 _z	2 _y	2 _x	-1	mz	my	m _x	functions
Ag	Γ ₁ +	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	x ² ,y ² ,z ²
B _{1g}	Γ ₃ +	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	xy,J _z
B _{2g}	Γ ₂ +	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	xz,J _y
B _{3g}	Γ ₄ +	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	yz,J _x
A _u	Γ ₁ -	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	•
B _{1u}	Г ₃ -	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	z
B _{2u}	Γ ₂ -	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	у
B _{3u}	Γ ₄ -	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	x

More interesting case where irreps have complex characters.



w = exp $(2i\pi/3)$

These are treated as 'degenerate', i.e. 2 components of 2-dimensional irrep.

But this is incorrect.

Same issue with
$${}^{1}E_{u}$$
 and ${}^{2}E_{u}$

C _{3i} (-3)	#	1	3+	3-	-1	-3+	-3-	functions
Ag	Γ ₁ +	1	1	1	1	1	1	x^2+y^2,z^2,J_z
¹ E _g ² E _g	Γ ₃ + Γ ₂ +	1	w² w	w w²	1	w² w	w w ²	(xz,yz),(x ² -y ² ,xy),(J _x ,J _y)
A _u	Γ ₁ -	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	Z
¹ E _u ² E _u	Γ ₃ ⁻ Γ ₂ ⁻	1	w² w	w w²	-1 -1	-w ² -w	-w -w ²	(x,y)

Character Table of the group C_{3i}(-3)*

w = exp($2i\pi/3$)

We can tell because the 3-fold rotations (+ and -) do not have the same characters, i.e. they do not belong the same class.

Which means there must be a way to distinguish the representations that have w and w^2 characters.

Table	C.2:	$\overline{3}$.	G	= 6.
	<u> </u>	•••		

$100000.223 \cdot 100 = 0.$							
class	1	3^{-}	3^+	ī	$\overline{3}^{-}$	$\overline{3}^+$	
order	1	3	3	2	6	6	
Ag	1	1	1	1	1	1	J_z,z^2,x^2+y^2
$^{1}\mathrm{E_{g}}$	1	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	1	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$J_x - \mathrm{i}J_y, xz - \mathrm{i}yz, x^2 - y^2 + 2\mathrm{i}xy$
$^{2}\mathrm{E_{g}}$	1	$\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	1	$\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$J_x + iJ_y, xz + iyz, x^2 - y^2 - 2ixy$
A _u	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	z
$^{1}\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$	1	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	-1	$\frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$x - \mathrm{i}y$
$^{2}\mathrm{E_{u}}$	1	$\frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	-1	$\frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$x + \mathrm{i}y$

If we consider time-reversal symmetry, then

$$x + iy \mid x - iy$$

$$J_x + iJ_y \mid J_x - iJ_y$$

are distinguishable.

Then, the Raman matrices become:

$${}^{1}E_{g} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} c & 2ic & d \\ 2ic & -c & -id \\ d & -id & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad {}^{2}E_{g} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} c & -2ic & d \\ -2ic & -c & id \\ d & id & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Same as before, time reversal can distinguish both E_g representations, producing:

$${}^{1}E_{g} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & be^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & be^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$${}^{2}E_{g} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0\\ 0 & be^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & be^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

MnTe₂

Transition temperature ~ 87 K

Noncollinear magnetic structure

Space group $Pa\overline{3}$

Point group $m\overline{3}$

S = 5/2









С			0 	5	10	.15
		90°		60°		
	120°	- e	• •	-	× 3	٥°
					X	.
150°.	11					Y
					1	+0°
1			\mathbb{X}			†
180° -					11	1330°
						[
2	210°			/	100	٥
			-	-	> 300)
		240	2	70°		



A	98 cm ⁻¹	Tg
В	107 cm ⁻¹	Eg
С	179 cm ⁻¹	Ag
D	183 cm ⁻¹	Tg



Eg phonon splits. Loss of symmetry?

Yes! Time reversal, upon magnetic order.

33

CoTiO₃

Basic Properties of CoTiO₃



Phys. Rev. B 109, 184436 (2024)

Basic Properties of CoTiO₃



Dirac crossing of magnon bands.

Within LSWT, spectrum must be gapless at zone center.

Nat Commun **12**, 3936 (2021)

THz transmission Results



Phys. Rev. B 109, 184436 (2024)

Raman Experiment





Raman magnetic field dependence



Raman Temperature Dependence











Hamiltonian

Effective $\tilde{S} = 1/2$ Model	Flavor Wave Model
$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_{\rm bl} + \tilde{H}_6 + \tilde{H}_Z$	$H = H_0 + H_{\rm bl} + H_6 + H_{\rm bq} + H_{\rm Z}$
	$H_0 = \sum_{oldsymbol{r},i} (3\lambda/2) oldsymbol{S}_{oldsymbol{r},i} \cdot oldsymbol{l}_{oldsymbol{r},i} + \delta\left(\left(l^z_{oldsymbol{r},i} ight)^2 - 2/3 ight)$
$ ilde{H}_{ m bl} = rac{1}{2} \sum_{m{r},egin{smallmatrix} m{ au}_{m{r}} & m{ au}_{m{ au},i}^{T} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}}}^{ijj} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j} & m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},i}^{T} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}}}^{ijj} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j} & m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j} & m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},i}^{ijj} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},i}^{ijj} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j}^{ijj} & m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j}^{ijj} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j}^{ij} m{ au}_{m{ au}},j}^{ij} m{ au}_{m{ au}_{m{ au}},j}^{ij} m{ $	$H_{ m bl} = rac{1}{2} \sum_{m{r}, \deltam{r}} \sum_{i,j} m{S}_{m{r},i}^{T} m{J}_{\deltam{r}}^{ij} m{S}_{m{r}+\deltam{r},j}$
$ ilde{H}_6 = ilde{lpha}_6 ig(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} ilde{\phi}_6} \sum_{m{r}} \prod_{i=1}^6 ilde{S}^+_{m{r}+m{\delta}^{\mathrm{ring}}_i} + \mathrm{h.c.} ig)$	$H_{6} = lpha_{6} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi_{6}} \sum_{m{r}} \prod_{i=1}^{6} S^{+}_{m{r}+m{\delta}^{\mathrm{ring}}_{i}} + \mathrm{h.c.} ight)$
L L	$ H_{\rm bq} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\langle \boldsymbol{r}i, \boldsymbol{r}'j \rangle} q \left((S_{\boldsymbol{r}i}^+)^2 (S_{\boldsymbol{r}'j}^-)^2 + q^* (S_{\boldsymbol{r}i}^-)^2 (S_{\boldsymbol{r}'j}^+)^2 \right) $
$ ilde{H}_{ m Z} = \mu_{ m B} \sum_{m{r},i} ilde{g}_{\parallel} \left(B^x ilde{S}^x_{m{r},i} + B^y ilde{S}^y_{m{r},i} ight)$	$H_{\mathrm{Z}} = \mu_{\mathrm{B}} \sum_{oldsymbol{r},i} oldsymbol{B} \cdot (2 oldsymbol{S}_{oldsymbol{r},i} - 3 oldsymbol{l}_{oldsymbol{r},i}/2)$
$\tilde{J}_1^{xx} = \tilde{J}_1^{yy} = -6.36 \text{ meV} \tilde{J}_1^{zz} = 1.97 \text{ meV}$	$J_1 = -0.90(2) { m meV}$
$\left \tilde{J}_{2}^{xx} = \tilde{J}_{2}^{yy} = - \ 0.33 ext{meV}, \ \ \tilde{J}_{2}^{zz} = \ 0.30 \ ext{meV} ight $	
$\left \tilde{J}_{3}^{xx} = \tilde{J}_{3}^{yy} = 0.78 \text{meV}, \ \ \tilde{J}_{3}^{zz} = 0.15 \text{ meV} \right $	
$\left \tilde{J}_{4}^{xx} = \tilde{J}_{4}^{yy} = 0.11 \text{meV}, \ \tilde{J}_{4}^{zz} = 0.32 \text{meV} \right $	$J_4 = 0.189(8) { m meV}$
$\left \tilde{J}_{5}^{xx} = \tilde{J}_{5}^{yy} = - 0.39 \text{meV}, \tilde{J}_{5}^{zz} = 0.20 \text{ meV} \right $	
$\left \tilde{J}_{6}^{xx} = \tilde{J}_{6}^{yy} = 0.79 \text{meV}, \tilde{J}_{6}^{zz} = 0.68 \text{ meV} \right $	
${ ilde g}_{\parallel} = 2.73(3)$	
	$\delta = 52(2) ext{ meV}$
	$\lambda = 16.4(2) ext{ meV}$
$ ilde{lpha}_6 ~=~ 46(6) ~~ \mathrm{\mu eV}$	$lpha_6=-0.62(7)$ $ m \mu eV$
	q = -0.15(1) meV

Phys. Rev. B 109, 184436 (2024)

SUMMARY

- Introduced how THz and Raman can be used to study quantum magnets.
- Crucial to consider time-reversal to understand symmetry of excitations.
- CoTiO₃ can be topological, only if inversion symmetry is broken.
- We developed, through extensive THz and Raman measurements, a model Hamiltonian that explains a wide range of properties.
 - Phys. Rev. B 109, 184436 (2024)
 - Phys. Rev. B 111, 104419 (2025)
- A slew of excitations detected below 38 K.
 - Some of them are due to spin-orbit interactions